

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះព្យាឈាម គ្រង ម្គី ជា សូឌ្ន សាសនា រំបឹះគសាដវិវិឌ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ឯអសារជើម

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

Sann Rada

CMS/CFO:

អុខ្មន្ទំន្ទំរង់នេះមារបន្ទគិ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS **PUBLIC**

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

11 March 2015 Trial Day 256

NIL Nonn, Presiding Before the Judges:

Claudia FENZ

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YA Sokhan

YOU Ottara

Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve) THOU Mony (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

SE Kolvuthy Robynne CROFT

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

Nicolas KOUMJIAN **SENG Bunkheang** SONG Chorvoin

The Accused:

Lawyers for the Accused:

Victor KOPPE SON Arun **SUON Visal** KONG Sam Onn Arthur VERCKEN

NUON Chea

KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

Marie GUIRAUD

LOR Chunthy TY Srinna **VEN Pov**

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun SOUR Sotheavy

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

INDEX

Mr. NEANG Ouch alias Ta San (2-TCW-803)

Questioning by Mr. Suon Visal	page 5
Questioning by Mr. Koppe	page 11

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Judge FENZ	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Mr. KOPPE	English
Mr. KOUMJIAN	English
Judge LAVERGNE	French
Mr. MOEURN Sovann	Khmer
Mr. NEANG Ouch (2-TCW-803)	Khmer
The President (NIL Nonn, Presiding)	Khmer
Ms. SONG Chorvoin	Khmer
Mr. SUON Visal	Khmer
MR. VERCKEN	French

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0907H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 Today the Chamber will hear the testimony of a witness named
- 6 Neang Ouch. And the defence counsel will put questioning to this
- 7 witness today.
- 8 The greffier, Ms. Se Kolvuthy, could you report the attendance of
- 9 the Parties and individuals to today's proceeding?
- 10 THE GREFFIER:
- 11 Mr. President, for today's proceeding, all Parties to this case
- 12 are present.
- 13 As for Nuon Chea, he is present in the holding cell downstairs as
- 14 he requests to waive his right to be present in the courtroom.
- 15 His waiver has been delivered through the greffier.
- 16 [09.08.49]
- 17 The witness who is to testify today -- that is, Neang Ouch,
- 18 confirmed -- this witness and his duty counsel is -- are present
- 19 today in the courtroom and the reserve witness today is
- 20 2-TCW-948. To the best of the knowledge, this witness has no
- 21 relationship by blood or by law to any of the two Accused: Nuon
- 22 Chea or Khieu Samphan, nor to any of the civil parties admitted
- 23 in this case. This reserve witness has taken an oath already and
- 24 the reserve witness will have duty counsel with him as well --
- 25 that is, Mr. Moeurn Sovann.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

2

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Thank you, Ms. Se Kolvuthy.
- 3 The Chamber now decide on the request by Nuon Chea.
- 4 The Chamber had received a waiver from Nuon Chea dated 11 March
- 5 2015. He confirms that due to his poor health condition -- that
- 6 is, headache, back pain and that he cannot sit for long, and in
- 7 order to effectively participate in the future proceeding, he
- 8 requests to waive his right to participate in and be present at
- 9 the 11 March 2015 hearing. He has been informed by his counsel
- 10 about the consequence of this waiver that it in no way can be
- 11 construed as a waiver of his rights to be tried fairly or to
- 12 challenge evidence presented or admitted to this Court at any
- 13 time during this trial.
- 14 [09.10.30]
- 15 Having seen the medical report by the duty doctor for the Accused
- 16 at the ECCC, dated 11 March 2015, who notes that the health
- 17 condition of Nuon Chea is that he has severe back pain when he
- 18 sits for long, and recommends that the Chamber shall grant him
- 19 his request so that he can follow the proceedings remotely from a
- 20 holding cell downstairs.
- 21 Based on the above mentioned and pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the
- 22 ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea's request to
- 23 follow the proceeding remotely from a holding cell downstairs via
- 24 an audio-visual means for today's proceeding as he waives his
- 25 direct presence in the courtroom.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

3

- 1 The AV Unit is instructed to link the proceedings to the room
- 2 downstairs so that Nuon Chea can participate in and follow
- 3 today's proceeding remotely.
- 4 The Chamber now gives the floor to the defence counsel for the
- 5 Accused so that they have -- they can put questions to this
- 6 witness. First -- you may proceed now, counsel for Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 7 [09.11.57]
- 8 MR. KOPPE:
- 9 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 10 morning, counsel.
- 11 Mr. President, before my national colleague will start asking
- 12 questions, I would like to seek your confirmation that we have
- 13 the equivalent of the Prosecution's time -- that means five
- 14 sessions. So, in principle, I think we could go until the next
- 15 day. However, I anticipate that we have questions only until the
- 16 end of the day, but I would like to make sure that this is indeed
- 17 the time allotted to us.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 The two defence counsel for the Accused will have full day today
- 20 plus one session tomorrow.
- 21 MR. KOPPE:
- 22 Thank you very much, Mr. President. However, we will -- we shall
- 23 seek to strive to be finishing at the end of the day. Thank you.
- 24 [09.13.13]
- 25 MR. SUON VISAL:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

4

- 1 Mr. President, Your Honours, and everyone in and around the
- 2 courtroom, before I put <questions> to this witness, I would like
- 3 to submit a request <regarding questioning witnesses> before the
- 4 Chamber. <It would take only about five minutes.> I -- am I
- 5 allowed to do so?
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 You may proceed.
- 8 MR. SUON VISAL:
- 9 <Thank you. > Yesterday, we observed that Judge Lavergne put
- 10 questions to this witness. Perhaps he put -- he used almost half
- 11 day to put questions to this witness. And I observed that Judge
- 12 Lavergne was playing a role as a prosecutor yesterday.
- 13 [09.14.11]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 You are not <supposed to take the floor> to criticise the Bench,
- 16 and I decided already that it is now time for your questioning to
- 17 this witness. <In principle, any member of the Bench is entitled
- 18 to put questions to any witness first in compliance with the
- 19 Cambodian canbodian callocated time
- 20 <accordingly> for Parties to put questions to this witness. We
- 21 have to proceed our hearing effectively, and we allow time for
- 22 Parties to put questions, and the Bench can put any -- put
- 23 question at any time that they may be able to do so. You are not
- 24 allowed to criticize the Bench <in terms of both procedural
- 25 management and time allocation>. I refer you to the procedure and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

5

- 1 also Cambodian Law, and if you are not sure, you can also refer
- 2 us -- you can also refer us to any particular law <including code
- 3 of professional conduct for lawyers, regarding this issue>.
- 4 MR. SUON VISAL:
- 5 Thank you. Mr. President, actually, I would like to refer to the
- 6 Laws and Procedure and you interrupt me.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 I would like to know whether now you want to put <questions> to
- 9 this witness or you want to criticize the Bench. Actually you are
- 10 not allowed to criticize the Bench.
- 11 [09.15.56]
- 12 MR. SUON VISAL:
- 13 So I may proceed with the questioning of this witness. We will
- 14 file an appeal to the Supreme Court Chamber at a later stage.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 You can do so.
- 17 QUESTIONING BY MR. SUON VISAL:
- 18 Q. <Thank you, Mr. President.> Mr. Witness, I have a few
- 19 questions for you today. Yesterday you informed the Chamber that
- 20 you were appointed as a -- as an assistant in Tram Kak district.
- 21 In addition, you were assigned to be in charge of Leay Bour
- 22 commune. And besides all these <assignments>, were you designated
- 23 to do other job or to any other position?
- 24 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 25 A. I was not appointed to be in charge of Leay Bour commune, but

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

6

- 1 as I said, I lived in an area opposite Leay Bour Cooperative <in
- 2 Leay Bour commune >. And beside that, I was not appointed or
- 3 assigned any other roles.
- 4 [09.17.25]
- 5 Q. Thank you very much. <When> you became an assistant in the
- 6 <Tram Kak> district, what were your specific roles and tasks?
- 7 A. <I was not assigned any> specific task. <> I was assigned to
- 8 build dams and <dykes in paddy fields> and to work in the field,
- 9 so I did not have any specific tasks. As I said, I was <mainly>
- 10 assigned to work in the fields, in the water pumping station, and
- 11 also I was assigned to dig canals and build dams and dykes.
- 12 Q. So which communes and villages exactly were you assigned to
- 13 work?
- 14 A. First, I went to work <at> a canal construction site at
- 15 <Tumnob Lauk (phonetic) to block> Sla Kou (phonetic) <river> and
- 16 the canal was dug to the east of a pagoda, and <it stretched all
- 17 the way to> Khpob <Trabaek (phonetic). In total, the canal was
- 18 about 10 kilometres in length. Second, > I was assigned to dig
- 19 canal at Mlech (phonetic) <where we constructed a water> gate to
- 20 <feed the water from Sla Kou (phonetic) river to Mlech (phonetic)</pre>
- 21 reservoir, and from there, we were also > assigned to <dig a
- 22 canal, so-called Canal> 68, <that ran through the area to the
- 23 east of> Angk Ta Saom <and stretched along Road 25, the road from
- 24 Takeo to Angk Ta Saom. We also expanded the canal toward the
- 25 south up until the area on the east of Baek Chhuk (phonetic) of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

7

- 1 Boeng Srae Ronoung (phonetic), and a canal was also dug eastward
- 2 toward the railway where the boundary of Tram Kak district
- 3 ended>.
- 4 [09.19.59]
- 5 And <third>, I was tasked to work at the water pumping station
- 6 <at Ou Chambak (phonetic) in order to pump water from a lake
- 7 located to the north of Takeo provincial town, and to feed the
- 8 water <into Leay Bour commune. These were the main projects I was
- 9 engaged.> I met -- I was in the meeting with <Ta Chay and> Ta Kit
- 10 <who were district secretaries at Angk Roka. At that time, > the
- 11 district office <was located at> the current Angk Roka market.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much. When you were assigned to work there,
- 13 <with whom> you were working <>?
- 14 A. The workers at the worksites were female and male <youths>
- 15 from mobile units.
- 16 Q. Where were they from?
- 17 A. They were from <every commune under> Tram Kak district.
- 18 O. Were <workers> divided into <> base <> or new mobile units?
- 19 A. They were not divided in <such a category>. We lived and
- 20 worked together.
- 21 [09.22.08]
- 22 Q. What about food condition while you were working there?
- 23 A. As for food condition, as we were part of the mobile unit, we
- 24 could have enough food to eat.
- 25 Q. While they were working and if they fell sick, how <was> the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

8

- 1 treatment for those people who fell sick?
- 2 A. When a worker fell sick actually, as I said, there were
- 3 medics <on> standby at our worksite and if <a> worker could not
- 4 be treated or could not recover from the illness, <he or she>
- 5 would <be sent> to <the district hospital located at Wat>
- 6 Trapeang Kul (phonetic).
- 7 Q. <Thank you.> I would like now to move to another topic -- that
- 8 is, the marriage. You mentioned already yesterday that some
- 9 couples, they got married voluntarily because they could choose
- 10 their own partners, and you also mentioned that some other
- 11 couples were matched by the cadres there. So what did you mean by
- 12 that answer?
- 13 A. As for <couples> who were matched, this <means> that the
- 14 commune <cadres paired up a man and a woman to get married>.
- 15 [09.24.23]
- 16 Q. As you said, if they were matched, then what <would happen> if
- 17 they <refused> the <match>?
- 18 A. I never encountered any issue that the couples denied the
- 19 marriage after they were matched. <Usually, they agreed to the
- 20 matching, and the wedding ceremony was held for them.>
- 21 Q. Thank you very much. While you were working there, were <> any
- 22 <members of> your mobile unit <getting married? Were members of
- 23 your mobile unit arranged to get married by the local cadres?>
- 24 A. Actually, <members of> the mobile unit <were getting married>,
- 25 because as I said, <those> members <were> male and female

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

9

- 1 <youths>.
- 2 Q. <Through your own observation, before> the marriage
- 3 ceremonies, were the <couples consulted with> in advance before
- 4 they get married?
- 5 A. Actually, the <commune as well as heads> of the mobile units
- 6 <consulted with them. After the consultation, the wedding
- 7 ceremony> would be held for them.
- 8 [09.26.24]
- 9 Q. Thank you very much.
- 10 I proceed to another topic in relation to your position as an
- 11 assistant in the district. You mentioned already that you were in
- 12 the meeting with the district committee. I would like to know
- 13 during the meeting <what> principle <were you briefed on before
- 14 heading out to a worksite.>
- 15 A. I find this question difficult to give my answer. The meeting
- 16 which I attended was to examine the tasks that we performed
- 17 previously, and <during which future plans were> also <>
- 18 discussed <>. And we would also discuss how to dig, how to dig
- 19 the canal, how to build dams and dykes, but <> no main
- 20 <pri>ciples were> discussed in those meetings. <That was the</pre>
- 21 level I was engaged.>
- 22 [09.27.47]
- 23 ********Q. You also mentioned that there were three main tasks
- 24 -- that is, the <tasks> concerning economy, politics and
- 25 <security>. And while you were assigned to work as an assistant,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

10

- 1 were you in charge of all the three main tasks? <0r were you in
- 2 charge of only a specific task?>
- 3 A. I did not <say that I had to do these> tasks <regarding>
- 4 politics, economy and <security. In fact, I just had to do
- 5 whatever task I> was assigned to <do>.
- 6 Q. Thank you <>. During the <meetings that you attended at> Tram
- 7 Kak district, <did you> ever hear <> leaders of the district
- 8 <about having the> Cham ethnicity <> smashed?
- 9 A. I never heard <of> any such discussion.
- 10 Q. Did you hear that other communes spoke about this issue?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 [09.29.38]
- 13 Q. What about the Vietnamese? Did they <ever discuss> about
- 14 <having> the Vietnamese at the base <> smashed or what measures
- 15 had to be taken against them?
- 16 A. No, there was no such distinction.
- 17 Q. In the <areas> that you worked in Tram Kak district, did you
- 19 A. No. I was not aware <of> any Kampuchea Krom people living in
- 20 Tram Kak district.
- 21 Q. During those meetings, did you ever hear any instruction about
- 22 sending those Khmer Krom back to their original place or that
- 23 they had to be smashed or something of that nature?
- 24 A. I never heard <of> any measures <to be> taken against the
- 25 Khmer Krom.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

11

- 1 MR. SOUN VISAL:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. Witness. I don't have any further questions for
- 3 you, and Mr. President, I would like to cede the floor to my
- 4 international colleague.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you. And counsel Victor Koppe, you have the floor.
- 7 [09.31.22]
- 8 QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Mr. Witness.
- 10 I would like to start with asking you a question about the Khmer
- 11 word that was discussed yesterday. If my pronunciation is
- 12 correct, the Khmer word is "boh sam at" or in English "sweeping
- 13 clean". You talked about that word in response to questions of
- 14 Judge Lavergne.
- 15 And following up on that discussion, I would like you to have a
- 16 look at the statement, which was also shown to you yesterday few
- 17 times -- that is, statement E319/12.3.2, more particularly at
- 18 question A311, the question that the Investigators are asking to
- 19 the particular witness is the following -- and I quote: "When you
- 20 talk about the term 'purged, sweep clean', you mean arrests,
- 21 correct?" And the witness answers in 311: "Yes."
- 22 [09.32.23]
- 23 My question to you is to give a reaction to the answer of this
- 24 witness who is saying that sweeping clean apparently means to
- 25 arrest? Did you see the -- not yet, okay. I'll -- it's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

