

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

## หอริร์ซุรโละยายารูล์อ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

# ្សិចុះពសាសាទ ទ្រះទសាភ្យូទ្រ ខាតិ សាសនា ទ្រះទសាភ្យូត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

## **อสถ**าหยี่ช

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL ថ្ងៃ ឆៃ ឆ្នាំ (Date): 10-Mar-2017, 11:35 CMS/CFO: Sann Rada

## TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS <u>PUBLIC</u> Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

16 September 2015 Trial Day 329

Before the Judges: NI

NIL Nonn, Presiding Claudia FENZ Jean-Marc LAVERGNE YA Sokhan YOU Ottara Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve) THOU Mony (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: CHEA Sivhoang Niccolo PONS

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: William SMITH SREA Rattanak

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun The Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

Victor KOPPE LIV Sovanna SON Arun Anta GUISSE KONG Sam Onn

Lawyers for the Civil Parties: Marie GUIRAUD Martine JACQUIN LOR Chunthy PICH Ang TY Srinna VEN Pov

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

## INDEX

## Mr. TAY Koemhun (2-TCW-873)

Questioning by Mr. President (NIL Nonn)	page 4
Questioning by Mr. SREA Rattanak	page 7
Questioning by Mr. SMITH	page 22
Questioning by Ms. JACQUIN	page 45
Questioning by Judge LAVERGNE	page 60
Questioning by Mr. KOPPE	page 73
Questioning by Ms. GUISSE	page 80
Questioning by Mr. KONG Sam Onn	page 93

# List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Judge FENZ	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUISSE	French
Ms. JACQUIN	French
Mr. KONG Sam Onn	Khmer
Mr. KOPPE	English
Judge LAVERGNE	French
Mr. MAM Rithea	Khmer
The President (NIL Nonn)	Khmer
Mr. PICH Ang	Khmer
Mr. SMITH	English
Mr. SREA Rattanak	Khmer
Mr. TAY Koemhun (2-TCW-880)	Khmer

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0902H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 Today the Chamber will hear testimony of a witness 2-TCW-873, and
- 6 if time permits, we will hear another reserve witness -- that is,
- 7 2-TCW-252.
- 8 Greffier, please report the attendance of the Parties and other
- 9 individuals at today's proceedings.
- 10 [09.03.31]
- 11 THE GREFFIER:
- Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all Parties to this Case are present.
- Mr. Nuon Chea is present in the holding cell downstairs. He has waived his right to be present in the courtroom. The waiver has been delivered to the greffier.
- A witness who is to testify today -- that is, 2-TCW-873, confirms that to the best of his knowledge, he has no relationship by blood or by law to any of the two Accused -- that is, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or to any of the civil parties admitted in this Case. The witness took an oath before the Iron Club Statue yesterday, and he has Mr. Mam Rithea as his duty counsel. Both are awaiting the Chamber's call.
- 24 We have a reserve civil party today -- that is, 2-TCCP-252.
- 25 Thank you, Mr. President.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

2

- 1 [09.04.44]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:

3 Thank you, Ms. Chea Sivhoang. The Chamber now decides on the4 request by Nuon Chea.

The Chamber has received a waiver from Nuon Chea dated 16 5 September 2015, which notes that due to his health: headache, б 7 back pain, he cannot sit or concentrate for long, and in order to 8 effectively participate in future hearings, he requests to waive 9 his right to participate in and be present at the 16 September 10 2015 hearing. Having seen the medical report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor for the Accused at the ECCC dated 16 September 2015, 11 12 which notes that Nuon Chea has back pain and it becomes severe when he sits for long, and recommends that the Chamber grant him 13 14 his request so that he can follow the proceedings remotely from 15 the holding cell downstairs. Based on the above information and 16 pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber 17 grants Nuon Chea his request to follow today's proceedings 18 remotely from the holding cell downstairs via audio-visual means. 19 And the Chamber instructs the AV Unit personnel to link the 20 proceedings to the room downstairs so that Nuon Chea can follow 21 it. And this applies to the whole day. 22 Court officer, please usher witness 2-TCW-873 as well as his duty 23 counsel to the courtroom. 24 And Counsel Koppe, you have the floor.

25 [09.06.38]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

3

- 1 MR. KOPPE:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 3 morning, Counsel.

As indicated in the email earlier this morning to the Senior 4 Legal Officer, I would indeed like to make oral submissions in 5 relation to a request we received yesterday from the б 7 International Co-Prosecutor. It was a request to call three additional witnesses in relation to the treatment of the Cham. My 8 9 submissions are not submissions in response to the request 10 because we will use the opportunity to file written submissions. 11 But we have two requests to make in relation or in reaction to 12 this request now.

13 The prosecutor -- International Co-Prosecutor asked for three 14 witnesses. As I said, one of the witnesses the prosecutor asked 15 for was someone who testified here in Court in Case 002/01. Two 16 others testified as well, but only--

17 [09.08.07]

18 MR. PRESIDENT:

19 Counsel Koppe, the Chamber has just received the document. And I 20 think you should make your submission this afternoon so that the 21 Chamber will have opportunity to review that submission. It is 22 better, then, to leave this matter for the afternoon session 23 starting from 1.30.

24 MR. KOPPE:

25 Fair enough. No problem. Although the request for relief that we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

1

2

4

3 witness testify in the morning, and then we can address the issue after lunch. 4 5 (Short pause) б (Witness enters courtroom) 7 [09.09.33] QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT: 8 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. Witness. What is your name? 10 MR. TAY KOEMHUN: 11 A. My name is Tay Koemhun. 12 Q. Thank you, Mr. Tay Koemhun. And when were you born? A. I was born in 1950. 13 Q. And when were you born -- where, rather? 14 15 A. I was born in Angkor Ban 2 village, or it is also known as 16 Antung Sor (phonetic) village. It is Angkor Ban commune. And I 17 married my wife who was from Peam Chi Kang <commune>. 18 [09.10.51]19 Q. And where is your current address? And please observe the 20 microphone before you respond. 21 A. Currently I live in Sambuor Meas Ka village, < Peam Chi Kang 22 commune>, Kang Meas <district>, Kampong Cham province. 23 Q. What is your profession or occupation? 24 A. I am a rice farmer. 25 Q. What are the names of your father and mother? Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

intend to ask is to stop the present proceedings and give us

additional time, however, I don't see a problem in having this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