12

- 1 E319/12.3.2. It's the statement of the witness that we discussed
- 2 yesterday.
- 3 Well, I know that you have it there, so I would like to ask duty
- 4 counsel to show it to the witness. So, again, E319/12.3.2.
- 5 Well, the reason I am asking, because I will be asking more
- 6 questions about this statement, and I know for a fact that the
- 7 witness had it yesterday.
- 8 Mr. President, could I ask the Court officer to hand a copy of
- 9 the statement that I think he had yesterday?
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Yes, you may proceed.
- 12 MR. KOPPE:
- 13 For the duty counsel, it is question 311. It's on page 53, on the
- 14 bottom of the page. Oh, no, that's English. It's A311.
- 15 [09.36.40]
- 16 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 17 So, I'll just do it again if that's all right with you, Mr.
- 18 Witness. The question in A311 is, to the witness: "When you talk
- 19 about the term 'purge, sweep clean', you mean 'arrest'; correct?"
- 20 And the answer is: "Yes."
- 21 Q. So my question to you is: Can you give a reaction to this
- 22 specific answer of that witness?
- 23 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 24 A. The word 'to sweep clean' or 'to purge', in fact was asked by
- 25 the Co-Prosecutor the day before yesterday, <during> the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

13

- 1 afternoon session. And if I recall it correctly, I sought a
- 2 consultation with my duty counsel. And in fact, yesterday morning
- 3 I responded to that question. So I've already replied to that
- 4 question.
- 5 [09.37.54]
- 6 Q. I know you did, Mr. Witness, but I am now confronting you with
- 7 possibly a different interpretation of this word in Khmer. So I
- 8 would like you to give your reaction to her answer in relation to
- 9 this word.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Mr. Witness, please respond to this question, because your
- 12 response and the statement made by another witness <are> not
- 13 consistent, or <are> not in line together. Of course, we
- 14 understand that you already responded, or you provided your
- 15 interpretation to the Co-Prosecutor's question yesterday.
- 16 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 17 A. The term 'to sweep clean' or 'to purge' in my personal
- 18 understanding of it, it means 'to kill' or 'to execute'. So, of
- 19 course it is different from this present statement. In this
- 20 person's statement, she only mentioned that the term means 'the
- 21 arrest'.
- 22 [09.39.16]
- 23 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 24 Q. I'm sure we will follow up with her on this word, Mr. Witness.
- 25 I'll continue my questions, and my questions are now relating to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

14

- 1 the document E3/493 that was shown to you yesterday. Again, I
- 2 would like to ask the duty counsel to show this particular
- 3 document to the witness.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Yes, in fact you can do that. However, today, due to the
- 6 technical glitch, the document cannot be projected on screen, so
- 7 you have to rely on the hard copy paper.
- 8 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 9 O. Now, Mr. Witness, the little note that was discussed
- 10 extensively yesterday, of which you say it was your handwriting,
- 11 seem to be attached to some other documents, which are all
- 12 contained in this E3/493 (phonetic). So, it would appear that the
- 13 widows that you are referring to in your note, seem to be the
- 14 widows that are referred to in the subsequent pages. Now, my
- 15 question to you is the following: Do you know what actually
- 16 happened to the five widows: Muoy, Ban Sokun, Kiev, the elder
- 17 aunt of Thou, and Mao?
- 18 [09.43.17]
- 19 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 20 A. The five widows were reported, and I forwarded the report to
- 21 Ta Ran and Ta Bit. And they decided, and they made their
- 22 decision. And subsequently, I wrote down their decisions as
- 23 appeared on the document. And I wrote it in this document,
- 24 E3/4093, dated 7 August. What I wrote down there, was the
- 25 decision made by Ta Ran. And whatever I did, it meant I did

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

15

- 1 following the orders of the upper echelon -- that is, Ta Ran.
- 2 O. I understand, Mr. Witness. That was indeed your answer
- 3 yesterday. My question is: Do you know what in fact happened to
- 4 the widows? Were you in any way an eye witness to their alleged
- 5 execution?
- 6 A. No, I did not witness that.
- 7 [09.45.22]
- 8 Q. Mr. Witness, there's another question that I have in relation
- 9 to this document, E3/493 (phonetic). Now, it would appear that
- 10 your note is dated on the 7th of August. However, it would appear
- 11 that the underlying report that you are saying you had forwarded,
- 12 dates from 8 August 1978. Now, my question is: Do you remember
- 13 anything about this, or would you be able to explain how it would
- 14 appear to be possible that you are forwarding a document a day
- 15 earlier?
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 The International Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
- 18 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 19 Your Honour, I don't believe that that's a fair statement about
- 20 the document -- about the pages that follow in 4093. That that is
- 21 the report that the witness's 7th of August document is referring
- 22 to. Nowhere in the 7th of August document does it say it's
- 23 referring to a report. And in fact, the next pages, the 8th of
- 24 August, says "I wish to clarify the report". So, the document
- 25 from Meng on the 8th of August clearly is referring to an earlier

01487226

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

16

- 1 report.
- 2 [09.47.15]
- 3 MR. KOPPE:
- 4 Well, I'm very happy that the Prosecution is testifying as to
- 5 what he believes the content of both documents should be, but the
- 6 question is, of course, to the witness, who should be able --
- 7 maybe there is an easy explanation as to the difference in those
- 8 two dates. So, I think I'm entitled to ask the question to this
- 9 witness.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Yes, you may proceed, Counsel.
- 12 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 13 Q. So, again, Mr. Witness, maybe there's an explanation, but do
- 14 you remember -- let me rephrase. Do you know how it's possible
- 15 that the document that you appear to be forwarding is dated one
- 16 day later than your note?
- 17 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 18 A. The document dated 7 August and the other document, dated 8
- 19 August, refer to two different documents.
- 20 [09.49.02]
- 21 Q. Could you expand on that? How do you know?
- 22 A. The difference is that the document dated 8 August rather,
- 23 dated 7 August, bears my own signature, and I wrote that
- 24 document. And as for the document dated 8 August, it was written
- 25 by Meng. So, I cannot recall it clearly, regarding the details of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

17

- 1 these two documents, but I can confirm that the first document,
- 2 dated 7 August, is <written by me and> it bears my own signature.
- 3 Q. But just to be clear, Mr. Witness, you're saying that your
- 4 note does not refer to the report of 8 August 1978, made up by
- 5 Meng. Is that correct?
- 6 A. I cannot say for sure because the second document was not
- 7 mine. It bears another person's name.
- 8 [09.51.38]
- 9 Q. Do you have, Mr. Witness, any recollection as to what your
- 10 practice was when dealing with reports coming to you? Did you, in
- 11 your recollection, add a little piece of paper with your notes to
- 12 the underlying document?
- 13 A. The small piece of note, dated 7 August, was written by me,
- 14 and I reported the matter to Ta <Ran> and Ta <Ran> made his
- 15 decision. And that's what I wrote in that note. It was just a
- 16 four-line note.
- 17 Q. Maybe I missed something in your answer today or yesterday,
- 18 but the note is directed to "Beloved Comrade Chhoeun". Is that
- 19 the same as Ta Ran? The same person?
- 20 A. I cannot find the name "Choeun" (phonetic) or "Ran", as you
- 21 pronounced.
- 22 [09.53.42]
- 23 Q. As unfortunately, Mr. Witness, I don't speak Khmer, I have to
- 24 deal -- I have to read the English translation, and the English
- 25 translation of your note starts with the words "Beloved Comrade

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

18

- 1 Chhoeun". Do you see the same thing on your note?
- 2 A. No, there is no "Comrade Choeun" (phonetic) in this document.
- 3 I found a name on this document -- that is, a Comrade Chhoeun.
- 4 Q. Just -- just to avoid all misunderstanding, so who was
- 5 Chhoeun?
- 6 A. Chhoeun held a position as chief of Tram Kak district office.
- 7 Q. But then can you explain me how it came that you were
- 8 requesting somebody on your level to do something with prisoners?
- 9 A. I reported this matter to Brother Ran, and Brother Ran
- 10 instructed me to write down his decision, and that's what I wrote
- 11 down.
- 12 [09.56.35]
- 13 Q. I understand, Mr. Witness. But in the English translation of
- 14 this document, it seems that you are requesting something, rather
- 15 than instructing. First sentence reads: "With regard to prisoners
- 16 from Cheang Tong commune, request to send any children."
- 17 Would you be able to explain why it is a request rather than an
- 18 instruction?
- 19 A. No, this does not mean I made a request. As I stated, what I
- 20 wrote down was the instruction laid down by Ta Ran.
- 21 Q. So I should read this document as -- not as a request to
- 22 "Beloved Comrade Chhoeun", but as an instruction. Is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. The decision made by Ta Ran was what I wrote down, and it was
- 25 not a request. It was an order to comrade Chhoeun for

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

19

- 1 implementing Ta Ran's decision.
- 2 [09.58.41]
- 3 Q. But I'm still not clear as to why it was you requesting or
- 4 instructing Chhoeun to sweep clean the widows or children?
- 5 A. I cannot <give> you any further explanation, rather than what
- 6 I have explained to you, because if I keep doing it, it means I
- 7 kept repeating my responses. As I stated, I reported the matter
- 8 to Ta Ran, and whatever decision Ta Ran made, then I wrote it
- 9 down. And if I were not to write down what -- Ta Ran's
- 10 instruction, it means I would risk my life <like what had
- 11 happened to one of my elder brothers>. Although I was related to
- 12 Ta Mok as his younger brother-in-law, if I did not obey or follow
- 13 the instructions, <> I would risk my <own> life.
- 14 Q. Mr. Witness, yesterday you were shown this document, and in
- 15 answering questions of Judge Lavergne, you confirmed that this
- 16 little note bears your signature and is indeed your handwriting.
- 17 But you were also shown a number of documents, which also have
- 18 your name on it, but then you said that the name or the signature
- 19 was not yours. Would you be able, without having another look at
- 20 them, to tell us why this document, that is in front of you,
- 21 bears indeed your signature and is your handwriting, and the
- 22 other five or six documents does not have your handwriting?
- 23 [10.01.36]
- 24 JUDGE FENZ:
- 25 Counsel, could you rephrase? Because the way you put it in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

20

- 1 English, you ask him to testify on why certain documents don't
- 2 have his handwriting.
- 3 MR. KOPPE:
- 4 I'm very happy to actually show the documents, of which he said
- 5 yesterday, "This is not my handwriting".
- 6 JUDGE FENZ:
- 7 But the question is --
- 8 MR. KOPPE:
- 9 The question is: How does he know for sure that E3/4093 does bear
- 10 his signature, and does bear his handwriting, and the other ones,
- 11 with also his name, that's not his handwriting? So, I want to
- 12 find out how he is able to determine.
- 13 [10.02.40]
- 14 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 15 Q. So, my question again. I'll try to phrase it more simply.
- 16 Yesterday, you said that there were certain documents shown to
- 17 you that did not bear your handwriting or your signature. How can
- 18 you tell for sure that E3/4093 does bear your signature and
- 19 handwriting?
- 20 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 21 A. Because I recognize my own handwriting. The document E3/2785,
- 22 dated 7 March, with the signature of my name "San", the
- 23 handwriting in this document is not my handwriting, and the
- 24 signature is also not my signature.
- 25 [10.04.00]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

21

- 1 Q. That is exactly my understanding of your testimony yesterday,
- 2 Mr. Witness, but there are one, two, three, four, five, six, six
- 3 other documents, also Tram Kak district records, with your name
- 4 on it, and signature sometimes. And you're saying that these are
- 5 also not your -- this is also not your handwriting and signature.
- 6 So, the question is: Are you able to tell us why you are sure
- 7 that 4093 is indeed your handwriting, and with the other
- 8 documents, that it is not the case? That apparently it is another
- 9 San?
- 10 A. I <know it> clearly, because, as I said, I could recognize my
- 11 <own> handwriting.
- 12 Q. Fair enough, Mr. Witness. But then, the question arises: Who
- 13 is the other San that has been signing at least six or seven
- 14 documents with the name "San"?
- 15 A. I <do> not know, as I said. It was not my handwriting.
- 16 Q. Very well, Mr. Witness. We will -- I would like to go back to
- 17 the same document again, 4093, and more specifically, the
- 18 sentence which in English reads as follows: "But if children
- 19 cannot be separated from their mothers, bring them in for
- 20 interrogation, and after everything is finished, to sweep them
- 21 all clean." I'm interested in the word, or the Khmer equivalent
- 22 of the English word "if". Do you know whether in fact children
- 23 were located who could not be separated from these specific
- 24 mothers?
- 25 [10.07.04]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

22

- 1 A. I find it difficult to explain the word "if" here. If the
- 2 children could not be separated from their mothers, they -- the
- 3 children and the mothers -- should be brought in together and
- 4 swept clean. This was the decision made by Ta Ran, and I was
- 5 asked to write from his dictation.
- 6 Q. I understand. That was your answer, indeed, Mr. Witness. But
- 7 you are a teacher. You have been a teacher for a long time. Maybe
- 8 it's different in Khmer, but if the English word says "if"
- 9 something has to happen, then it doesn't necessarily happen. So,
- 10 my question: Do you know whether children were indeed found who
- 11 could not be separated from their mothers?
- 12 A. As for children who could not be separated from their mothers,
- 13 they were with their mothers.
- 14 [10.08.45]
- 15 Q. But my question is: Do you know whether such children were in
- 16 fact found?
- 17 A. Could you repeat your question, please?
- 18 Q. We're entering the grammar -- the Khmer grammar versus the
- 19 English grammar, so maybe I'm not formulating my questions well.
- 20 But, your note reads that "if" children are to be found. "If". My
- 21 question: Do you know whether in fact, in this particular
- 22 circumstance, such children were found?
- 23 A. I do not really understand the gist of the question. As I
- 24 mentioned, the children and the mothers stayed together.
- 25 Q. That I understand in general.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

23

- 1 MR. KOPPE:
- 2 Mr. President, maybe I look at you. Maybe there is something not
- 3 going well in the translation of my question. My question is, as
- 4 you understand, about the word "if". "If" suggests that something
- 5 can happen or cannot happen. So maybe, Mr. President, you could
- 6 ask the witness if he knows that such children were in fact
- 7 found.
- 8 [10.10.58]
- 9 JUDGE FENZ:
- 10 May I ask a question for clarification? Is your question whether
- 11 he knows of cases where children -- is this the question?
- 12 MR. KOPPE:
- 13 Well, not of -- not of cases. I want to know -- this is a very
- 14 specific order. It's an order to say, "if you find children, kill
- 15 them". So it seems. I want to know if this specific case, he
- 16 knows whether such children, who could not be separated from
- 17 their mothers, were indeed found and killed.
- 18 [10.11.42]
- 19 *******MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 I believe you can answer, Mr. Witness. The question is clear. In
- 21 the report, the children have to be separated from their mothers.
- 22 If they <could not> be separated, <all of them would be brought>
- 23 in and <swept> clean. And the Khmer -- the Khmer version is very
- 24 clear for all of us: "And the children <have to be separated from
- 25 their mothers>, if they cannot be separated from their mothers,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

24

- 1 they should be swept clean." So, based on the substance of the
- 2 report, could you explain it? <What actually happened to the
- 3 children?>
- 4 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 5 A. After the decision made by Ta Ran, I wrote from the dictation,
- 6 and as for the consequence, I did not know what happened next.
- 7 <They did not report back to me what was done.>
- 8 MR. KOPPE:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. Witness. Now, I have another question about this
- 10 same sentence.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Thank you very much, Counsel. It is now a convenient time for a
- 13 break. The Court will take a break from now until 10.30.
- 14 Court officer, please coordinate a proper place for Mr. Witness
- 15 and also for the duty counsel, and invite them back to the
- 16 courtroom before 10.30.
- 17 The Court is now adjourned.
- 18 (Court recesses from 1013H to 1033H)
- 20 Please be seated.
- 21 The Court is now back in session and before I hand the floor to
- 22 the defence team for Nuon Chea, the Chamber would like to inform
- 23 the relevant Parties that last week the Chamber was seized of a
- 24 request by the Co-Prosecution -- that is, <E319/7,> to hear
- 25 testimony of -- testimonies of new witnesses and the Chamber

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

25

- 1 would like to hear the comments, or observations, or responses
- 2 from other Parties so that we can use as the basis for our
- 3 decision and we can do it for the afternoon session at the end of
- 4 this afternoon session for that.
- 5 And the Chamber would like now to again hand over the floor to
- 6 Counsel Koppe to continue putting questions. You may proceed.
- 7 [10.34.47]
- 8 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 10 Q. Mr. Witness I have one additional question on this document
- 11 that we have been discussing at length E3/4093, and it's the same
- 12 sentence that refers to "if children cannot be separated".
- 13 The witness is doing something with his phone so I wait till he
- 14 is finish.
- 15 Yes, Mr. Witness, so I would like you to go back to the same
- 16 sentence that starts "but if children". I will read to you what I
- 17 have in my English translation. My English translation reads as
- 18 follows: "But if children cannot be separated from their mothers,
- 19 request to bring them in for interrogation and after everything
- 20 is finished to sweep them all clean. " Now my first question is:
- 21 "Children that cannot be separated from their mothers," does that
- 22 mean small babies?
- 23 [10.36.35]
- 24 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 25 A. On the issue of the word "children" used in this context, it

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

26

- does not refer to babies. They refer to children<; moreover, I</pre>
- 2 did not actually see those women and children. As> I stated I did
- 3 not know what happened after as I only made a report and wrote
- 4 down the decision from the upper echelon. So whatever was decided
- 5 by the upper echelon, I would write that down.
- 6 Q. I understand, Mr. Witness, but I'm interested in the words
- 7 "children that cannot be separated". Does that mean babies or
- 8 younger children who are still in the care of their mother?
- 9 A. Children here does not refer to babies or who are still
- 10 breastfed. Here, to my understanding, it refers to <> children
- 11 who could walk <and speak>. And in fact I did not see what
- 12 happened next as I stated.
- 13 Q. But the note seems to make a distinction between bigger
- 14 children that have already gone to the mobile units or children's
- 15 unit on the one hand and on the other hand children that cannot
- 16 be separated from their mothers. So my question is: What exactly
- 17 do you mean with "children that cannot be separated from their
- 18 mothers"?
- 19 [10.38.52]
- 20 A. People who <worked> at the mobile units were the male and
- 21 female youths. There were also a group of children who were
- 22 between 10 to 12 years old and here as for "<infants> who could
- 23 not be separated from <their mothers>" and I explained it to you
- 24 already, to my understanding, here, it does not refer to the
- 25 breastfed babies, but it refers to <small> children who could

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

27

- 1 speak and <> walk. And further than that, since I did not see
- 2 what happened, I could not say anything more. I only forwarded
- 3 the report to Ta Ran for his decision. < And whatever decision was
- 4 made by Ta Ran, I wrote it down accordingly.>
- 5 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness.
- 6 [10.40.05]
- 7 MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Mr. President, I have a request and that is in relation to this
- 9 document. As you know we have submitted already few times that no
- 10 original documents exist, that everything that we're talking -
- 11 that we are dealing with here are copies. So we have been a bit
- 12 -- we did some handicraft, to put it like that, and we've tried
- 13 to imitate, as much as possible, how possibly the original could
- 14 have looked like. We've based ourselves on the Khmer documents
- 15 and the way that this little note which is written, it seems by
- 16 this witness was attached to it.
- 17 I seek your guidance as to how to proceed. I'll show it to you.
- 18 What we've done is made a copy of what is probably the notebook
- 19 and what is possibly the way his note was attached. And I would
- 20 like to ask the witness something about whether this in fact
- 21 would be similar to the way he attached notes to other reports
- 22 and if yes, how exactly did that go. So it's something because of
- 23 the lack of original documents, we would like to be able to show
- 24 this to the witness. So I am in your hands at this request.
- 25 (Judges deliberate)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

28

- 1 [10.42.50]]
- 2 JUDGE FENZ:
- 3 May I ask a question? Is the objective to show how they were
- 4 physically attached together?
- 5 MR. KOPPE:
- 6 Yes, but there are many of those documents, and I'm just trying
- 7 to establish whether this was in fact how it was done that he was
- 8 in fact adding this little handwritten note because that's how we
- 9 understand this document to look like.
- 10 JUDGE FENZ:
- 11 And why don't you just ask the question?
- 12 MR. KOPPE:
- 13 Well, you've seen the trouble that I have with getting an answer
- on this document so I thought this would be practical.
- 15 (Judges deliberate)
- 16 [10.44.20]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 The International Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
- 19 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 20 If Your Honours are considering having this shown to the witness,
- 21 I think the other Parties deserve the opportunity to see what it
- 22 is.
- 23 MR. KOPPE:
- 24 Mr. President, I'm very happy to leave the question until after
- 25 the break so that we can give this product of our handicraft to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

29

- 1 the other Parties and to the Bench.
- 2 The reason I'm also asking this now is because it seems unclear
- 3 whether his note in fact refers to the underlying document and
- 4 since we do not have any original whatsoever -- and I think we
- 5 need to establish whether his real note in fact refers to the
- 6 underlying documents which seems to be one package. So I think
- 7 this witness is potentially in a unique position as to say how in
- 8 that period documents were handled and whether in fact he stapled
- 9 this or glued this or used a paper clip and whether it would be
- 10 possible that this little note has in fact nothing to do with the
- 11 underlying document.
- 12 [10.45.40]
- 13 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 14 I'll just comment that the questions counsel raised make sense
- 15 but he can ask them without -- and something that's been created
- 16 not by a witness, he can ask those questions, how were things
- 17 attached, does his note refer to the subsequent documents, he's
- 18 been doing that already.
- 19 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 20 Okay. I'll give it a try.
- 21 Q. Mr. Witness, we've been speaking about the little note that
- 22 you've said you have written. Do you remember how you attached
- 23 your little note or your note to the underlying document? Did
- 24 you staple it? Did you use a paper clip? In this specific case,
- 25 do you remember how you attached your note to the other

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

30

- 1 documents?
- 2 [10.46.45]
- 3 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 4 I will just -- my objection is it's not clear that -- and the
- 5 question presumes a note was attached to other documents, the
- 6 witness hasn't testified to that and in fact the document that
- 7 the counsel refer to earlier -- that's the next two pages -- it
- 8 says: "As for the report from the grassroots of Trapeang Thum
- 9 Khang Cheung, I have already sent it to the District
- 10 Organisation. This is a copy of the report from the grassroots",
- 11 and it ends: "May the respected and beloved comrade in charge of
- 12 the district branch, please be informed." So I don't want to
- 13 suggest anything to the witness, but there's definitely other
- 14 possibilities other than these documents were sent with -- by the
- 15 witness with the note.
- 16 [10.47.44]
- 17 MR. KOPPE:
- 18 I agree completely with the Prosecution. The fact is that we
- 19 don't know and what we do know is that is, as I said many times
- 20 before, we do not have originals and we do know that these
- 21 documents have been extensively used post 1979, and if this
- 22 little note is attached to this document, we need to be able to
- 23 establish whether that is in fact the case. At the end of the
- 24 day, we're talking about what seems to be a direct order to
- 25 execute people.

01487241

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

31

- 1 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 2 O. So again, I would like to ask the question that I asked
- 3 before: Mr. Witness, do you remember in this specific case,
- 4 whether you in fact attached your note to the underlying
- 5 documents?
- 6 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 7 A. I cannot recall that because when I see this little note with
- 8 only four lines here in this Court, it does not assist me in
- 9 recollecting what I did at the time.
- 10 [10.49.10]
- 11 Q. Do you remember whether you used small little notes, small
- 12 little papers from a small notebook and then attach it to pages
- 13 coming from a children's notebook?
- 14 A. I cannot recall that. I did not know how I attached the brief
- 15 notes to those documents because what we have in our hands here
- 16 are copies and they are not the originals.
- 17 Q. I would like to read to you, Mr. Witness, a passage from the
- 18 statement of the female witness that we've been discussing
- 19 earlier and I would like to have your attention to question 212
- 20 -- that is, document, Mr. President, E319/12.3.2 and question 212
- 21 is the following and I quote:
- 22 "When the militiamen arrested people, did you write a response
- 23 letter to An?"
- 24 Answer: "I did not write to An but I wrote straight to the
- 25 District Secretary because at that time, some letters were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

32

- 1 forgeries so I was also worried about myself. In that letter I
- 2 wrote, 'now the people have been arrested as requested'."
- 3 Now, Mr. Witness, this particular witness seems to be a cadre at
- 4 your level, testified to the existence of forgeries. Does that
- 5 ring any bell with you?
- 6 [10.51.25]
- 7 A. I would like to show the document -- that is, E3/2785, and it
- 8 <was> sent to Comrade An, that the two men fled to "Yuon" <with
- 9 those who fled two days earlier>, and the document dated 7th
- 10 March and underneath it was signed as "San", and to my
- 11 understanding this letter is a forgery because forgeries did
- 12 exist during the Democratic Kampuchea period and I can confirm
- 13 that the handwriting in this letter is not mine. <I know my own
- 14 handwriting quite well.> And as in the case of this woman whose
- 15 statement you just read out, she also confirmed about the forged
- 16 letters during the DK period and probably you can understand why
- 17 Ta Ran did not write his own instruction and why he only provided
- 18 an oral instruction to me to write. <He did not even put his
- 19 signature on the documents. > Maybe he did not want to be
- 20 implicated due to the fact that the hard copy documents may exist
- 21 but the oral report could not be found and that he did not want
- 22 to put himself at risk in this situation. <So the blame would
- 23 fall solely on me since I wrote that document , I put my
- 24 signature in there, so Ta Ran's oral instruction got lost in the
- 25 wind.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

33

- 1 [10.53.15]
- 2 Q. I understand, Mr. Witness. But would you be able to explain
- 3 why E3/2785 is a forgery? What is the basis of your reasoning as
- 4 to why this document which seems to be the contemporaneous DK
- 5 document is in fact a forgery?
- 6 A. The evidence that I rely on is that the handwriting is not my
- 7 handwriting. It is not my handwriting at all from what I can see.
- 8 Q. But how would you be able to tell that it is a DK forgery?
- 9 Would it be possible -- I ask to you speculate -- but is there a
- 10 knowledge that you have that it could be a post 1979 forgery?
- 11 A. As to the year of the forgery, I cannot tell you.
- 12 Q. So you stick to your testimony that because it doesn't look
- 13 like your handwriting and your signature, and because it says
- 14 "San", it must be a forgery, is that your testimony?
- 15 A. Yes it is, because the handwriting is not mine.
- 16 Q. How about document, Mr. Witness, E3/2423? I believe that it is
- 17 your testimony that also in relation to this document that it is
- 18 not your handwriting.
- 19 Khmer page, Mr. President, 00079128.
- 20 So again, Mr. Witness, have a look at E3/2423 and tell me whether
- 21 this is your signature, yes or no and if you believe it's a
- 22 forgery.
- 23 A. Document E3/2423 also does not contain my own writing.
- 24 [10.56.49]
- 25 Q. But in relation to the previous document, you were very strong

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

34

- 1 in your answer in saying that it is a forgery. Are you saying
- 2 that this is also a forgery, and if yes, why?
- 3 A. The only reason that I rely on is the handwriting and that
- 4 handwriting is not mine.
- 5 Q. I would like you, Mr. Witness, to have now look at E3/2444,
- 6 and I'm asking you the same question. You testified earlier that
- 7 this is not your handwriting and your signature. Please confirm.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 The National Deputy Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
- 10 [10.58.25]
- 11 MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 12 Mr. President, in reference to document <E324/44>, raised by the
- 13 defence counsel, in my note the witness stated that he could not
- 14 read the letter there and he did not say that it was not his
- 15 handwriting. <So I would like the counsel to point out to certain
- 16 documents and their numbers that he is referring to regarding the
- 17 witness's statement. Thank you, Mr. President.>
- 18 MR. KOPPE:
- 19 Fair enough. I'll move on to another document. We're basing
- 20 ourselves upon the draft transcript, which wasn't always clear to
- 21 us.
- 22 Mr. Witness, now I would like you to have a look at E3/4122. My
- 23 question is the same.
- MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 25 Mr. President, I would like to have the floor.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

35

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Yes, you can proceed.
- 3 [11.00.26]
- 4 MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 5 Mr. President, the counsel refers to an E3 document without
- 6 giving the exact ERN number and in this case this document is
- 7 nine pages long. So please instruct him to give us the <exact>
- 8 ERN number <that he is referring to>.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Thank you. Counsel Koppe, could you please specify the ERN
- 11 number of the document in the three languages so that the Parties
- 12 and the Bench can follow your question and that would also
- 13 facilitate the duty counsel to assist the witness.
- 14 MR. KOPPE:
- 15 Of course, Mr. President. The Khmer document the Khmer ERN is
- 16 00271087; English, ERN 00779255 up until 57; I'm afraid; I
- 17 apologise; I don't have the French ERN right now.
- 18 Mr. President, it seems that the duty counsel doesn't actually
- 19 have E3/4122, so with your permission, I would like to hand over
- 20 the document to the witness.
- 21 [11.01.54]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Yes, you may do so.
- 24 (Short pause)
- 25 [11.03.35]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

36

- 1 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 2 Mr. Duty Counsel, I believe its 00271087, the specific Khmer
- 3 page.
- 4 Q. So, Mr. Witness, I believe yesterday you said this wasn't your
- 5 handwriting. Are you confirming this now and if yes, is it your
- 6 position?
- 7 I see the Prosecution standing.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 You may proceed, International Co-Prosecutor.
- 10 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 11 I was going to say that I did not use this document. I don't
- 12 recall that Judge Lavergne did or any other Judges did or the
- 13 civil parties in the questioning.
- 14 [11.04.50]
- 15 MR. KOPPE:
- 16 I requested my team to collect all documents shown to the witness
- 17 that he spoke of. I'm not sure if I did it correctly so I'll be
- 18 happy to re-organise this and make sure that it was actually
- 19 mentioned in the draft. No?
- 20 I'll move on the next document just to speed up and then I look
- 21 at you as well, Judge Lavergne, I believe E3/2424 was shown
- 22 yesterday to the witness and if I do not see any nodding heads,
- 23 Mr. Witness, I would like you to have a look at E3/2424, Khmer,
- 24 ERN 0027075758 and 61.
- 25 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