5

- 1 A. My father is Ly Tay and my mother is Kang May.
- 2 Q. And what is your wife's name? And how many children do you
- 3 have together?
- 4 A. My wife is Yim Kimsreang, and we have one child. Actually we 5 have two child; one died during the Pol Pot regime.
- Q. And Mr. Tay Koemhun, the greffier made an oral report that to the best of your knowledge, you do not have any relationship <by blood or by marriage> to any of the two Accused -- that is, Nuon Chea or Khieu Samphan, or to any of the civil party admitted in this case; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 12 [09.12.42]
- 13 Q. The greffier also reported that you already took an oath
- 14 before the Iron Club Statue <situated in the east of this
- 15 courtroom> yesterday morning; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I already took an oath yesterday.
- 17 Q. The Chamber would like to inform you of your rights and
- 18 obligations as a witness.
- Your rights: As a witness in the proceedings before the Chamber,you may refuse to respond to any question or to make any comment
- 21 which may incriminate you. That is your right against
- 22 self-incrimination. This means that you may refuse to provide
- 23 your response or make any comment that could lead you to being
- 24 prosecuted.
- 25 Now, on your obligations, Mr. Tay Koemhun, as a witness in the

б

1	proceedings before the Chamber, you must respond to any questions
2	by the Bench or relevant Parties except where your response or
3	comment to those questions may incriminate you, as the Chamber
4	has just informed you of your rights as a witness. You must tell
5	the truth that you have known, heard, seen, remembered,
б	experienced or observed directly about an event or occurrence
7	relevant to the questions that the Bench or Parties pose to you.
8	And Mr. Tay Koemhun, have you been interviewed by investigators
9	of the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges? If so, how many
10	times, when and where?
11	[09.14.35]
12	A. I was interviewed once at my home.
13	Q. And do you recall when it happened?
14	A. I cannot recall it, Mr. President.
15	Q. That is all right. And before you appeared before the Chamber,
16	have you reviewed or read the written record of your statement
17	that you provided at your home in order to refresh your memory?
18	A. I have poor eye vision and I asked my elder sibling to read it
19	aloud to me. And I cannot recall everything. However, I can
20	respond to the questions in relation to my statements.
21	Q. And to your best recollection, is the written record of your
22	statement, that you have it read aloud to you, consistent with
23	the actual statements you provided during the interview with the
24	investigator at your home?
25	A. It is about the same.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

,	7	

1	[09.16.10]
2	Q. Mr. Tay Koemhun, now you are being assisted by the duty
3	counsel through WESU based on your request, and you have Mr. Mam
4	Rithea who is sitting beside you. Have you been introduced to
5	your counsel?
б	MR. MAM RITHEA:
7	Mr. President and good morning, everyone. I am a duty counsel
8	for the witness and I <have> actually made a contact and</have>
9	communicated with my client since yesterday <and morning="" this="">.</and>
10	BY THE PRESIDENT:
11	Q. Mr. Tay Koemhun, is that the case that is, what has been
12	stated by your duty counsel?
13	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
14	A. Yes, that is the case.
15	[09.17.16]
16	MR. PRESIDENT:
17	Pursuant to Rule 91bis of the ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber
18	will hand the floor to the Co-Prosecutors first to put their
19	questions to this witness. And the combined time for the
20	Co-Prosecutors and the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties are two
21	sessions. You may proceed.
22	QUESTIONING BY MR. SREA RATTANAK:
23	Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours, and everyone in and
24	around the courtroom. And good morning, Mr. Witness. My name is
25	Srea Rattanak. I am a National Deputy Co-Prosecutor. I have some

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

8

- 1 questions to put to you in relation to your experience.
- 2 Q. Where were you living before 1975?
- 3 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 4 A. In 1975 I lived in Sambuor Meas village, Peam Chi Kang
- 5 commune, Kang Meas district, Kampong Cham province.
- 6 Q. And from 1975, did you continue living in the same area or
- 7 were you transferred elsewhere?
- 8 A. I continued living in the same area.
- 9 [09.19.02]
- Q. So you were never relocated elsewhere before and during 1975?Let me break my question into two parts. The first part is
- 12 relation to your residence before 1975 and the second part is 13 after 1975.
- 10 arocr 1970.
- 14 A. Before 1975, I lived in Angkor Ban Number 2 village, but at 15 that time, I was unmarried. And in 1972, I moved to live in
- 16 Sambuor Meas village, <Peam Chi Kang commune>, Kang Meas district
- 17 in Kampong Cham province.
- 18 Q. So, <have> you <lived> in Sambuor Meas from 1972 until today?19 A. Yes, I've been living there since 1972.

20 Q. Does it mean you only moved from one village to another within 21 the same commune? And please, wait till my question ends before 22 you respond, otherwise, it is difficult for the interpreters to 23 interpret. Do the two villages that you mentioned locate in Kang 24 Meas district?

25 A. Peam Chi Kang and Angkor Ban communes are located in Kang Meas

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

9

- 1 district.
- 2 [09.21.32]
- 3 Q. Since you've been living in Kang Meas district, are you
- 4 familiar with the Au Trakuon pagoda?
- 5 A. I lived nearby the pagoda.
- 6 Q. Which village is the pagoda located in?
- 7 A. The pagoda is located in Sambuor Meas village, Peam Chi Kang
- 8 commune, Kang Meas district, Kampong Cham province.
- 9 Q. What do you mean when you said you lived nearby the pagoda?
- 10 <Is your house located nearby the pagoda?>
- 11 A. My house is located about 50 metres from the compound of the 12 pagoda.
- 13 Q. What was the pagoda used for during the Democratic Kampuchea 14 regime?
- 15 A. During the regime, the pagoda was transformed into a prison.
- 16 Q. You said it was turned into a prison, and in what year did
- 17 that happen?
- 18 A. I cannot recall that.
- 19 Q. Did you know about any <particular> event that happened in
- 20 that prison?
- A. In the pagoda-turned-prison, I saw people actually being walked into the pagoda. And allow me to tell you that the kitchen hall was just next to the entrance to the road leading to the
- 24 pagoda.
- 25 [09.24.25]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