01487247

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

37

- I don't believe I used this document <>. I don't believe I used
- 2 this document <but-- >
- 3 [11.06.20]
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Then let me propose the following: I will revisit this subject
- 6 after the break. Maybe something went wrong in the communication
- 7 within my team; we had to do it very last moment. So, Mr. Witness
- 8 --
- 9 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 10 I did use that document.
- 11 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 12 So the question is answered.
- 13 Q. Mr. Witness, is that your handwriting and your signature and
- 14 if no, is it your testimony that this must be forgery as well?
- 15 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 16 A. Which document number you are referring to?
- 17 [11.07.06]
- 18 Q. E3/2424.
- 19 Q. You don't have it?
- 20 MR. KOPPE:
- 21 Mr. President, with your permission I would like to hand the
- document to the witness, E3/2424.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 You may proceed.
- 25 BY MR. KOPPE:

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

38

- 1 Q. Specifically, Mr. Witness, I would like you to have a look and
- 2 Mr. Duty Counsel, Khmer 00270757, 758 and 761.
- 3 [11.08.50]
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Well, I'm not a good reader of Khmer so I think it is on Khmer
- 6 page 61, at the end 58 and 57, these are the relevant pages.
- 7 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Q. Mr. Witness, are you able to tell us something about this
- 9 document? is that your handwriting and signature that you see in
- 10 front of you?
- 11 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 12 A. Which ERN number, is it 00270761 in document E3/2424? Is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 Q. I just gave you my copy, so I'm not sure.
- 15 MR. KOPPE:
- 16 Mr. President, I suggest to do the following, to reorganise so we
- 17 have exactly the relevant page. I think we have it now but I'm
- 18 relying on my Khmer consultant to actually identify the document
- 19 so if that is alright with you I will re-address this issue after
- 20 the lunch break and I will move on to the next topic.
- 21 [11.13.00]
- 22 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 23 Q. So Mr. Witness, I will come back to this issue but now I would
- 24 like to ask you a few questions in relation to some other things
- 25 that the female witness we just spoke about has testified to.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

39

- 1 There are certain passages in her statement that I would like to
- 2 read to you and my question would be each time to ask for your
- 3 comment.
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 So Mr. President, this is E319/12.32. First, I would like to go
- 6 to question A56, and if the duty counsel has the question laid
- 7 out for the witness, I would like to quote it. The question is
- 8 about Ta Mok.
- 9 [11.14.03]
- 10 "Did you personally know him?", the witness is asked.
- 11 "Yes, I personally knew him. Sometimes he came to see the
- 12 co-operative kitchen and he asked the people whether they ate
- 13 enough or not. Ta Mok visited every site. I met Ta Mok."
- 14 My question is actually very simple, Mr. Witness: Can you confirm
- 15 or not that Ta Mok always asked whether people had enough to eat?
- 16 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 17 A. Ta Mok always asked like what the lady said in her statement,
- 18 he always asked whether people had enough food.
- 19 Q. In your recollection, did that mean that he was concerned
- 20 about whether people had enough to eat, whether that was one of
- 21 his prime concerns?
- 22 A. He was always concerned about this as he was a rice farmer.
- 23 [11.15.44]
- 24 Q. Do you recall any situations that he was shown situations in
- 25 which people didn't have enough to eat and then he instructed to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

40

- 1 take measures, he instructed people to take measures?
- 2 A. On some <occasions>, he took action, he took measures, he
- 3 would get the rice from his warehouse and supply to people <or a</p>
- 4 certain cooperative. I witnessed as such occasionally>.
- 5 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. The same witness was asked the
- 6 question in question 101, and I would like to read the question
- 7 to you and her answer. And again, I would like to ask you your
- 8 reaction.
- 9 Question: "After the Khmer Rouge declared their victory in 1975,
- 10 Phnom Penh was unpopulated because they evacuated the city. Many
- 11 people were evacuated to Sector 13. How did the Khmer Rouge
- 12 authorities divide or classify all of those evacuees?"
- 13 Answer: "As I remember, first, they concentrated them in one
- 14 place, then they divided them out to villages to live, mingle
- 15 together. They divided them out to each village based on the
- 16 economic scale of that village."
- 17 [11.17.35]
- 18 Please give your reaction to that testimony, Mr. Witness. Is that
- 19 accurate or not accurate what the witness says?
- 20 A. The statement here is correct.
- 21 O. Would you be able to tell us some more what that means "to
- 22 live, mingle together"? How did that work in practice?
- 23 A. "To live and mingle together" means that people from Phnom
- 24 Penh they were allowed to live with villagers; that's the
- 25 meaning. <For example, two or three families from Phnom Penh were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

41

- 1 allowed to live and mingle together in four to five or four to 10
- 2 houses in the village. > So, people from Phnom Penh, had to live
- 3 with villagers together. <>
- 4 Q. Do you know or do you remember if people who had come from
- 5 Phnom Penh to mingle with the people in the village were
- 6 discriminated against just for the reason that they came from
- 7 Phnom Penh earlier?
- 8 A. I never experienced any discrimination, I never encountered
- 9 such incident.
- 10 [11.19.40]
- 11 Q. Yet, Mr. Witness, there is testimony that so-called 17 April
- 12 People felt that they were not treated as well as the villagers.
- 13 Are you able to react to that?
- 14 A. Concerning this matter, I guess perhaps people from Phnom Penh
- 15 had their own thoughts and I myself have had <my own> thoughts
- 16 <as well>. I, at a time, thought that my relatives were from
- 17 Phnom Penh and <I thought> they were 17 April People<. It could
- 18 be the thought that people from Phnom Penh had among themselves.
- 19 Again, > I did not know this matter clearly. Perhaps people just
- 20 thought that by themselves.
- 21 Q. Do you whether there was a policy -- a Khmer Rouge policy --
- 22 to discriminate against the 17 April People, do you know if such
- 23 policy existed?
- 24 A. Before I came to live in Tram Kak district, I did not know
- 25 whether such policy existed. I did not recall when I went to live

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

42

- 1 in Tram Kak district. I heard the announcement
 the district
- 2 committee> that all people were equal.
- 3 [11.21.53]
- 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. I would like to move to another
- 5 passage from this witness's statement.
- 6 Mr. Duty Counsel, that is All1 up until 114.
- 7 Mr. Witness, I would like to read the whole passage, it is a
- 8 little bit longer than the previous one but please bear with me.
- 9 Question: "During the Khmer Rouge regime, the Party arranged
- 10 marriages. How did they arrange marriages? Was there a discussion
- 11 within the sector level or was that decided by the co-operative
- 12 level or village level?"
- 13 Answer: "The commune level listed the names of couples to be
- 14 married and send the names to the sector level to decide how many
- 15 couples were to be married each time. I, at the lower level, did
- 16 not dare to make decisions on this issue. The ones who loved each
- 17 other came to inform us and we listed their names and send their
- 18 names to the sector. Those who did not agree also told us they
- 19 did not agree and we crossed out their names."
- 20 [11.23.22]
- 21 Question: "We have evidence and we know that the people did not
- 22 freedom in choosing their spouses and the Party forced people to
- 23 get married, is this true?"
- 24 Answer: "The Party decided but they arranged for the New People
- 25 to marry the New People, while the Old People had to marry the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

43

- 1 Old People. We can say that they were forced marriages because
- 2 the Party decided them. If the New People had to marry Old People
- 3 and if anyone refused, we crossed their names out because some
- 4 people had bad backgrounds."
- 5 Question: "Were those forced marriages or those marriage
- 6 arrangements designated by Centre level down to Zone level to
- 7 sector level, then to district and commune level or were they
- 8 decided by the commune level or district level?"
- 9 Answer: "The Centre level did not decide. The commune and
- 10 district level did."
- 11 [11.24.28]
- 12 And finally, question: "Who decided who was to marry whom?"
- 13 Answer 114: "At my site, I checked which man and woman worked
- 14 together and got along with each other so I arranged them to
- 15 marry one and another. The village level reported to the commune
- 16 that this person was to marry that person and there were also
- 17 forced marriages. Then the commune level reported to the district
- 18 level but I did not know whether or not the district forward the
- 19 report to the upper echelon. I did not know how other sites
- 20 arranged their marriages."
- 21 I apologise I have also question 116 and it is the last question,
- 22 Mr. President:
- 23 "We have had evidence and we were made aware that many men and
- 24 women refused to get married because they had not known each
- 25 other, did you know about this issue, what happened if they

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

44

- 1 refused to get married as arranged?"
- 2 [11.25.30]
- 3 Answer: "Nothing like that happened at my site. They all had
- 4 known one and other because my site was not large. We arranged
- 5 their marriage and the couples agreed. But if the upper level did
- 6 not approve, they could not marry."
- 7 Now Mr. Witness, that's a long passage from this statement, and
- 8 my question is a general one: Do you agree or not with this
- 9 particular statement on the marriages?
- 10 A. I agree with the statements because the arrangement of
- 11 communes varied and as for my commune, we had our own
- 12 arrangement. I agree with this statement.
- 13 Q. Do you know if within the DK period there was a policy to
- 14 force man and woman against their will to marry each other, in
- 15 other words that they were married even if they didn't agree or
- 16 even if they didn't love each other?
- 17 A. I did not know this well, <but this witness's statement is
- 18 correct regarding the implementation at his/her particular area>.
- 19 I did not <know> well concerning this matter.
- 20 As for <marrying> against their will and as stated in the written
- 21 record here, <the names of that couple would be> crossed out.
- 22 [11.27.38]
- 23 Q. Do you know whether there was any general guideline coming
- 24 from the top to the bottom indicating that people could be forced
- 25 to marry?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

45

- 1 A. I did not recall whether there was such a principle or policy.
- 2 I did not recall it.
- 3 Q. What can you tell us about variation between the communes when
- 4 it comes to marriage? Do you know -- is it your recollection that
- 5 the way marriages were arranged or entered into varied from
- 6 commune to commune?
- 7 [11.28.48]
- 8 A. As for the marriage, the way of marriage, they were not quite
- 9 different from each other. For example, <five> couples would be
- 10 arranged <to get married by a> cooperative or commune <chief>.
- 11 The chief of cooperative or commune would <then> declare that the
- 12 four or five couples would be married and after the announcement
- 13 or after the declaration, the couples would be asked to rise up
- 14 and make a resolution whether they agreed to live together. Males
- 15 would rise first and make resolution and after that <it would be
- 16 the females'> turn and after the making of the resolution of the
- 17 five or four couples, there would be dinner. There would be
- 18 chicken, there would be soup, there would be rice.
- 19 Q. You're talking about the ceremony? What can you tell us about
- 20 the way man and woman found each other; do you know whether there
- 21 was a difference between one commune and the other commune in the
- 22 way that couples were brought together?
- 23 A. <Usually, there were no cross-commune marriages. > Actually,
- 24 man and woman were from the same commune but perhaps man and
- 25 woman were from different villages, they were in the same mobile

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

46

- 1 unit or in the same work site. They could see each other every
- 2 day and perhaps they could be matched. There was <an> arrangement
- 3 in Leay Bour <commune in which a group of drivers attached to the
- 4 Sector requested to get married to female members of the mobile
- 5 unit of Leay Bour commune. That was a unique case as they were
- 6 from the Sector office, but they requested to get married to
- 7 members of the mobile unit of Leay Bour commune. They had met,
- 8 and fallen in love with each other. And eventually, we agreed to
- 9 the request>. And as for the marriage ceremony, I mentioned to
- 10 you already, <> no music <was> played, there were no achars
- 11 attending the ceremony.
- 12 [11.32.02]
- 13 O. My last question on this subject, Mr. President, before the
- 14 break: Do you remember or recall any instances in which a woman
- 15 who didn't like her future husband was nevertheless forced to
- 16 marry him?
- 17 A. I witnessed one incident in Leay Bour <commune in which a>
- 18 driver from Sector 13 got married with a woman <who was a member
- 19 of> the mobile unit in Leay Bour <commune>. After <four to> 10
- 20 days of marriage, the woman refused <> to live with that man.
- 21 There was no reaction at that time from the chief of the commune
- 22 and the couple <lived separately> for a while. <After, both the>
- 23 woman <and the> man <were advised by their respective>
- 24 colleagues, <they started> to live together <again>.
- 25 [11.33.36]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

47

- 1 There was another incident <in which> the couple <requested to be
- 2 separated after a month of marriage. They lived <separately for
- 3 years, and after the Vietnamese invasion, the husband ran into
- 4 the forest <along the border. Upon arrival at the border, he lied
- 5 to people there that his wife had died, and that he himself had
- 6 buried her. He was doing that in order to request for another
- 7 marriage. Son-in-law of Ta Mok by the name of Borann (phonetic),
- 8 chief of transport unit, did not agree to the man's request. So
- 9 they came to ask me who was being stationed at Phnum Damrei
- 10 Romeal whether the man's wife was still alive, and I told them
- 11 that she was still alive. So the decision was to have me bring
- 12 the man's wife to him. It was also decided that the man was to
- 13 live with his wife. They finally lived with each other again, and
- 14 had four children. Before the reintegration into the Royal
- 15 Government, the lady> ran away from the husband again. So these
- 16 were the two incidents I encountered and I witnessed.
- 17 Q. One last follow up question, Mr. Witness: You mentioned only
- 18 two incidents, do you know whether the women in these two
- 19 incidents were ever punished or did ever get in trouble because
- 20 of their issues?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 MR. KOPPE:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. Witness.
- 24 [11.35.26]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

48

- 1 Thank you very much. It is now time for lunch and the Court will
- 2 adjourn now until 1.30.
- 3 Court officer, please facilitate a proper room for this witness
- 4 and for the duty counsel and you are instructed to invite the
- 5 witness together with the duty counsel into this courtroom before
- 6 1.30.
- 7 Security personnel are instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan to a
- 8 waiting room downstairs and have him returned before 1.30 p.m.
- 9 this afternoon.
- 10 The Court is now adjourned.
- 11 (Court recesses from 1136H to 1333H)
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Please be seated. The Trial Chamber is now in session and we
- 14 would like to give the floor to the Nuon Chea defence counsel to
- 15 continue his line of questioning.
- 16 Counsel, you may proceed.
- 17 [13.34.09]
- 18 MR. KOPPE:
- 19 Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Your Honours. Good
- 20 afternoon, Your Honours.
- 21 In response to your oral request this morning in relation to the
- 22 witness that was requested by the Prosecution, of course, there's
- 23 no doubt that this witness is very relevant and I think she
- 24 should be scheduled as soon as possible. However, of course, we
- 25 have the same problem with her -- with this witness, there might

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

49

- 1 be a lot of evidence out there which describes activities on the
- 2 sector level. So, with that caveat, we do not object to having
- 3 that witness scheduled as soon as possible.
- 4 Having said that, Mr. President, I would like to continue with my
- 5 questions if that's all right, to the witness.
- 6 [13.35.09]
- 7 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Q. Mr. Witness, I was -- before the lunch break, I was reading
- 9 some excerpts from the statement of the witness that you know
- 10 that I whom I'm talking about. I would ask -- I would like to
- 11 ask you a few more questions in relation to the excerpts of her
- 12 statement. More particularly, I would like to read to you her
- 13 answer to question A141, and again -- the same procedure -- I
- 14 would like to ask your reaction.
- 15 So Mr. Witness, she's asked by the Investigators the following
- 16 question:
- 17 "Were you authorised to appoint or remove the cooperative
- 18 chairperson?"
- 19 Answer 141: "There was an election -- there was an election to
- 20 select a good cooperative chairperson in the village to find a
- 21 good person. After the villages had agreed to select someone, I
- 22 appointed that person to be a chairperson. I was authorised to
- 23 remove bad or uncivilised cooperative chairpersons and make them
- 24 become ordinary people again. The cooperative chairpersons were
- 25 normally selected from the ordinary people."