10

1	Q. How often did you see people being walked into the pagoda?
2	A. It was quite often.
3	Q. You said it's quite often, can you tell us the frequency, for
4	example, how many times per day or per week or per month?
5	A. Of course, I did not count. At that time, I was assigned to
6	plough the rice field <quite a="" distance="" from="" place="" the="">, so most</quite>
7	of the time during the day, I spent ploughing the field and I
8	only returned to the kitchen hall during mealtime.
9	Q. How many times did you actually witness the event?
10	A. It was about four to five times.
11	[09.25.40]
12	Q. And you said you saw people being walked in while you were
13	having meals. And what were the times for your meal?
14	A. I had meal at 11.30.
15	Q. Can you clarify the matter what was the time that you saw
16	people being walked in while you were having meal? Did you have
17	lunch or dinner while you saw those people being walked?
18	A. It was right at 11.30.
19	Q. And each time that you saw those people being walked into the
20	pagoda, how many of them?
21	A. I did not count, and I was even afraid to look at them.
22	Q. Were there many or were there only a few?
23	A. Sometimes I saw two people being walked into the compound,
24	sometimes I only saw one person.
25	Q. Did you ever witness a group of people being walked?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

11

- 1 A. No, I did not.
- Q. And you said you saw people were being walked there, were they always on foot or were they being transported by <ox-carts or by>
- 4 any other means <>?
- 5 A. They were on foot.
- 6 [09.28.30]
- 7 Q. Besides being walked on foot, did you see any other means
- 8 <being used to take> people <> into the pagoda?
- 9 A. No.

Q. In order to jog your memory, in your written record of interview when you spoke to the investigator of the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges in document E3/5257, the Khmer ERN is at <00244303>; and the French ERN is at 00342666; and the English ERN is at 00251016; you were asked <how many times> you saw prisoners being <taken off the boat>. And you said you saw those prisoners being taken from the boat <> one time. Can you confirm

- 17 that?
- 18 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 19 Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please specify the Khmer ERN again.
- 20 MR. SREA RATTANAK:
- 21 The document is E3/5257 and the ERN is at 00243103.
- 22 [09.31.02]
- 23 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:

A. No, I did not see prisoners being taken off the boat because Iusually ploughed the field in the forest.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

12

- 1 BY MR. SREA RATTANAK:
- 2 And about those people that you saw being walked into the pagoda,
- 3 were they tied up?
- 4 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 5 A. Yes, they were.
- 6 Q. Were they men or women? Were there any children <>?
- 7 A. Yes, there were both children and older people.
- 8 Q. Were the children tied up?
- 9 A. No, they were not. The children were walking behind their
- 10 parents. They were not tied up.
- 11 Q. You stated that the children were following their parents.
- 12 Does this mean that they were brought in -- the entire family
- 13 were brought into that place?
- 14 A. I have no idea. What I could see is that the three or
- 15 four-year-old children were not tied up, they were walking behind
- 16 their parents.
- 17 [09.33.03]
- 18 Q. Were they tied in lines?
- 19 A. No, they were not. They were tied up separately.
- 20 Q. When they were being walked into that place, were they
- 21 tortured?
- 22 A. No. No torture was inflicted on them.
- 23 Q. How many people <led> the prisoners into that place?
- A. There were two of them. They were quite young.
- 25 Q. Could you tell the Chamber about the people who were being

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

13

- 1 tied up, were they New People or <villagers or other groups of
- 2 people>?
- 3 A. I do not know whether they were New People or any type of
- 4 people.
- 5 [09.34.45]
- 6 Q. <Did> you know some of them?

A. I have never seen these people before. The people who had been
arrested were not known by me, and I have never seen them before.
Q. What do you mean by that? <Did you not see their face or did</li>
you not know them?>
A. I <did> not know the <arrested> people <>.
Q. You <mentioned> that you <did> not know those people. <But, do</li>
you know why> they <were> arrested -- have you got any idea?

- 14 A. I do not know what was going on at that time and I do not know
- 15 why they were arrested.
- 16 Q. Were there any people at the pagoda to receive those people
- 17 who had been arrested?
- 18 A. I never entered into the pagoda myself so I have no idea
- 19 whether there were people there to receive the people <or not>.
- 20 [09.36.45]
- 21 Q. You said a while ago that your house was located about 50
- 22 metres away from the pagoda. Did you ever hear the <sound of a
- 23 loudspeaker coming out of> the pagoda?
- 24 A. While I was working, I could hear the music over the
- 25 loudspeaker. Every time I was at home, I did not hear any music.

14

1	At night-time, I would go to work to transplant rice seedling and
2	work in the field from 6.00 to 10 p.m. <people groups="" in="" td="" to<="" went=""></people>
3	pull out and plant rice seedlings. There was a road and a dam
4	behind the pagoda. On the way back from work>, I heard the <sound< td=""></sound<>
5	over the loudspeaker> and I could see the <> light <beaming> the</beaming>
б	place very dimly. And <when asked="" i="" other="" people=""> I was told that</when>
7	people were being killed <right there="">. So I ran away to my</right>
8	house. <everybody and="" quickly="" ran="" very="" walked="">.</everybody>
9	Q. <did and="" back<="" discuss="" each="" hear="" it="" on="" other="" td="" the="" way="" with="" you=""></did>
10	from work or did you hear it while you were> working at that time
11	from 6.00 to 10 p.m. <>?
12	A. In fact, I returned from work at about 9.00 or 10 p.m. on that
13	day.
14	Q. You stated that you worked from 6.00 p.m. to 10 p.m., so at
15	what time did you hear the music?
16	A. After I returned from work, I heard the music <at 10="" p.m.=""></at>
17	[09.38.55]
18	Q. When did the music stop playing over the loudspeaker?
19	A. I did not know when they stopped playing the music at that
20	time.
21	Q. You stated that you heard the <> music being played at around
22	10 p.m. <did 10="" at="" begin="" did="" hear="" it="" it<="" or="" p.m.,="" playing="" td="" they="" you=""></did>
23	when you arrived at that place?>
24	A. I heard the music <> being played at 10 p.m. and I did not
25	know when the music <had> started.</had>

15

- 1 Q. Could you clarify this point for the Court: <you arrived at
- 2 that place, where was it exactly? How> far were you from the
- 3 pagoda?
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please hold on and observe the microphone before you speak. While 6 you are waiting for microphone to be activated, you can have some 7 time to think of what you going to respond to the question. I
- 8 have repeatedly told you about the operation of microphone.
- 9 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 10 A. Could you repeat your question please, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 11 [09.40.35]
- 12 BY MR. SREA RATTANAK:
- 13 Q. When you heard the music being played over the loudspeaker,
- 14 how far were you from the pagoda? Where were you at that time? I
- 15 want to know the exact location. <Was it in the west, the north
- 16 or the south, in front of or behind the pagoda?>
- 17 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 18 A. I was in front of the pagoda. I was to the east of pagoda.
- 19 Q. So <where were> you going?
- 20 A. I was going to work in the field, <pulling out and>
- 21 transplanting the rice seedlings.
- 22 Q. Mr. Witness, please listen to my questions carefully. Or
- 23 perhaps my questions are not clear to you <>. You stated that you
- 24 returned from work at 10 p.m. and you also stated that you were
- about 70 metres away from the pagoda when you heard the music

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

16

- 1 being played. So I would like to know where <> you <were going
- 2 from work>?
- 3 A. I was going back home from work at that time.
- 4 [09.42.20]
- 5 Q. When you arrived home, <> you <did not> hear the music
- 6 <anymore, did you>?
- 7 A. No, I no longer heard the music being played over the
- 8 loudspeaker <> after I arrived home.
- 9 Q. What was the location of your house?
- 10 A. My house was located to the east of the pagoda. However, I was 11 <moved out> from my house <near the pagoda to a house almost> one 12 kilometre away. <But, I was assigned to work back there>.
- 13 Q. So, <that means> you were not living in your house; you were
- 14 living <somewhere> about one kilometre away from <the pagoda>; is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 17 Q. <When you heard> the music <being played over the loudspeaker,
- 18 who told you that people were being killed>?
- 19 A. <The village fellows and co-workers walking together with me>
- 20 said that perhaps people were being killed <as the loudspeaker>
- 21 was being played.
- 22 Q. Did you hear the music often?
- 23 A. What kind of music you want to know?
- 24 [09.44.50]
- 25 Q. The music over the loudspeakers.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

17

- A. <> I could hear the music <only when I went to work>. 1 2 Q. Did you hear the music often? A. I could hear the music being played once in a while. 3 Q. Before the pagoda was turned into a <prison>, did you ever 4 hear the music being played? 5 A. I heard <> different types of music played during the daytime. б 7 <But, I do not know what music was played exactly>. Q. So you would hear the music being played before the pagoda was 8 9 turned into a <prison>. So when did it happen exactly? You 10 <mentioned> that after the pagoda was turned into a <prison>, you 11 <heard> the music being played <over the loudspeaker. You said 12 that you have forgotten the year in which the pagoda was turned into a prison>. So before that time, had you ever heard that kind 13 of music being played over the loudspeaker? 14 15 A. Before the pagoda was turned into a <prison, I never heard 16 such sound. I heard only Pinpeat music and chanting and preaching 17 by Buddhist monks> being played over the loudspeakers. 18 [09.46.59]Q. <Within 70 metres away from the pagoda, did you hear any other 19 20 sounds than that of the loudspeaker? I am referring to the sounds coming out of the pagoda only>. What <other> sounds did you hear 21 22 <emanating from> the pagoda? 23 A. I only heard <the sound of> the loudspeaker. 24 Q. <You mentioned to me a moment ago that> you witnessed people
- 25 being <walked> into the pagoda during daytime, <that was at lunch

18

1	times. Did> you <ever brought<="" notice="" people="" th="" that="" the="" were="" when=""></ever>
2	into the pagoda during the daytime you heard the sound of the
3	loudspeaker being played at night? Did you ever hear that?>
4	A. <people brought="" in="" were="">. I cannot get your question. <what< td=""></what<></people>
5	did you say?>.
6	Q. <i ask="" this:="" to="" wanted=""> you saw people were being walked into</i>
7	the pagoda <during daytime.="" did="" hear="" of<="" sound="" td="" the="" then,="" you=""></during>
8	the loudspeaker in the evening of that day?>
9	A. I do not know where the people were put <inside> the pagoda. I</inside>
10	could hear <it did="" hear="" i="" not="" only="" out="" td="" the<="" to="" went="" when="" work.=""></it>
11	sound of the loudspeaker while people were being taken into the
12	pagoda>.
13	[09.49.16]
14	Q. Did that happen on the <same> day &lt;&gt;?</same>
14 15	Q. Did that happen on the <same> day &lt;&gt;? A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">.</it></same>
15	A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">.</it>
15 16	<ul><li>A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">.</it></li><li>Q. You used the term "sometimes". <given it="" means="" that="" that,=""> on</given></li></ul>
15 16 17	<ul><li>A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">.</it></li><li>Q. You used the term "sometimes". <given it="" means="" that="" that,=""> on some occasions, &lt;&gt; you could &lt;&gt; hear the <sounds li="" of="" the<=""></sounds></given></li></ul>
15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">.</it></li> <li>Q. You used the term "sometimes". <given it="" means="" that="" that,=""> on some occasions, &lt;&gt; you could &lt;&gt; hear the <sounds days="" loudspeaker="" of="" on="" same="" the="">. Let me rephrase my question. You</sounds></given></li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">. Q. You used the term "sometimes". <given it="" means="" that="" that,=""> on some occasions, &lt;&gt; you could &lt;&gt; hear the <sounds days="" loudspeaker="" of="" on="" same="" the="">. Let me rephrase my question. You often heard the <sounds of=""> the loudspeaker while returning from</sounds></sounds></given></it>
15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">. Q. You used the term "sometimes". <given it="" means="" that="" that,=""> on some occasions, &lt;&gt; you could &lt;&gt; hear the <sounds days="" loudspeaker="" of="" on="" same="" the="">. Let me rephrase my question. You often heard the <sounds of=""> the loudspeaker while returning from work. At that time, perhaps you were about &lt;&gt; 70 metres away from</sounds></sounds></given></it>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">. Q. You used the term "sometimes". <given it="" means="" that="" that,=""> on some occasions, &lt;&gt; you could &lt;&gt; hear the <sounds days="" loudspeaker="" of="" on="" same="" the="">. Let me rephrase my question. You often heard the <sounds of=""> the loudspeaker while returning from work. At that time, perhaps you were about &lt;&gt; 70 metres away from the place where the <sound> came &lt;&gt;. On the night that you heard</sound></sounds></sounds></given></it>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Sometimes, <it days="" different="" happened="" on="">. Q. You used the term "sometimes". <given it="" means="" that="" that,=""> on some occasions, &lt;&gt; you could &lt;&gt; hear the <sounds days="" loudspeaker="" of="" on="" same="" the="">. Let me rephrase my question. You often heard the <sounds of=""> the loudspeaker while returning from work. At that time, perhaps you were about &lt;&gt; 70 metres away from the place where the <sound> came &lt;&gt;. On the night that you heard the <sounds, did=""> you witness people &lt;&gt; being <walked> into the</walked></sounds,></sound></sounds></sounds></given></it>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