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

50

- 1 [13.36.41]
- 2 Question: "If a cooperative chairperson did not act well, was the
- 3 cooperative chairperson arrested or re-fashioned or re-educated?"
- 4 Answer: "Cooperative chiefs were not arrested or re-educated, but
- 5 the people were. Bad and dishonest cooperative chiefs were
- 6 removed and sent back to be ordinary people to do work, such as
- 7 transporting firewood, carrying vegetables and so on."
- 8 My question, Mr. Witness, is your reaction to this particular
- 9 excerpt in the witness' statement. Do you agree with this
- 10 statement yes or no?
- 11 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 12 A. On this issue, I have never <encountered> any removal or
- 13 <replacement of people>.
- 14 [13.38.02]
- 15 Q. Very well. My question is particularly -- my following
- 16 question is particularly directed to the word -- to the words
- 17 "bad and uncivilised cooperative chairpersons". Is that something
- 18 that rings a bell with you? Do you know what this witness means
- 19 with "bad or uncivilised cooperative chairpersons"?
- 20 A. It's difficult for me to mention or to give a definition to
- 21 this word because it was based on a case by case. I don't know
- 22 the real case in which cooperative, in which village it was. So
- 23 it's difficult for me to respond to your question.
- 24 Q. Do you know or do you remember whether, for instance, in
- 25 "Revolutionary Flags", there were directives on -- directives to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

51

- 1 cadres as to how to behave toward the people?
- 2 A. The "Revolutionary Flag", I saw it but I forget those
- 3 instructions because it has been more than 30 years now. But
- 4 there was -- there were instructions during the study session
- 5 that the cadres should do good thing for the people, the cadres
- 6 should try to work hard to provide food, shelter for the people.
- 7 Those study <sessions> were held at the zone office or at the
- 8 sector office. Sometimes it was organised in Phnom Penh.
- 9 [13.40.48]
- 10 Q. And do you remember or do you know whether the general policy
- 11 was to sanction or to punish disciplinarily cadres who acted
- 12 badly toward the people?
- 13 A. I do not recall this.
- 14 Q. Very well. I will move to another subject -- another excerpt
- 15 from this particular witness. It's her statement. This topic has
- 16 been discussed already previously in the last days. But
- 17 nevertheless, I would like to revisit it. And these are her
- 18 answers to questions 147, 148, and 151, and 152. So again, I will
- 19 read these excerpts to you. I see that --
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Duty counsel doesn't have the document. Court officer is
- 22 instructed to bring the document from the counsel and hand it
- 23 over to duty counsel.
- 24 [13.42.27]
- 25 BY MR. KOPPE:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

52

- 1 Counsel, 147, I would like to start with.
- 2 Q. So again, Mr. Witness, this is a topic being discussed but I
- 3 want to read to you the exact passage -- excerpt from her
- 4 statement.
- 5 Question 147: "What were the minor crimes and what were the
- 6 serious crimes?"
- 7 Answer: "The cooperative chairperson whom I talked about who had
- 8 stolen a little food to eat was regarded as a minor criminal. The
- 9 ones who stole pottery that had been collected to be stored were
- 10 also deemed minor criminals. But the ones who damaged materials,
- 11 walked around to entice others and impact Angkar by words, or
- 12 opposed Angkar or used trickery were regarded as serious
- 13 criminals. Rape of other people's wife was also considered a
- 14 serious crime because they all were arrested and sent to be
- 15 re-educated. All that was only in the base under my supervision."
- 16 [13.43.45]
- 17 Question: "In that regime, everything was communal property and
- 18 opposed private ownership. Theft of supplies of small amounts to
- 19 eat was a minor crime. Were those acts against the Revolution?"
- 20 Answer: "I would like to inform you that, firstly, theft, due to
- 21 hunger, was a minor crime. Secondly, frequent theft, which was
- 22 more serious, was reported to me by the lower level".
- 23 And finally Mr. Witness, Question 151, the answer to the question
- 24 -- the question is as follows: "You specified two types of minor
- 25 and serious crimes and serious crime included sexual rape. In

01487263

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

53

- 1 terms of punishment, how were the victims and perpetrators
- 2 punished?"
- 3 Answer 151: "The victim was not punished, only the rapist was
- 4 punished."
- 5 [13.44.50]
- 6 Question -- final question: "When we talk about the term 'rape'
- 7 -- that is, the act of forcing someone by violence to have sexual
- 8 intercourse, did you mean this?"
- 9 Answer: "Yes. In cases of a man raping a woman and the woman did
- 10 not consent, the man was punished while the woman was not."
- 11 Now Mr. Witness, my first and general questions is: Do you agree
- 12 with these excerpts from the witness' statement?
- 13 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 14 A. I agree to the excerpt you have just read to me.
- 15 Q. To be more specific, when she said theft due to hunger was a
- 16 minor crime, that is something that you agree to?
- 17 A. This is a minor <offence>.
- 18 Q. And is it correct that rape no, let me rephrase. Was rape
- 19 always considered to be a serious crime in the DK period?
- 20 A. Rape by force where a woman -- without any consent from a
- 21 woman, it was a serious crime.
- 22 [13.47.08]
- 23 Q. And to your knowledge, to your recollections -- recollection,
- 24 were perpetrators of rape arrested in the DK period?
- 25 A. Yes. There <would be> arrest and <re-education> based on <the>>

01487264

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambo Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

54

- 1 level <of crime>.
- 2 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. I would like to move on now to another
- 3 topic. And that is a passage and excerpt from your own statement.
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Mr. President, that is E319115, question -- questions A103 until
- 6 A107.
- 7 Let me first ask you a general question after you have had a
- 8 chance to look at the questions and your answers. So it's A103 up
- 9 until A107.
- 10 MR. MOEURN SOVANN:
- 11 Mr. President, I did not receive all the <pages of the document>
- 12 from the counsel.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Mr. Koppe, could you provide the documents with all pages, but
- 15 the one that you gave to the duty counsel miss some pages.
- 16 [13.49.37]
- 17 MR. KOPPE:
- 18 Mr. President, this is his own statement so I think he should
- 19 have it because we were referring to it quite a bit yesterday and
- 20 the day before yesterday. It's a statement of this witness,
- 21 E319.1.15.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Court officer, please review the document together with the duty
- 24 counsel to see if there's certain pages missing from the document
- 25 as indicated by the duty counsel.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

55

- 1 Yes, you should use another copy instead of the previous one.
- 2 MR. KOPPE:
- 3 Counsel, it's 103 to 107.
- 4 (Short pause)
- 5 [13.51.15]
- 6 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Q. Mr. Witness, the questions and your answers are relating to Ta
- 8 Sy or Chou Chet. Can you tell the Court in general what do you
- 9 remember of Sy -- Ta Sy or Chou Chet?
- 10 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 11 A. I remember in 1970, the <National United Front> assigned me as
- 12 a member of the <front> in Tram Kak district. It was just for a
- 13 few months. I don't remember well. I don't remember the number of
- 14 months. And then, I was called to Pis Mountain, to the north of
- 15 National Road Number 4 in Kampong Speu province. There I met with
- 16 Ta Sy, and I left Tram Kak to Pis Mountain. I spent <six to>
- 17 seven days <there>. I met Ta Sy and he told me to receive a task
- 18 to be in charge of education, to teach alphabet and letter in
- 19 Takeo and Kampong Speu and Kampot. <He issued a letter of
- 20 appointment. > And then I returned to Tram Kak district in Takeo
- 21 province. The duration when I left Tram Kak to Phnom -- Pis
- 22 Mountain, and when I returned, it was a full month. And then, I
- 23 became sick after that. <I had been sick for six months.> That's
- 24 all I remember about my meeting with Ta Sy.
- 25 [13.53.29]

01487266

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

56

- 1 Q. How well did you know Ta Sy? Did you have many conversations
- 2 with him? Did you meet him often? Can you tell a little bit more
- 3 about your relationship with Ta Sy or Chou Chet?
- 4 A. I <did not meet> him very often. <I just met him once.> And
- 5 later I returned to Tram Kak and later I <never met> him <again>.
- 6 So I don't know much <as to> what happened and what <> he <did>
- 7 during that period.
- 8 Q. Do you know anything about Chou Chet's position toward
- 9 Vietnam?
- 10 A. I don't know about that.
- 11 Q. Do you know anything about a relation between Ta Sy, Chou Chet
- 12 and Ta Mok?
- 13 A. Ta Sy and Ta Mok were in the same zone committee, so they were
- 14 in the same zone committee.
- 15 Q. Do you remember when Ta Sy became the leader of the West Zone?
- 16 A. I don't remember this.
- 17 [13.55.42]
- 18 Q. Do you know Chou Chet's wife Im Naen?
- 19 A. I don't know a person by the name of Im Na (phonetic), but I
- 20 heard his wife was called Yeay Klei (phonetic).
- 21 Q. So Im Naen is not -- or is that another name of Chou Chet's
- 22 wife?
- 23 A. I don't know the individual by the name of Im Na (phonetic).
- 24 But the wife of Ta Sy was known as Yeay Klei (phonetic).
- 25 Q. Is one name maybe an alias -- revolutionary alias and the

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

57

- 1 other one isn't or you don't know?
- 2 A. I don't know about this.
- 3 Q. Do you know what happened to Chou Chet in 1978?
- 4 A. In 1978, I think it might be that Ta Sy became the Secretary
- 5 of the West Zone. And from the Zone Office of the West Zone to
- 6 Tram Kak, it is -- it was a far distance. And as you know well
- 7 during DK period, we had no telephone, and we don't have a radio
- 8 broadcast about everything like what we have now. So I didn't
- 9 know about that.
- 10 [13.58.32]
- 11 Q. Maybe I will refresh your memory, Mr. Witness.
- 12 Was Chou Chet executed in May '78?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. So you don't know at all Chou Chet's fate, is that your
- 15 answer?
- 16 A. Counsel, how could I know <since> he was in the West Zone? I
- 17 was at Tram Kak district <of Takeo>. It was far away from each
- 18 other. We had no telephone communication, we had no television
- 19 and there were no broadcast about that <incident>. Because I was
- 20 a lower cadre, how could I know? How could I respond to you for
- 21 that question?
- 22 Q. Did you hear after 1979 what the fate was -- what the fate was
- 23 of Chou Chet? Do you know that he ended up in S-21?
- 24 A. After 1979, I fled into the jungle at the mountain area. How
- 25 could I obtain any information about that?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

58

- 1 [14.00.40]
- 2 Q. Very well. I'll name a few names to you. People from Sector
- 3 13, and I would like to ask you if you have any knowledge of
- 4 possible relationship between these people and Chou Chet. My
- 5 first question is about Saom, the Secretary of Sector 13. Do you
- 6 have any idea whether there was a relation between Saom and Chou
- 7 Chet?
- 8 A. No, I did not know about the relationship <between> Ta Saom,
- 9 the Sector 13 <Secretary> and Chou Chet. The last time I met Ta
- 10 Saom <> was at the current Khmer-Soviet Hospital when <> my
- 11 <left> knee <was> injured and <> I had to be hospitalised for
- 12 three months. And at that time, he was also hospitalised because
- 13 he had tuberculosis. And after <I left the hospital>, I never had
- 14 any news from him.
- 15 Q. Do you know if there was any relation between Chou Chet and Ta
- 16 Keav?
- 17 A. No, I <do> not.
- 18 Q. Do you know if there's any relation between Chou Chet and
- 19 Moeun?
- 20 A. I did not know the person by the name of Moeun.
- 21 [14.02.54]
- 22 Q. Moeun, the younger brother of Neary Pov (phonetic), does that
- 23 ring a bell?
- 24 A. I did not know this woman, Neary Pov (phonetic).
- 25 Q. Do you know if there's any relation between Chou Chet and the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

59

- 1 undersecretary of Sector 13, Penh?
- 2 A. I knew brother Penh. However, as to the contact or
- 3 relationship between him and Chou Chet, I had no knowledge of.
- 4 Q. I mentioned to you Ta Keav, Moeun, Saom, Penh, do you know
- 5 what happened to them in the DK period?
- 6 A. Allow me to clarify, as for Moeun, I did not know that person;
- 7 and as for Keav, I knew him and I saw him working in Tram Kak
- 8 district. In 1970, he had a small physical build and at that
- 9 time, he was working with Khom. And I lost contact with him by
- 10 the time I was transferred <to> Kaoh Andaet district.
- 11 [14.05.04]
- 12 Q. To your knowledge, were -- was any of these persons that I
- 13 just mentioned arrested for -- had been accused of being a
- 14 traitor?
- 15 A. No, I did not and brother Penh, the <deputy secretary> of
- 16 Sector 13 fled to the forest <in 1979>. And later on, he died
- 17 when he came out from the forest. He died in Kampot province. He
- 18 died from illness and I even attended his funeral.
- 19 Q. So this means you also have no knowledge of these people being
- 20 potentially within Chou Chet's network; is that correct?
- 21 A. That is correct. I did not know -- I did not know the
- 22 relationship between these four individuals <and> Chou Chet. And
- 23 as I said early, I did not know Moeun. I knew Khieu and I knew
- 24 Penh, the Deputy Secretary of Sector 13, as I lived with him in
- 25 Kaoh Andaet district. He went -- or he fled to the jungle in the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

60

- 1 forest upon invasion by the Vietnamese troops. Later on, he died
- 2 in the area west of Phe (phonetic) river in Kampot province and I
- 3 attended his funeral as well.
- 4 [14.06.58]
- 5 Q. Okay, Mr. Witness. I will move on to another topic. What I
- 6 would like to do now is use the same as I did with the statements
- 7 of the female witness. This time, I would like to put to you some
- 8 answers in this witness' statement -- that is, E313.1.18, Mr.
- 9 President. I am looking at duty counsel whether he has that
- 10 statement, E313.1.18.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Duty Counsel, have you located the relevant document?
- 13 Does he have it, Court officer? It seems that the duty counsel
- 14 and the witness do not have the relevant document.
- 15 And Defence Counsel, can you provide the witness with a Khmer
- 16 version of the document?
- 17 MR. KOPPE:
- 18 I will, Mr. President, but in the meantime, I will just start
- 19 with my questions and I will read the answer and the question to
- 20 the witness and I will do it slowly, so he can follow. This
- 21 witness -- I don't think I can mention his name, can I? No?
- 22 [14.09.58]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 And Counsel, you may write the name on a piece of paper and Court
- 25 officer can deliver that name to the witness. We shall conform to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