19

1 time at the worksite. <Sometimes, I came back to my village --> 2 [09.51.08]3 Q. My apology. Perhaps my questions are too complicated for you and you cannot get the gist of them. I would like to simplify my 4 5 questions. Now, you stated that you witnessed people were being marched into the pagoda. What happened to them after they were б 7 put into the compound of the pagoda? 8 A. I do not know what happened to them, Mr. Co-Prosecutor. Q. Did you understand my last question or you do not know what 9 10 happened to them? What happened to those who had been brought 11 into the pagoda? 12 MR. PRESIDENT: 13 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You have the floor now, Mr. Koppe. 14 MR. KOPPE: 15 Thank you, Mr. President. I think now the time has come to object 16 to these questions. They are repetitive. The underlying 17 assumption is that music was only played presumably when people 18 were killed. I mean there's also the option that music was played 19 and nobody was killed. The other underlying assumption of the 20 Prosecution is that whenever people were brought in, they would 21 be killed the same night. That's also a presumption. The witness 22 has clearly answered that he sometimes heard music and that he 23 doesn't know what happened inside. I think that's all he can say, 24 and Prosecutor should now refrain from asking over and over again 25 the same question.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

20

1	[09.	.53.	10]
---	------	------	-----

2 BY MR. SREA RATTANAK:

3 Q. I can rephrase my question, but I did not ask whether people were killed inside the pagoda. I only asked what happened to 4 5 those people who had been brought into the pagoda. Document б E3/5257, ERN in Khmer, 00243107; in English, 00251021; French, 7 00342672; you provided answers to the investigators of the OCIJ. You were asked: "What did they do to the prisoner who had been 8 9 brought into the pagoda?" And your answer is: "They were killed 10 in front of the pagoda." So my question is: How do you know such incident? 11

- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You may now proceed, Counsel Kong 14 Sam Onn.
- 15 [09.54.41]
- 16 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

17 Thank you, Mr. President. I think the question put by National 18 Deputy Co-Prosecutor has no foundation. He did not ask any basic 19 general question first before he went to ask such a question. So 20 please, Co-Prosecutor, rephrase your question.

21 BY MR. SREA RATTANAK:

Q. Let me clarify this point with you. You have testified before the Chamber that you do not know about the killing. Before the investigators of the OCIJ, you stated that prisoners were killed in front of the pagoda. So which version of your testimony is

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

21

1	correct, is it the statement in the OCIJ written record of
2	interview or the statement before the Chamber now?
3	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
4	A. I do not know I did not know whether prisoners were killed
5	in front of the pagoda at that time. However, later on after the
6	end of the regime, I learned about this.
7	Q. How did you know that those people were killed after the end
8	of the regime where did you learn from?
9	A. Villagers went to see the grave-pits.
10	[09.57.10]
11	Q. How large were those grave-pits?
12	A. There were large and small grave-pits.
13	Q. How many grave-pits were there?
14	A. I did not count them.
15	Q. Could you give your estimate, how large the small pit was and
16	how large the large pit was?
17	A. I cannot tell you the estimate, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
18	Q. What did you see inside the grave-pits when you, together with
19	villagers, went to see the pits?
20	A. I did not go to observe the things inside the pits. However,
21	villagers told me that there were skeletons.
22	[09.58.48]
23	Q. What about clothes or any parts of what about clothes and
24	other belongings?
25	A. I was told that there were clothes in those pits.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

22

- 1 Q. Did they tell you what types of clothes they were? Did they
- 2 tell you that those clothes belonged to Khmer people or any type
- 3 of people?
- 4 A. I was told that they were normal clothes.
- 5 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 6 A. I do not know whether the clothes belonged to any other
- 7 ethnicity, but I was told that they were normal clothes as we
- 8 used to wear.
- 9 MR. SREA RATTANAK:
- 10 Mr. President, I would like to hand over the floor to my esteemed
- 11 International Co-Prosecutor.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 You may now proceed, Co-Prosecutor for the international side.
- 14 [10.00.21]
- 15 QUESTIONING BY MR. SMITH:
- 16 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 17 morning, Counsel. Good morning to the public and good morning,
- 18 Witness.
- 19 Q. When did you go to the pagoda after the fall of Democratic
- 20 Kampuchea? Did you go immediately after in January 1979 or
- 21 sometime after that?
- 22 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- A. I actually entered the pagoda compound right in 1979 when thepagoda was being rebuilt and refurnished.
- 25 Q. And is your evidence correct that you can't remember how many

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

23

pits there were, and how many were small and how many were large? 1 2 Is that your evidence, it's hard to remember the numbers of pits? 3 A. Yes, that's what I said. [10.01.45]4 Q. And is it your evidence that you could see the pits but you're 5 saying that you didn't look inside the pits to see if there were б 7 bodies in there or not; is that correct? A. Yes, that is correct. I did not look inside the pit since its 8 9 stench was rather strong. 10 Q. And what was the stench of, what was the smell? 11 A. It was the stench of corpses. 12 Q. Do you know a person called Chea Maly? 13 A. Do you refer to Chea Maly the commune chief? If that is the 14 case, yes, I do know him. 15 Q. And was Chea Maly, was he a Buddhist monk before 1975? 16 A. Yes, he was a monk staying in that pagoda. 17 [10.03.22]18 Q. And do you remember whether he went to the pagoda after 1979 19 or early in 1979 to look at the pits? Do you remember whether he 20 went there or not? 21 A. The commune chief was a monk there -- or had been a monk there 22 until the time that he was asked to <defrock>. And later on, he 23 got married to a woman whose house was not far from the pagoda. 24 Q. Thank you for that. And do you remember whether he went to 25 look at the pits as well?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

24

A. I do not know whether he actually went to look at those pits, 1 2 as my house was far from his. 3 Q. Are you able to say when he was disrobed as a monk, like in 1974, '75, '76 or any other time? When was he disrobed as a monk? 4 5 A. I cannot recall the year. Q. You may not recall the year but was it in the Democratic б 7 Kampuchea regime between 1975 and 1979? Can you say that or can 8 you not? A. He was living there between 1975 to 1979; he was not relocated 9 10 anywhere. [10.05.58]11 12 Q. But when the wat -- when the pagoda was turned into a security 13 office, was he still living there, or when he disrobed, did he 14 have to leave? A. After he <was defrocked>, he left the pagoda and went to live 15 16 with his family. 17 Q. And was he forced to disrobe or did he do it by his own 18 choice? 19 A. He was forced to <defrock>. 20 Q. And who forced him to do that? 21 A. It was the Khmer Rouge group. 22 Q. Thank you. I'd like to put a statement that he made about when 23 he went to the wat and saw the pits, and also about when he 24 disrobed. If I can put that statement to you and if you can tell 25 me -- give me your reaction to it, tell me whether you think it's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

25

- 1 accurate or not from what you are able to see when you went to
- 2 the wat earlier in 1979. And I'm referring to E3/7827, English,
- 3 00210432 to 33; Khmer, 00663 --
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please repeat the document number and the6 ERN slowly. Thank you.
- 7 [10.08.25]
- 8 BY MR. SMITH:
- 9 Sorry, Your Honour, for speaking so fast there.

10 Q. E3/7827, English, 00210432 to 33; Khmer, 00635155 to 56; and 11 French, 00620031. And Witness, this is what Chea Maly told the 12 investigators as to what he saw.

"When I visited the wat in 1979 after the end of the Khmer Rouge 13 14 regime, the wat itself had been removed except for one monastery 15 that had been used by the Khmer Rouge for security. I saw cuts 16 and holes in the wall. In front of the temple, there were about 17 100 to 150 pits. I saw these both in early 1979 and at the end of 18 1979. Some pits had five bodies and some others contained 50 to 19 100 bodies. The pit sizes range from about 2 metres by 2 metres 20 to 5 metres by 5 metres. The pits were not there when I left the 21 wat in April 1976. So they had to have been created between April 22 1976 and early 1979.

23 "The area in which the pits were located has since been used for 24 plantation. The remains that have been collected are just a 25 portion of the bodies buried there. The remains in the stupa at

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

26

1	Wat Au Trakuon are only about three-tenths of the total number of
2	bodies that were there."
3	[10.11.00]
4	Witness, he said a number of things in that statement, but what's
5	your comment in relation to that statement? Does it seem accurate
б	to you, inaccurate? Can you give us a comment about what he saw?
7	MR. KOPPE:
8	I object to this question, Mr. President. He just said that he
9	didn't actually look into it, he has no knowledge. He's not in
10	the position to confirm this statement. He can give a comment; I
11	don't have a problem with this. But we just established that that
12	would be what he's asking the Prosecution is beyond the
13	realm of his knowledge. So I don't think that is a fair question.
14	Comments, fine. But not on the specifics.
15	[10.11.47]
16	BY MR. SMITH:
17	Your Honour, I haven't asked him about the specifics. I just
18	asked his reaction, whether he felt it was accurate or
19	inaccurate. He clearly knows a lot about the pits there because
20	he went there.
21	Q. Rather than have a debate, can you please comment on what Chea
22	Maly said?
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Witness, please hold on.
25	(Judges deliberate)

27

- 1 [10.12.38]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 The objection raised by the defence counsel for Nuon Chea is

4 overruled. The question is permissible. And Mr. Witness, please

5 respond to the last question put to you by the Deputy

- 6 Co-Prosecutor.
- 7 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:

8 A. I do not make any contact or discuss any matter with the 9 commune chief regarding the pits or the number of pits. So I do 10 not know the actual number of pits there.

11 BY MR. SMITH:

Q. Thank you. But you did go to the wat. You testified that you went to the wat to see the pits; that's correct, isn't it? MR. TAY KOEMHUN:

A. Yes, I went to the wat in order to prepare for <a> religious ceremony but not to pay particular attention to the pits. I went to actually clean up the area to pile the broken materials there. [10.14.05]

Q. Just one last question, Your Honour. Witness, you talked about the stench of corpses, human bodies. Do you know whether bodies were removed from the wat, from those pits? Were there any exhumations of those bodies, taking them out of the pits?
A. The remains were gathered and stored at the stupa which is located just in front of the temple. And actually, the fund for building the stupa was from Excellency Mao Pirum and the current

at

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

$\sim$	o
<i>.</i>	8

1	Prime Minister.
2	MR. PRESIDENT:
3	Thank you, Co-Prosecutor. It is now appropriate for a short
4	break. We take a break now and return at 10.30.
5	Court officer, please assist the witness during the break time a
б	the waiting room for witnesses and civil parties, and invite him
7	as well as the duty counsel back into the courtroom at 10.30.
8	(Court recesses from 1015H to 1031H)
9	MR. PRESIDENT:
10	Please be seated.
11	The Court is now back in session and the floor is given to the
12	International Deputy Co-Prosecutor to resume his line of
13	questioning. You may now proceed.
14	BY MR. SMITH:
15	Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning again, Witness.
16	Q. I'd like to move to a different topic. The topic is the Cham
17	population in Peam Chi Kang commune and the Angkor Ban commune.
18	So the first question is: when the Khmer Rouge took control of
19	your area, your commune, were there Cham living in Peam Chi Kang
20	and Angkor Ban communes?
21	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
22	Yes, there were Cham people there in Peam Chi Kang commune.
23	[10.33.20]
24	Q. In that commune I know there's a number of villages but
25	can you give an estimate of how many families lived in the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

	29
1	commune when the Khmer Rouge came to your area? Just an estimate.
2	A. I do not know how many of them living in that commune.
3	Q. Okay, say maybe in your in your village Sambuor Meas
4	village A. In the village that you lived, in your statement, you
5	said that there were Cham that were brought into your village to
б	live. Is that correct, during the Khmer Rouge period?
7	A. Yes, that is correct. During the Khmer Rouge period, Cham
8	families were brought to the village.
9	Q. And where did those Cham families come from?
10	A. I do not know where they were from.
11	Q. And why were they brought to your village?
12	A. I do not know why they were brought to my village.
13	Q. Were other people brought to your village after the Khmer
14	Rouge took control of Cambodia, Phnom Penh in 1975? Were other
15	people brought there, as well as the Cham?
16	A. There were people from Phnom Penh, <kampong cham=""> who were</kampong>
17	also brought into that village.
18	[10.36.12]
19	Q. And about how many Cham people were brought into the village?
20	How many families? How many individuals? If you can give us an
21	idea, in your village, how many came to it?
22	A. I do not know, Mr. Co-Prosecutor, about the number of families
23	who had been brought in.
24	Q. Mr. Witness, thank you for the answer. We certainly don't want
25	you to guess any answer. Only tell us what you know. Thank you.