61

- 1 the issue of confidentiality as imposed by the International
- 2 Co-Investigating Judge.
- 3 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 4 Q. So this is the witness that I'm speaking about, Mr. Witness.
- 5 In question 757, the Investigators asked this particular witness
- 6 the following question:
- 7 "To your knowledge, did Ta Mok receive orders directly from Pol
- 8 Pot or Son Sen alias Brother 89, the Chief of the General Staff?"
- 9 Answer: "Ta Mok received direct orders from Pol Pot."
- 10 [14.11.32]
- 11 Question: "What convinced you to believe that Ta Mok received
- 12 direct orders from Pol Pot?"
- 13 Answer: "Because Ta Mok was the second person to Pol Pot.
- 14 Organisationally, the first person was Pol Pot; the second one
- 15 was Nuon Chea and Ta Mok was the third person. But when receiving
- 16 orders, Ta Mok received direct orders from Pol Pot, not Nuon
- 17 Chea.
- 18 As for Son Sen, he was in the Central Committee of the Party, but
- 19 under Ta Mok. After finishing the purges in the four zones, Pol
- 20 Pot appointed Ta Mok as the Chief of the General Staff, superior
- 21 to Son Sen. It was an internal matter of the Party. When Ta Mok
- 22 was appointed, there was announcement on the radio, and Son Sen
- 23 continued his work under Ta Mok."
- 24 Mr. Witness, my question is whether you are able, to your
- 25 knowledge, to give a reaction to this statement. Is that correct

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

62

- 1 what this witness is saying?
- 2 [14.12.52]
- 3 A. On the issue of the organisation structure, Pol Pot with his
- 4 alias as Brother Number One was the top person and that is
- 5 correct. And Ta Mok was likely the third top person, who received
- 6 direct orders from Pol Pot. Son Sen was in charge of the general
- 7 staff for the army. Later on, I did not have a full grasp of the
- 8 reshuffling of the structure -- that is, after the purges <that
- 9 were carried out within four zones>. I did not know the details
- 10 about <Ta Mok's appointment by Pol Pot as the general staff in
- 11 replacement of > Son Sen <>.
- 12 O. There is another witness who testified earlier here who said
- 13 that Ta Mok was known as Brother Number Two. Is he correct or is
- 14 he not correct?
- 15 A. That is not correct. From the statement you read out, Ta Mok
- 16 was the third top person and it is also my understanding that Ta
- 17 Mok was the third top person. <Ta Mok received direct orders from
- 18 Pol Pot.>
- 19 Q. Okay, Mr. Witness, I will move on to the next excerpt from
- 20 this witness statement. And that is his answer to question 64.
- 21 The question is about plans being received from the upper echelon
- 22 and his answer is as follows:
- 23 "The meeting was held to receive work assignment. As for me, I
- 24 was assigned to work at a rubber plantation in Kampong Cham to
- 25 organise cooperatives there. Other people were assigned with

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

63

- 1 different tasks. During a meeting, Pol Pot said about betrayal in
- 2 the zones and it was also written on blackboards."
- 3 [14.15.23]
- 4 Question: "You said that Pol Pot mentioned about betrayal in the
- 5 zones. Did Pol Pot say about purges in the zones and organising
- 6 new structures?"
- 7 Answer 65: "Pol Pot did not use the word 'purges'. He said that
- 8 'this zone is traitorous. I assign you, comrade, to go; you are
- 9 my right hand man, deal with it. Can you do it?' The leader said
- 10 like this."
- 11 My question: Do you know of such a meeting where Pol Pot
- 12 attended?
- 13 A. No, I did not as I did not attend such a meeting.
- 14 Q. Following up on this -- following up the question of Judge
- 15 Lavergne yesterday, you were speaking about a meeting of cadres
- 16 at which Nuon Chea attended and spoke. My question is: Do you
- 17 remember how many cadres were with you listening to the words of
- 18 Nuon Chea?
- 19 [14.16.48]
- 20 A. I cannot recall the number -- that is, the total number. As
- 21 for the Borei Keila building located to the north of Preah Put
- 22 Pagoda, that hall at the Borei Keila was fully packed. But I
- 23 cannot recall the exact number.
- 24 Q. But if you try to remember in your recollection, how many --
- 25 approximately how many cadres were listening to the speech of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

64

- 1 Nuon Chea? Were it tens, were it hundreds, thousands?
- 2 A. It is my estimate that the number was around 100 or a few
- 3 less.
- 4 Q. Judge Lavergne tried yesterday to jog your memory in relation
- 5 to that meeting. Do you know whether, similar like Pol Pot in the
- 6 other meeting, Nuon Chea did not use the word "purges"?
- 7 A. I cannot recall it.
- 8 [14.18.56]
- 9 O. Next question is also based on an excerpt of this witness.
- 10 Question 95: The witness is asked by the Investigating -- by the
- 11 Investigators, the following question:
- 12 "You said that Son Sen was under Ta Mok. To your knowledge, was
- 13 it possible that Ta Mok was the one who assigned Meas Muth as the
- 14 Division 2 Secretary?"
- 15 Answer: "I do not think so because the Central Committee in
- 16 charge of the military made the decision. The people in charge of
- 17 the military included Son Sen, Ta Mok, and Pol Pot. No single
- 18 person decided this matter; it was decided by all of them. I
- 19 would like to answer that I do not know the answer to this
- 20 question because I am not sure."
- 21 Mr. Witness, I can understand that you have no answer, but do you
- 22 know anything about the Military Committee within the Central
- 23 Committee?
- 24 A. No, I did not know about the Central Military Committee. What
- 25 I knew was that Son Sen was in charge of the general staff. As to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

65

- 1 the number of his deputies, or members, I had no idea.
- 2 [14.20.52]
- 3 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. Now question 126 of this witness
- 4 statement, again I would like to read that statement to you -- or
- 5 that answer to you, and I would like to ask your reaction.
- 6 When I -- 126: "When I left for Kampong Cham, they remained in
- 7 the Sector Committee. One year before the Vietnamese invaded,
- 8 Saom was transferred to Phnom Penh and assigned as the Office
- 9 Chairman of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs."
- 10 Do you know if this is the Saom that I just mentioned when I
- 11 asked you the question if he had any relationship with Chou Chet?
- 12 A. Yes, that was him, that's Saom. But I did not know that he was
- 13 appointed to be in charge of an office at the Ministry of Foreign
- 14 Affairs. As I stated earlier, I saw him in July or August of
- 15 <'77> when he was hospitalised at the Khmer-Soviet Hospital. <He
- 16 was suffering from tuberculosis.>
- 17 Q. Just -- I'm not sure if I asked this before, but did you have
- 18 frequent contact with Saom?
- 19 A. When brother Saom was the Secretary of Sector in Takeo
- 20 province around 1970 or 1971, then I was transferred to Kaoh
- 21 Andaet district and I only met him roughly once every six months
- 22 at that time, and <I usually came with Brother Penh.
- 23 Subsequently, after 1975, I had a rather frequent contact with
- 24 him, mainly on a monthly basis, and my main contact with him was
- 25 on the matter of the study or training sessions.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

66

- 1 [14.23.40]
- 2 Q. How about in the period between '75 and '79 -- I'm not clear
- 3 on this -- did you see him and speak with him frequently between
- 4 '75 and '79?
- 5 A. I met him probably once a month, or once every two months,
- 6 during that period. From the period of 1975 to '77, I was at Kaoh
- 7 Andaet district so I did not meet him that frequently. I met him
- 8 during that period probably once every two months, or three
- 9 months. But after 1977, I met him at the hospital, as I stated
- 10 earlier. <He was also a patient there.>
- 11 Q. And is it correct that you never spoke to him about his
- 12 relationship with Chou Chet? Or that you don't know about any
- 13 relationship between him and Chou Chet?
- 14 A. I already responded to that question, that I did not know
- 15 about their relationship.
- 16 [14.25.26]
- 17 Q. You're right, Mr. Witness. Now I will move on to question 188
- 18 in this same witness statement. The witness in answer 188 is
- 19 referring to a document, and -- he answers in relation to a
- 20 question about this document: "I received an instruction letter
- 21 from Pol Pot in August 1978 to stop executing people. This letter
- 22 was issued to the public."
- 23 Do you remember anything about a letter from Pol Pot, a public
- letter, ordering the executions to stop?
- 25 A. My apology; I cannot recall that.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

67

- 1 Q. That's all right. My -- I think last question when it comes to
- 2 this particular witness, and that is about his answer in 244, you
- 3 briefly spoke about this already, but I would like to ask you a
- 4 specific question. The witness is being asked:
- 5 "Do you know what happened to the Khmer Krom when they arrived in
- 6 Kirivong district?" 243.
- 7 And his answer, 244: "I was not worried about the Khmer Krom
- 8 because they were mistreated by the Vietnamese so they fled to
- 9 Cambodia."
- 10 And in his answer, 248, he says: "I think that those Khmer Krom
- 11 people left Vietnam because they were mistreated by the
- 12 Vietnamese and fled for help from Khmer people."
- 13 Do you know anything about Khmer Krom people fleeing Vietnam
- 14 because they were mistreated by the Vietnamese?
- 15 [14.27.59]
- 16 A. When Vietnamese troops entered Kampuchea, I fled to the
- 17 <mountains> and I came across the Khmer Krom people who were also
- 18 fleeing, and they were under the supervision of a man known as Ta
- 19 Prach (phonetic), but I did not know the exact number of Khmer
- 20 Krom <soldiers> in this group. <They were also fleeing.>
- 21 Q. Do you know anything about the mistreatment of Khmer Krom
- 22 people by the Vietnamese in 1975 or before, or 1976, 1977?
- 23 A. No, I was not aware of that.
- 24 Q. Mr. Witness, in a report on the visit of the Japanese
- 25 Friendship Association delegation -- that is, E3/294; English,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

68

- 1 ERN 00170173 -- I will follow up, Mr. President, with the French
- 2 and the Khmer ERN. And in relation to this visit, I read the
- 3 following in the report on that visit. It says, in the second
- 4 paragraph of the first page of E3/294:
- 5 [14.30.14]
- 6 "In the Takeo sector, the friendly quests interviewed some Khmer
- 7 Krom compatriots who were victims of Vietnamese persecution and
- 8 suppression and who have taken refuge in Kirivong district. The
- 9 friendly visitors were shocked by the tales told by the Khmer
- 10 Krom compatriots about the massacres and the atrocities
- 11 perpetrated by the Vietnamese with the aim of exterminating the
- 12 Khmer race in the most fascist and savage manner."
- 13 I know, Mr. Witness, that you were not involved in receiving the
- 14 Japanese delegation, but do you know anything about this apparent
- 15 concern that was uttered to the Japanese delegation?
- 16 A. Since I did not meet them I didn't know anything about that.
- 17 [14.31.24]
- 18 Q. Well, the Japanese visitors were told that these apparent
- 19 atrocities occurred. You were in that commune, you had received
- 20 earlier delegations. So again my question is: Do you remember
- 21 anything about persecution by Vietnam of Khmer Krom and that
- 22 representatives of the DK were reporting this to foreign
- 23 visitors?
- 24 A. I already stated that I did not meet with this Japanese
- 25 delegation. I only met the Khmer Krom people when I was fleeing

01487279

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

69

- 1 to the mountains and those Khmer Krom <soldiers> were under the
- 2 <command> of Ta Prach (phonetic). On the tragedy of the Khmer
- 3 Krom people, I did not witness it or hear it during the regime.
- 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. In the same document, DK
- 5 representatives speak to members of the Japanese delegation and
- 6 they, according to this report, told them that they oppose
- 7 hegemonism and that they oppose the Indochina Federation. That's
- 8 in the third paragraph of this document, E3/294. Mr. Witness,
- 9 what is the Indochina Federation?
- 10 A. I heard Ta Mok spoke about the Indochina Federation and that
- 11 it refers to the Federation amongst Vietnam, Laos and Kampuchea.
- 12 During the French colony, the French used the term "Indochine
- 13 Française", and it referred to this Indochina Federation that
- 14 encompassed the three countries: Vietnam, Laos and Kampuchea. Ta
- 15 Mok also stated that Vietnam wanted to <merge> the three
- 16 countries <into> one<, and that is Vietnam>.
- 17 [14.34.30]
- 18 Q. And did Ta Mok ever explain how Vietnam intended to achieve
- 19 this purpose?
- 20 A. Yes, he did give explanation on that. He explained to me and
- 21 to other cadres on the issue of the Indochina Federation <and its
- 22 purpose>.
- 23 Q. Do you remember his exact words?
- 24 A. It's been so many years so I cannot recall the exact words
- 25 that he spoke at the time.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

70

- 1 Q. Do you know, or do you remember how Vietnam tried to achieve
- 2 the purpose or the goal of an Indochinese Federation? Do you
- 3 remember anything about that?
- 4 A. No, I cannot recall it.
- 5 [14.36.12]
- 6 O. Mr. Witness, I'm sure you've read "Revolutionary Flags"; I'm
- 7 sure you've listened to the radio of Democratic Kampuchea; don't
- 8 you remember anything what DK officials were saying at the time
- 9 about Vietnam's ambitions?
- 10 A. I listened to the radio and I read the "Revolutionary Flag"
- 11 magazines; I heard and I actually heard something similar to the
- 12 statement that you just read out. However, now I dare not want to
- 13 speak about this because lots of Vietnamese people are now living
- 14 in Cambodia.
- 15 Q. That is true. Mr. Witness, I will move to another subject.
- 16 It's also almost 20 minutes to 3.00. Mr. President, just to be
- 17 complete, the ERN number -- there are no French and Khmer ERN
- 18 numbers, I just -- so I would like to move to another subject.
- 19 But if you want to take the break now, then I am in your hands.
- MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Thank you, Counsel, and since you will start a new topic, it is
- 22 now convenient to take a short break. We'll take a break now and
- 23 return at 3 o'clock.
- 24 And Court officer, please assist the witness during the break and
- 25 invite him, as well as his duty counsel back into the courtroom

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

71

- 1 at 3 o'clock.
- 2 The Court is now in recess.
- 3 (Court recesses from 1438H to 1501H)
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session and before I
- 6 hand the floor to counsel Victor Koppe, the Chamber would like to
- 7 remind the defence teams that you have the rest of this afternoon
- 8 and one additional tomorrow morning session and that is all the
- 9 time allowed for the Defence. You can proceed, Counsel Koppe. And
- 10 Counsel Koppe, please arrange the time -- the combined time for
- 11 the defence teams, with the Khieu Samphan defence team. Thank
- 12 you.
- 13 [15.02.08]
- 14 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 15 Yes, Mr. President.
- 16 Mr. Witness, I have a few more topics that I would like to
- 17 discuss with you, but before I do that I would like to go back to
- 18 your very last answer before the break. I'm not quite sure if I
- 19 understand.
- 20 Q. Did you say that you were afraid to give answers on Vietnam's
- 21 policy because of what exactly?
- 22 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 23 A. Counsel, please put your question again.
- 24 Q. You said something before the break, Mr. Witness, when I was
- 25 asking you questions about the Indochinese Federation and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

72

- 1 Vietnam's ambitions and then you said something to the effect
- 2 that you were somehow afraid to speak about that because of the
- 3 fact that many Vietnamese lived in Kampuchea. Was that your
- 4 statement? And if yes, what exactly do you mean with that?
- 5 [15.04.00]
- 6 A. I prefer not to talk in length about the ambition of Vietnam
- 7 because if I do so, then I would be concerned about my personal
- 8 safety. When I appear before this Court, my photo, my voice, my
- 9 video and the content of what I say are broadcast everywhere by
- 10 radio and television. Vietnamese people could hear it and listen
- 11 to it and <they even live> in Samlout <and Pailin> and everywhere
- 12 also can hear it and listen to it. For that reason, and for my
- 13 personal security, I prefer not to talk at length on this issue.
- 14 Q. Also when I ask questions about Vietnam's policy 40 years ago?
- 15 A. I still have concern as I just stated.
- 16 [15.05.30]
- 17 Q. Very well, Mr. Witness, I move on to my new -- to my last
- 18 topics and one of my last topics is something that I would like
- 19 to discuss with you with the document E3/4093 in hands. That's
- 20 the document that we have been discussing today and yesterday at
- 21 length.
- 22 Mr. Duty Counsel, E3/4093.
- 23 And more specifically, I would like to ask you a question about
- 24 English page English, ERN page 00831489; Khmer, 00270790; and
- 25 French, 00729676. This report is writing something about two

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

73

- 1 women, one is named Naichi, the wife of Seng.
- 2 MR. KOPPE:
- 3 I see that the witness still hasn't -- this one, I know, Mr.
- 4 President, he has in front of him. This is E3/4093. That's the
- 5 document with your signature. And then I would like to take you,
- 6 Mr. Witness, to Khmer page that ends with 90. And the document
- 7 talks about two females: Naichi, the wife of Seng; and Phana. Are
- 8 you seeing it?
- 9 Mr. President, I have an extra copy.
- 10 [15.08.00]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Court officer, please take the document from the defence counsel
- 13 for the witness examination.
- 14 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 15 Q. So, like I said, Mr. Witness, I would like to draw your
- 16 attention to what is written in relation to Naichi and especially
- 17 the box that I made for you. It says, and I read the English
- 18 translation:
- 19 "This woman has contradictions with the revolution leading to
- 20 endless sorting out. Along with this, she constantly looks down
- 21 on the leading cadre. As for her activities, she pretends to be
- 22 crazy and endlessly steals everything. No matter how she is
- 23 educated, she refuses to refashion herself."
- 24 My question, Mr. Witness, is to the sentence -- about the
- 25 sentence "no matter how she is educated, she refuses to refashion

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

74

- 1 herself". Would you be able to say anything about the amount of
- 2 times attempts were made to re-educate or refashion people, in
- 3 general?
- 4 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 5 A. As for the time period for re-education, usually <one
- 6 re-education session> would last for half a day.
- 7 [15.10.12]
- 8 Q. Would it happen that somebody was sent for re-education,
- 9 finished the re-education and then at one point in time came back
- 10 and was sent for re-education again, and again, and again?
- 11 A. On this issue that the person <who pretended> to be crazy or
- 12 was believed to be crazy, then the re-education sessions <would>
- 13 continue <and if it was believed or concluded that the person was
- 14 crazy for real. The person would not be punished.>
- 15 Q. Would you be able to give an estimate as -- in general -- up
- 16 to how many times could somebody be sent for re-education?
- 17 A. They would use the period for the re-education sessions from
- 18 anywhere between two weeks to one month.
- 19 Q. So did it happen, that you know of, that somebody was sent for
- 20 re-education, came back and was sent for re-education again, but
- 21 then for a longer period of time? And then came back again and
- 22 was again sent for re-education?
- 23 A. I did not encounter that issue.
- 24 [15.12.40]
- 25 Q. Okay. Mr. Witness, when somebody was sent to re-education

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

75

- 1 Office 105, also known as Krang Ta Chan, do you know if
- 2 re-education was done there as well, and if yes, can you say
- 3 something about how long the re-education could last for people
- 4 who were sent to Krang Ta Chan?
- 5 A. I did not know about that, however, concerning the issue of
- 6 the woman in this document, she would probably be re-educated at
- 7 Meng's place <located to the west of> Angk Roka. <However,> I did
- 8 not know <of re-education sessions> at the Krang Ta Chan office.
- 9 O. Why are you saying that she might be sent there rather than to
- 10 Krang Ta Chan?
- 11 A. This woman was involved in a minor offence and she would be --
- 12 she would likely be sent to the education place <where people
- 13 were sent for refashioning located to the west of> Angk Roka
- 14 <market> -- that is, at the place where Meng was in charge.
- 15 [15.14.48]
- 16 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. As just mentioned by me before, Krang
- 17 Ta Chan was officially named Re-education Office 105. The title
- 18 of this office or centre would imply that people were sent there
- 19 for re-education. Do you know whether that was really the case or
- 20 was it -- or did the title not fit with the events or the things
- 21 that happened there? Can you say anything about the title of that
- 22 security centre?
- 23 A. It was <a> re-education office.
- 24 Q. So is it your testimony that the title of the office
- 25 accurately reflects what went on in Krang Ta Chan?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

76

- 1 A. I did not know the details of the activities of what went on
- 2 at the Krang Ta Chan office.
- 3 Q. Do you know, Mr. Witness, whether people who were sent to
- 4 Krang Ta Chan were also interrogated about their alleged
- 5 activities?
- 6 A. They could be interrogated at that office.
- 7 Q. Did you ever read interrogation reports or were you ever
- 8 informed about the contents of interrogation -- interrogations of
- 9 people who were sent there?
- 10 A. I never received such a report.
- 11 [15.18.22]
- 12 Q. Mr. Witness, there's testimony before this Chamber that would
- 13 imply that people were sent to Krang Ta Chan, Re-education Centre
- 14 105, without being interrogated and executed immediately. Do you
- 15 know anything about this?
- 16 A. Whether they ever interrogated or they were kept for quite
- 17 some times before they were interrogated, that's beyond my grasp
- 18 of what went on there.
- 19 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. I would like to ask you another
- 20 question about words being used within Democratic Kampuchea and I
- 21 would like to show you two reports -- two Tram Kak district
- 22 reports. The first one is E3/2453 and that is English ERN
- 23 00388586 and Khmer ERN 00270784.
- 24 MR. KOPPE:
- 25 I have a copy with the relevant page with me, Mr. President, so

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

77

- 1 maybe if you allow the Court officer to present this page from
- 2 E3/2453 to the witness and I would like to ask him a question.
- 3 [15.20.40]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Yes, you may do so.
- 6 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Q. Mr. Witness, this seems to be a report from Nhaeng Nhang
- 8 district and in the middle of that page, there's a sentence and I
- 9 would like to read that to you. It says, in English: "my analysis
- 10 is that they have plans to smash our Revolution." So it's in an
- orange -- it's in the orange on the first page, Mr. Witness. It's
- 12 on the orange -- the orange colour. So my question is about the
- 13 sentence: "my analysis is that they have plans to smash our
- 14 Revolution". What does the word "smash" mean here?
- 15 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 16 A. To "smash" here means to destroy.
- 17 Q. So it's another word than killing, is that what you're saying?
- 18 A. No, in this context it does not mean killing. It means to
- 19 destroy.
- 20 [15.22.48]
- 21 Q. I have one more example, Mr. Witness, that I would like to
- 22 show you.
- 23 MR. KOPPE:
- 24 Mr. President, this document is not on the interface so I'll be
- 25 slowly in putting it before the witness. It's E3/2053, the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

78

- 1 English ERN is 00276578 and Khmer ERN is 00079122, and I would
- 2 like to ask your permission, Mr. President, to also present this
- 3 document to the witness. I also have it -- the paragraph coloured
- 4 for easier reference.
- 5 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 6 Just for the record, to move things along, we have no objection.
- 7 MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Thank you Mr. Prosecutor.
- 9 [15.24.16]
- 10 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 11 <We have one, well we have a reservation in any case>, can the
- 12 colleague present the document to us so that we <are able to>
- 13 read it before he gives it to the witness? I think those were the
- 14 rules that we <all> agreed <upon. Could> we therefore <have the
- 15 possibility to familiarise ourselves with> the document before <>
- 16 our colleague puts a question to the witness and provides him
- 17 with that document?
- 18 MR. KOPPE:
- 19 I just said very, very slowly the E3 number so I would imagine --
- 20 you can see the Prosecution being helpful so I think the Civil
- 21 Party Lead Co-Lawyer should be able to get this document on her
- 22 screen.
- 23 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 24 <I request> clarification <from the President on> this <point>.
- 25 (Judges deliberate)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

79

- 1 [15.27.12]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 On this issue, the Prosecution does not object to it, however,
- 4 the Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties requests the document to be
- 5 presented by the defence counsel and in fact, the defence counsel
- 6 provided the document number already -- that is, E3/2053. How
- 7 much time does -- rather how much time do you need, the
- 8 International Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties, for you to review
- 9 this document before the defence counsel can continue putting
- 10 questions to this witness?
- 11 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. Of course, we have uploaded the
- 13 document <on our screen> in the meantime, <I believe there is>
- 14 simply a problem, <our colleague doesn't understand the>
- 15 principles of adversarial hearings. <The principle of adversarial
- 16 hearings is> that parties should be notified in advance of <any
- 17 documents> that are going to be used during the hearings. <So,>
- 18 all we need is a few minutes to be notified so that we can
- 19 <download> it and then, <once that has been carried out,> the
- 20 document can be presented to the witness. We're only asking for
- 21 that -- for a few minutes, <a few minutes> that we <could have
- 22 used while> you were deliberating, but I <consider it important
- 23 once more that we all adhere to the procedure.>
- 24 [15.29.05]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

80

- 1 Thank you. And when Counsel Koppe made an announcement that the
- 2 document was not uploaded on the interface and the Chamber, of
- 3 course, considered that because the document is only <of> two
- 4 pages and for that reason, we grant the defence counsel to do it
- 5 and to proceed. And of course, we wish to implement the
- 6 instructions that we set <way back in 2011-2012> for all the
- 7 Parties in terms of uploading the documents on the interface. And
- 8 in the case that you failed to do so, you need to provide the
- 9 reason to the Chamber and ask for the Chamber's leave before you
- 10 can proceed with the reference to that document. <And other
- 11 Parties are also encouraged to provide their input before the
- 12 Chamber makes its ruling.> And <as for this case>, even the
- 13 Co-Prosecutor does not object to it and for the Lead Co-Lawyer
- 14 for civil parties, you also do not object to it but rather to
- 15 remind the Parties and the Chamber on the principle of uploading
- 16 the document onto the interface.
- 17 [15.30.41]
- 18 And of course, Counsel Koppe, please try to follow the
- 19 instructions and to upload the documents that you intend to use
- 20 onto the interface as instructed by the Chamber.
- 21 And Counsel Koppe, in fact, you can proceed, but before that, you
- 22 are reminded that you have half an hour this afternoon and
- 23 tomorrow morning only one session, that is starting from 9
- 24 o'clock until the short break, not the full morning sessions.
- 25 MR. KOPPE:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

81

- 1 Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. Just to clarify, this is a
- 2 typical document that came up at the second day of questioning by
- 3 the Prosecution, so sometimes things come up and that's why I
- 4 would like to use this document. It's impossible to always know
- 5 in advance exactly what we are trying to ask --
- 6 JUDGE FENZ:
- 7 The idea is to follow procedures. We have acknowledged that this
- 8 might happen and we have established a procedure.
- 9 [15.31.48]
- 10 MR. KOPPE:
- 11 Yes, yes. So Mr. Witness, I would like to show you, and with the
- 12 permission of the President, I will bring that to you or have
- 13 that brought to you -- E3/2053. And I would like to ask you to
- 14 have a look at the sentence that I coloured in orange.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Your request is granted, Counsel.
- 17 [15.32.35]
- 18 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 19 Mr. Witness, this is a report currently from Trapeang Thum south
- 20 cooperative and I would like you to have a look at English, ERN
- 21 00276578; and you have in front of you the Khmer ERN, which I
- 22 don't have now. In the middle -- or in the third paragraph of
- 23 this report, it says as follows: "Their plans are as follows" --
- 24 and the plans -- the document is talking about four people who
- 25 are having plans. It says: "Number 1: Their five-year plan is to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

82

- 1 ensure the smashing of the cooperatives. If they cannot destroy
- 2 them in five years, then seven years, and if they still cannot
- 3 smash them, they have further plans to do whatever is necessary
- 4 to wear down the cooperatives and to absolutely oppose communal
- 5 dining."
- 6 Q. My question is a very simple and short one. The word "smash" -
- 7 "komtech" (phonetic) is being used here as well; can you tell
- 8 us if you know what the word "smash" means here?
- 9 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 10 A. Smash here means to damage or to destroy the cooperative.
- 11 [15.34.15]
- 12 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. My final subject is the following --
- 13 that's your position in relation to teaching. You, as I
- 14 understand, held a position in respect of education, both before
- 15 DK and maybe also during DK. Can you tell the Chamber what was
- 16 the general purpose of education within DK? What were the aims of
- 17 the CPK in relation to education of the people? Are you able to
- 18 tell us something in general about these objectives?
- 19 A. Counsel, could you ask me more specific<?> Are you referring
- 20 to teaching or any -- or education to which group of people?
- 21 Q. I'll be more specific. My question is what were -- what was
- 22 the purpose -- what were the objectives during the DK regime in
- 23 respect of education of children, small children, older children,
- 24 students? What did the DK authorities envisage? What did they try
- 25 to achieve in terms of education?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

83

- 1 [15.36.12]
- 2 A. For children, the main purpose of teaching was to teach them
- 3 how to write, to read. The second purpose was to educate them to
- 4 love the Revolution and Democratic Kampuchea and to work hard and
- 5 to do the labour or any work for a progress in their village,
- 6 commune and cooperative. And there were other <purposes>,
- 7 including <equipping> those children <with> good morality, to be
- 8 humble, to be gentle, and to give up the character as a person of
- 9 hooligan or rude person. That's all I can tell you.
- 10 Q. So was it the objective of the DK regime to organise primary
- 11 education to small children, secondary education to older
- 12 children, and also to create universities? In other words, was
- one of the purposes, as you remember, of the DK to educate people
- 14 -- educate students, mathematics, languages, et cetera?
- 15 A. During the time when I was at Tram Kak, there was a teaching
- 16 programme for the children and also the teaching of <the>>
- 17 alphabet, reading and also some arithmetic but there was no
- 18 foreign language as part of the teaching. And there was also
- 19 geography as part of the curriculum so when children were at the
- 20 third or the fourth grade, there were geography classes and there
- 21 were textbooks students' books, including part of Khmer
- 22 literature and mathematics but we don't have foreign language as
- 23 part of our programme during the time. But when people fled to
- 24 the border area, there were programmes <of> foreign languages,
- 25 including English language and Thai language, which is operated

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

84

- 1 or taught by the DK <>.
- 2 [15.39.53]
- 3 Q. Are you in a position, Mr. Witness, to say something in
- 4 general about the position of teachers in DK? Were there many
- 5 teachers or were you actively looking for teachers to teach the
- 6 children? What can you tell us about the position of teachers
- 7 within DK?
- 8 A. Most of the time, the teachers at Tram Kak were women <>, and
- 9 the training and education <sessions> were about technical on
- 10 psychology and also teaching methods were trained to those
- 11 teachers. And the training session would be organized every month
- 12 or every three months.
- 13 Q. When you were a teacher in the DK period, was that a reason to
- 14 be fearful? Were teachers being threatened in the DK period?
- 15 A. One of the <statements> indicating that I was a teacher, <and
- 16 that> I was in charge of 105 teachers <was not accurate>. But, <>
- 17 teachers at Tram Kak <district> were not fearful of anything
- 18 <including the DK policy. They lived and worked like anyone else
- 19 in their respective cooperatives>.
- 20 [15.42.20]
- 21 Q. Now, Mr. Witness, it seems that also after '79, you stayed
- 22 active in education. I would like to read to you your answer to
- 23 Question 102 and I would like to ask you to give some more
- 24 details on this. The question of the Investigators is as follows:
- 25 "You are now living in Samlout. Do you hold any position in any