30

1	Now, when those Cham were brought into your village during the
2	Democratic Kampuchea period, what happened to them?
3	A. During the Democratic Kampuchea period, Cham people did not
4	create any activities. They were living normally as Khmer people
5	
	were.
6	Q. Did the Cham people in your village stay there or were they
7	taken away?
8	A. Could you repeat your question once again? I could not get it.
9	[10.38.10]
10	Q. Did the Cham people that were brought to your village during
11	the Democratic Kampuchea period, did they stay there throughout
12	and were they there still in 1979, 1980, or did they leave or
13	were they taken away during that period?
14	A. During that period, Cham people <were> living in the village.</were>
15	Regarding them, I do not know. I was living in Kaoh Touch. <i< td=""></i<>
16	ploughed the field in Kaoh Touch>. I would return to my village
17	once in every three months or two months. Once in a while, I
18	would return home. I do not know where they were taken to.
19	Q. So are you saying that they were taken away during the
20	Democratic Kampuchea period? I understand you're saying you don't
21	know where they were taken to, but are you saying that they were
22	taken away from your village during that period?
23	A. I do not know about that. I was living and working in the rice
24	fields in Kaoh Touch. I would return once in every two or three
25	months. I do not know where they were evacuated, where they were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

25

1 sent to. 2 [10.40.20]3 Q. In 1979 when the Khmer Rouge were defeated, those Cham were no longer in your village. Is that what you're saying? 4 A. Yes, that is true. It is my statement. 5 Q. If I could just refresh your memory with what you told the б 7 investigators a few years ago in relation to this topic, the Cham in your village. And I refer to E3/5257, English, 00251019; 8 9 Khmer, 00243106; and French, 00342671. You were asked this 10 question by the investigator and this what he's recorded you as 11 saying: 12 "Did they arrest the Cham people in the group that had evacuated from Phnom Penh?" 13 And you said: "They arrested that group on sight. The Old People, 14 15 like me, were not arrested, and the New People were the same. Only the Cham people were arrested." 16 17 Does that refresh your memory as to what you told the 18 investigator a few years ago? 19 A. I never said about the arrest of Cham people. I do not know 20 about that. I never stayed and lived in my village. I was 21 assigned to work in the <forest> and plough the field. I have no 22 idea about the arrest of Cham people. 23 [10.43.05]24 Q. You testified earlier that you lived in the Angkor Ban commune

31

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

until 1972 and then you moved to Sambuor Meas Village A, and

32

1 you'd been living in that village from 1972 till now. And then 2 you also said that you moved to Peam Chi Kang for a while, in 3 your statement you say for about one year. And you also said that when you were in Peam Chi Kang, you would eat at the dining hall 4 in Sambuor Meas Village A. So your evidence is that you've 5 testified that you were living in Sambuor Meas village. So, is б 7 that correct? That's where you were living for most of the time during the Democratic Kampuchea period. That was your evidence. 8 9 A. During the evacuation time, I may have confused. After the end 10 of the regime in 1979, I was told that Pol Pot would come back to control the country. For this reason I, together with my family, 11 12 went to live in Peam Chi Kang <>. During the interview, perhaps I 13 had just recovered from my illness. <So, my responses were partly 14 wrong and partly right; they were not clear>. I did not stay in 15 Peam Chi Kang. I was <> evacuated <to stay about one kilometre 16 away> from the pagoda. I was staying around seven <or eight 17 hundred> metres away from the pagoda.

18 [10.45.35]

Q. I won't discuss with you about that, because the information you've given is in your statement. But what I would like to talk about is the arrest of Cham in other parts of Peam Chi Kang commune. Not the arrest of the Cham in the village that we just talked about, but the arrest of Chams in other areas. Did you see Cham people being arrested when you were out working during that period?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

33

1	A. I never witnessed it, because as I said, I was working and
2	living on <the other="" side=""> of the river. I did not know about the</the>
3	arrests. I did not see it.
4	Q. In you statement, you talk about the job of pedalling water. I
5	think one of your jobs was to pedal water. Can you tell the Court
б	what pedalling water, what that activity is, please?
7	A. Regarding the water wheel, I had to pedal the water wheel in
8	order to bring the water into the rice field.
9	Q. When you were doing that, did some Cham people help you do
10	that or do that at the same time that you were doing it?
11	A. No Cham people were working in that field.
12	[10.47.48]
13	Q. Thank you. Perhaps if I could put a statement that you made to
14	the investigators a few years ago and the one that you read
15	before you came into Court, about what you said about this topic.
16	And I'd like you to comment on that please.
17	Your Honours, it's the same statement, E3/5257 at English,
18	00251019 to 20; Khmer, 00243106; and French, 00342671. And this
19	is what you told the investigator that you said was basically
20	true this morning:
21	"Sometimes when the Cham went to pedal water, security followed
22	them and arrested them there." Question: "What year did they
23	arrest the Cham?"
24	"It was probably in 1976, because the security office inside that
25	pagoda was created in 1976. In 1975, there were still solidarity

34

1 teams." 2 And then you were questioned: "Do you know why they arrested the 3 Cham?" And you said: "I don't know." You said "No one dared ask about 4 5 the arrests." The question was put: "Did the arrest of the Cham happen just one б 7 time or many consecutive times?" And you answered: "They arrested the Cham successively. Sometimes 8 9 they followed and arrested the Cham at the ploughing sites." 10 "How did you know" -- this is a question --"How did you know the Khmer Rouge" -- sorry, I'll repeat. "How did the Khmer Rouge know 11 12 they were Cham?" 13 And you said: "They had them speak first. For instance, they had 14 me raise my hand and speak, so they were able to know who was 15 Cham and who was not." 16 So my question is: that's what you've told the investigator, is 17 that true? 18 [10.51.02]19 A. I don't think it is completely correct. While I was ploughing 20 the fields, Cham people were arrested. At that time, they thought 21 I was a Cham person. They pointed the weapons to my neck and at 22 that time, they realized I was Khmer and they left, they went 23 away. It was not during the time that I was <in the village>, it 24 was <when I was> at a worksite.