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

85

- 1 party or in the civil service?" And your answer is: "No, the
- 2 Khmer Rouge called me to Samlout in 1995 and I was assigned to
- 3 take charge of education in Samlout. When there was the
- 4 integration programme in 1996, the government led by Hun Sen
- 5 assigned me to be chairman of education, youth and sports of
- 6 Samlout district."
- 7 Is that answer indeed what you told the Investigators?
- 8 [15.43.30]
- 9 A. Yes, this is correct. In 1995, I was called by Democratic
- 10 Kampuchea to live in Samlout and I was assigned as a person who
- 11 <was> in charge of education, but now we can say <district
- 12 department of> education<,> youth and <sports> in Samlout
- 13 district, and later <after> the reintegration into the
- 14 government<, I was appointed by the government> as the chief of
- 15 the department of <education, > youth and <sports > for Samlout
- 16 district. And after the 5th and 6th of July 1997, I fled to live
- 17 in a camp in Thailand. And when I returned in 1998 or 1999, I was
- 18 removed from my position as the chief of the department of
- 19 <education, youth and <sports for Samlout <district >. That's
- 20 all.
- 21 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. Are you able to make a comparison as
- 22 to the state of education in Cambodia '96/'97 and the state of
- 23 education in general within the DK period? Can you make a
- 24 comparison? Were there differences or were there many things the
- 25 same? It's a difficult question, but I hope you will be able to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

86

- 1 answer this question.
- 2 A. During Democratic Kampuchea period before the integration into
- 3 the current government and before the current government took
- 4 control of the education matter, <> my <apologies>, Counsel, I
- 5 <was> not <knowledgeable about> this matter. But when I arrived
- 6 in Samlout in 1995, the education, the teaching and programme for
- 7 students at Samlout <became> much better <as students studied in
- 8 their classrooms> both in the morning and in the afternoon. <As
- 9 for these days, students either go to morning sessions or
- 10 afternoon sessions. There are more classrooms and schools, but
- 11 study sessions are shorter.>
- 12 [15.46.47]
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Counsel and Witness, you seem to go beyond the scope of the
- 15 question, because the question focused on for you to make a
- 16 comparison between the education during the DK period and after
- 17 that during the time when you were in Samlout <in 1995 '96>.
- 18 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 19 A. Yes, my comparison of the DK education and the education
- 20 during <1995 1996>, the education during DK period was focused
- 21 on textbooks and better materials and <it was> the same for the
- 22 education in 1996. But the education during 1995 and 1996 was
- 23 better, because we had a school building and good classrooms. But
- 24 back in the DK period, the school buildings were not appropriate.
- 25 Sometime, we taught students under a tree. But I can tell you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

87

- 1 only what happened in Samlout in 1995 and 1996. It was much
- 2 better than the education back in the DK era. <Since> I was in
- 3 charge of the <district> department of <education,> youth and
- 4 sports, I would invite all teachers <twice a> month to give them
- 5 additional training for improvement. <I am referring to the
- 6 period of 1995 '96.> That's all I can tell you, Mr. Counsel.
- 7 [15.48.53]
- 8 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 9 Q. My last question on the situation of education in DK: Are you
- 10 able to tell us what the effects were of the civil war, the war
- of liberation that lasted between 1970 -- or 1968 and 1975? Did
- 12 the war have an impact on education, in terms of destruction of
- 13 school buildings, et cetera?
- 14 MR. NEANG OUCH:
- 15 A. The war from -- are you asking me from 1970 to 1975?
- 16 Q. As you know, as we all know, Mr. Witness, there was a long
- 17 civil war up until 1975. My question is whether you can say
- 18 something about the effects of the war on education, on school
- 19 buildings, on organization, et cetera.
- 20 [15.50.12]
- 21 A. The war from 1970 to 1975, it was a five-year war. It had a
- 22 great impact on the <field of education as> school buildings,
- 23 pagodas and other buildings <were destroyed>, and <> students and
- 24 children had no chance to get to study in the building. But they
- 25 had to get their study and education under <trees> and different

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

88

- 1 places which <were> not appropriate. So the impact of the war was
- 2 great in extent. This is what I experienced in Takeo province. I
- 3 cannot say for other provinces <across the country>.
- 4 Q. My last question, Mr. Witness: Was it the purpose of DK to
- 5 rebuild the education as quickly as possible and to repair the
- 6 destruction that the war had cost? Is that what the purpose was,
- 7 in terms of education in DK?
- 8 A. After the end of the five-year war, DK had a great purpose for
- 9 improving education. We <tried> our best to build school
- 10 buildings and to call more students and pupils to go to school,
- 11 and we gave trainings to teachers about pedagogical techniques>.
- 12 These are the <main> purposes of DK at the time. And there were
- 13 other main purposes of the Democratic Kampuchea in the education.
- 14 So, that's all I can tell you, in summary, Mr. Counsel.
- 15 [15.52.42]
- 16 MR. KOPPE:
- 17 Thank you very much, Mr. Witness. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 We have limited time, but we need to proceed with our task that
- 20 the Chamber informed the <Parties> this morning, especially
- 21 <regarding> the request by OCP, document <E319/7>. This
- 22 afternoon, the defence counsel for Nuon Chea <> indicated clearly
- 23 on this matter, and the Chamber would like to hear from you in
- 24 response to the request by the Co-Prosecutors regarding <hearing
- 25 testimonies of> the new witness, the document E319/7 <>.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

89

- 1 <> Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan, <do you have any observation to
- 2 make? The> Chamber obtained the oral submission from the defence
- 3 counsel for Mr. Nuon Chea, but we would like now to hear from the
- 4 defence counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan.
- 5 [15.54.21]
- 6 MR. VERCKEN:
- 7 <Very well,> Mr. President, as regards the merits <and> the
- 8 question that has been <directly> asked, the written statement of
- 9 this person has been referred to a lot and used during <this
- 10 hearing>, so we therefore do not object to the summoning of this
- 11 person to appear before this Chamber, because we are very much
- 12 attached to Rule 84 <of the Rules of Procedure and to respecting
- 13 the principal of > adversarial proceedings. If you, indeed, decide
- 14 to call <this> witness to appear before the Chamber, it would
- 15 nevertheless be necessary for you to give us the time to read the
- 16 <16 folders worth of statements> taken from Cases 003 and 004,
- 17 <that were forwarded on> by the Prosecution. This is a huge
- 18 volume of documents, not only to read, but also to analyse.
- 19 [15.55.40]
- 20 There are, probably, <many> other witnesses who address the same
- 21 issues as those addressed by the witness <of whom we're speaking
- 22 right now>. In order to properly cross-examine <this> witness, it
- 23 is, of course, important for <the Defence, > to <familiarize
- 24 ourselves> not only <with> the statements by this witness <in
- 25 question>, but <also with> all other statements that have been,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

90

- 1 <for the time being, transmitted> by the Prosecution, and which
- 2 may deal with the same subject.
- 3 Still with regard to these questions, let me point out that
- 4 <last> Thursday afternoon, when <you> had the Trial Management
- 5 Meeting on the subject of the 16 folders served by the prosecutor
- 6 <and then we requested 6 additional weeks without hearings in
- 7 order to allow us to move forward with the analysis, the reading
- 8 and to be able> to work on <these statements>.
- 9 <Then, the> following day, <your> Senior Legal Officer, <Mr. Ken
- 10 Roberts, also <sent an email to the Parties on the very subject
- 11 that I would like to request clarification on now. In this>
- 12 email, it is indicated that <your Chamber> will not postpone the
- 13 appearance of <the> witness before us <today> because the
- 14 application was made too late, <and because> the person is
- 15 elderly and lives far from Phnom Penh. It is also said that <the
- 16 following witness, TCW-948>, would appear before this Chamber
- 17 <just after this witness>, but <that email does not> explain why
- 18 that witness <has been kept> on the list. I do not know whether
- 19 <we are to take from the> lack of an explanation <> that <> all
- 20 the applications made by the Parties <the day before the hearing>
- 21 were denied <or if your> Chamber <only took charge of a portion
- 22 of the problems -- if you will -- in saying that the witness>
- 23 before us would appear <regardless>. And that the next witness
- 24 would also appear before the Chamber, even though <they do not
- 25 explain to us why that is the case>.

01487301

E1/275.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

91

- 1 [15.58.33]
- 2 Be that as it may, I do not know the <exact> position of <your>
- 3 Chamber regarding the applications made during the Trial
- 4 Management Meeting. I have not failed to <notice>, like everyone
- 5 else here <most likely>, that in the memorandum you disclosed the
- 6 next day<, Mr. President, > regarding the <dates of judicial
- 7 holidays>, you <told> the Parties <that they> could avail
- 8 themselves of the time during which the Chamber would not be
- 9 sitting to study <any new evidence that they could have
- 10 potentially been provided with.>
- 11 <I'm not sure what you mean when you refer to these new pieces of
- 12 evidence that could be "potentially transmitted", but I did get
- 13 the impression from reading this text that that could possibly
- 14 concern the 16 folders. These 16 folders that, and I am repeating
- 15 what I said earlier, for which we would like an additional 6
- 16 weeks to go about not just reading, but also analysing their
- 17 contents.>
- 18 [15.59.50]
- 19 To conclude, I would like to point out that the <concern of the>
- 20 defence of Khieu Samphan, in the face of this situation we face
- 21 today, <is that we are once more experiencing> the practice that
- 22 was adopted in the first trial, notably that your Chamber decided
- 23 -- while we were drafting our closing arguments -- that it
- 24 considered that approximately 1,500 written statements <would be
- 25 submitted as evidence> in lieu of testimony. And <that> this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

92

- 1 decision <was>, of course, <part of the grounds for the> appeal
- 2 we raised regarding your first judgement. I, of course,
- 3 understand that <for now> you've <made reference to the fact>
- 4 that since <the investigations for> Cases 003 and 004 are still
- 5 <ongoing>, you are not in a position to master the <sort of>
- 6 "flow" of future <exchanges which could take place or indeed to
- 7 transfer written statements from Cases 003 and 004 to your trial,
- 8 but I regard such a position as unreasonable. You cannot, to> my
- 9 mind, as part of a fair trial, <allow for a perpetual free flow
- 10 of new evidence and written documents. You must decide upon a
- 11 rule, be it chronological through fixing a date, or indeed a
- 12 rule-- ,>
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 <Counsel, we have listened to this many times already.> We
- 15 allowed you the floor last time to speak on this issue, but you
- 16 did not participate in <the TMM> meeting. And today we only have
- 17 a very brief moment and the main focus is on the submission by
- 18 the Office of the Co-Prosecutors in document E319/7, that is to
- 19 hear a new witness. Luckily, it was just one witness. So, it
- 20 seems that you only reiterated the issues and the submissions
- 21 made by other parties during the Trial Management Meeting that
- 22 was held last time.
- 23 [16.02.51]
- 24 Secondly, on the issue of witness 2-TCW-948, it is not a subject
- 25 to the request by Nuon Chea's defence. Nuon Chea's defence only

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

93

- 1 raised the issues concerning two witnesses only, that is
- 2 2-TCW-803 and 809. The Chamber considers the matter and also
- 3 notified the Parties due to <the age and> the long distance of
- 4 travelling of this particular witness and that was reiterated in
- 5 a report by WESU, who urged the Chamber to continue to hear the
- 6 testimony of this person as it takes several days for the
- 7 traveling to and from his residence. And also that was the point
- 8 that was raised by Nuon Chea himself.
- 9 [16.03.51]
- 10 So, I don't think that the time the Chamber give to you, you make
- 11 your submissions regarding the OCP request in the document
- 12 E319/7, and rather you raised many other points out of this
- 13 subject matter and which had already been addressed during the
- 14 TMM meeting. If you have any submission to make regarding
- 15 document E319/7, you may continue. Otherwise, you will not be
- 16 allowed the floor, as we still have another party to provide
- 17 their oral submission on this matter, and we are even now running
- 18 out of time.
- 19 And, in fact, after we listened to the Parties during the TMM, we
- 20 deliberated <with> most of the Judges of the Trial Chamber and,
- 21 at present, we are in the process of issuing our decision. As
- 22 this matter is also complicated, dealing with the International
- 23 Co-Investigating Judge and the works that he's doing right now,
- 24 we are in the consultation and discussion with the International
- 25 Co-Investigating Judge on the relaxation of certain restrictions

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

94

- 1 on the documents, but so far we haven't got any result yet. And,
- 2 in fact, this morning, we were five minutes late. We were waiting
- 3 for the response from the International Co-Investigating Judge on
- 4 this very issue so we can use it -- or incorporate it in our
- 5 decision. And if, of course, we cannot make a decision on this
- 6 issue, then additional TMM will be scheduled.
- 7 [16.06.05]
- 8 However, there was no need, since TMM was held and we are in the
- 9 process of making our decision. And all Parties did not oppose to
- 10 the disclosure of documents by the Prosecution, as it conforms
- 11 with the practice of other international tribunals. If you have
- 12 any observations or submissions to make regarding document
- 13 E319/7, you may proceed. Otherwise, the floor will not be given
- 14 to you, as the matters you <> raised <had already been> dealt
- 15 with in the last TMM.
- 16 MR. VERCKEN:
- 17 Mr. President, I simply was underscoring the reasons why, <and
- 18 indeed explaining to you the reasons why it seemed to me that -- >
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 <You are not given the floor to raise the issues now since> the
- 21 matters were raised during the TMM, but you did not avail
- 22 yourself to attend the TMM.
- 23 MR. VERCKEN:
- 24 Yes, but <go figure, Mr. President, there are indeed transcripts
- 25 that I do read and I read them> this time-- >

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

95

- 1 [16.07.32]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Of course, you read the transcript, but the time allowed to your
- 4 team to make observations on this E319/7 is expired. Please be
- 5 seated.
- 6 And now, the Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties, if you have any
- 7 oral submission to make regarding the request by OCP in reference
- 8 to document E319/7, you may proceed.
- 9 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President. We <do not> object <to> having this
- 11 witness proposed by the Co-Prosecutors appear.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Thank you. The Chamber will adjourn the proceedings now and will
- 14 resume tomorrow morning -- that is, Thursday, 12 March 2015,
- 15 commencing from 9 o'clock in the morning. And tomorrow, the
- 16 Chamber will hear the remainder of the testimony of the witness
- 17 Neang Ouch. This information is for the relevant Parties and for
- 18 the public.
- 19 Mr. Neang Ouch, the Chamber is grateful of your presence and
- 20 testimony. However, it is not yet concluded and you are therefore
- 21 invited to return to the Chamber tomorrow morning, starting from
- 22 9 o'clock. And It is likely that your testimony will conclude in
- 23 less than two hours tomorrow and you may then return to your
- 24 residence.
- 25 [16.09.12]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 256 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 11/03/2015

96

1	Court officer, in cooperation with WESU, please make necessary
2	transportation arrangements for Neang Ouch and the reserved
3	witness that is, 2-TCW-948, to return to their residence, and
4	invite them both to the Chamber before 9 o'clock.
5	Likewise, duty counsel, Moeurn Sovann, you are invited to return
6	tomorrow morning to assist the witness during the hearing of the
7	remainder of this witness testimony, as well as the testimony of
8	the reserved witness.
9	Security personnel, you are instructed to take the two Accused,
10	Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, back to the detention facility of
11	the ECCC and have them returned to participate in the proceedings
12	tomorrow morning prior to 9 o'clock.
13	The Court is now adjourned.
14	(Court adjourns at 1610H)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	