25 Q. So you did see the arrest of Cham; is that correct?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

1

35

2 time. 3 Q. In your statement, you say that they arrested the Cham successively. Did they arrest a lot of Cham over a period of time 4 that you saw? 5 A. I saw two or three Cham people <being> arrested at that time. б 7 It was scary at the time and I did not dare to look at the people 8 who came to arrest the Cham. 9 Q. And what did they do with the Cham that they arrested? 10 A. These Cham people were taken to plough the field. 11 Q. Once those Cham were arrested, did you see them again? 12 A. I saw who? Sorry, Mr. Co-Prosecutor. [10.53.58]13 Q. Once those Cham who were arrested in the fields, did you ever 14 15 see them again? 16 A. Yes, I saw them back. 17 Q. And have you seen them, are they alive today, do you know? 18 A. I do not know because they had been arrested. 19 Q. Thank you. Earlier you were asked a question about whether or 20 not you saw people being arrested from a boat, and you said that 21 you hadn't seen anyone arrested or any prisoners on a boat. I'd 22 like to put to you what you said in your statement. And this is E3/5257, at English, 00251016; Khmer, 00243102 to 03; and French, 23 24 00342667. This is what you said to the investigator: 25 "I saw them arrest more prisoners and take them off boats."

A. Yes, I saw a few Cham, two or three <being> arrested at that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

it for him.

	36
1	Question: "Did you see arrests often?"
2	"Sometimes I saw them, sometimes I did not see them."
3	"How many times did you see them being taken off boats?"
4	And you said: "Once."
5	[10.56.19]
6	"How did you know they were prisoners?"
7	And you said: "They talked about it in the dining hall."
8	Question: "Did those people have their arms tied?"
9	Answer: "Yes, they were tied."
10	Question: "How were they tied?"
11	Answer: "Their arms were tied behind them."
12	Question: "Were there men, women or children?"
13	Answer: "There were men, women and children."
14	And then question: "Were there any small children?"
15	And answer: "Yes, there were small children. All the people saw
16	this because there were hundreds of them at mealtime."
17	Does that refresh your memory now that you did in fact see people
18	being arrested off the boat?
19	[10.57.24]
20	MR. PRESIDENT:
21	Please wait, Mr. Witness. You may proceed, Mr. Koppe.
22	MR. KOPPE:
23	I would appreciate it, Mr. President, if the Prosecution would
24	also read the next sentence of that statement. I'd be happy to do

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

37

- 1 "When you saw those prisoners, were there any Cham?"
- 2 Answer: "I don't know."
- 3 BY MR. SMITH:

4 Thank you, Counsel. This event wasn't put forward to discuss
5 whether or not the Chams were arrested; it was in relation to
6 people being taken to Wat Au Trakuon security centre.

7 Q. So, Witness, do you now remember that you saw people taken off

- 8 a boat or do you not know?
- 9 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 10 I do not know if they were taken off boats.
- 11 [10.58.43]

12 Q. Mr. Witness, now I'd like to ask you some questions about your 13 role as militia during the Democratic Kampuchea period. Did you 14 work as a militia in the Long Sword Group during that period? 15 A. I was not a militiaman. Back then, I was assigned to plough 16 the field at Sangkae Ou Phdau (phonetic). And at that time, the militiamen called me to be a soldier. So I followed the 17 18 militiaman and they pointed the gun at me. My wife and my child 19 were crying because they thought I would die <when I entered Au 20 Trakuon>. I was allowed to spend a night when I arrived <at the 21 commune office> and I was asked the <following morning> how many 22 siblings I had. I told them that I had five siblings, and they 23 asked me <if any of my siblings had been smashed by Angkar. I 24 replied none of my siblings had, but that only my cousins, aunt 25 and uncle had been smashed. They asked me if I had many relatives

38

who had been smashed>. I told them that I had about 20 <of them>.
After hearing this, they closed the book <and stopped questioning</p>
me. Then, I was assigned to stay at the rice storehouse with the
young people armed with long swords. I was in charge of the rice
storehouse and fish-paste storehouse only>.

6 [11.00.47]

Q. Were you part of the sub-district militia or were you part of a group called the Long Sword militia? Were you in either one of those militia groups?

- 10 A. No, I was in neither <of them because> I was <only> tasked to
  11 <remain at the> rice <storehouse>.
- 12 Q. Thank you. Do you know -- have you ever heard of a group of
- 13 militia back in the Democratic Kampuchea operating in your
- 14 commune called the Long Sword Group?
- A. Yes. I heard people talking about it. However, I did not knowabout the activities that the group involved in.
- 17 Q. And you're sure about that. You were never in the Long Sword
- 18 Group, is that right?
- 19 A. Yes, that is the truth.

20 Q. And is it also the truth that you have no knowledge of what

- 21 the Long Sword militia group did.
- 22 A. Please repeat your question.
- 23 Q. Is your evidence that you didn't know what the Long Sword
- 24 Group did as militia in your area in Democratic Kampuchea?
- 25 A. That is correct. I did not know what they did during the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

	$\sim$
_ ≺	ч
~	~

- 1 regime.
- 2 [11.03.40]

Q. You were also asked about this topic by the investigator from 3 the ECCC when you spoke to him a few years ago, and some answers 4 5 on this topic are recorded in your statement. I'd like to read those answers back to you and ask you to comment about it. Your б 7 Honours, it's E3/5257, at English, 00251018; Khmer, 00243104 to 05; and French, 00342669. And this is the question that was asked 8 9 to you, Mr. Witness: 10 "Do you remember the Long Sword militia?" Answer: "Yes. There was one." 11 12 Question: "Were you in the Long Sword team? 13 Answer: "Yes, I was in that team. They divided the Long Sword 14 team into many teams which were responsible for various works."

- 15 "Who arrested the people?"
- 16 The answer: "The security unit."
- 17 "Were those security personnel in the militia?"
- 18 Answer: "They called that group security soldiers."

19 Question: "Did the Long Sword Group have the job of arresting

- 20 people?"
- 21 Answer: "At that time, the Long Sword Group was divided into
- 22 teams. Some teams ploughed the fields and some teams did secret
- 23 work."
- 24 [11.05.52]

25 Mr. Witness, is that the truth, what I just read out to you? That

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

40

1	you did know about the Long Sword militia and you were in the
2	Long Sword militia?
3	A. Yes, the Long Sword Group was subdivided into smaller groups.
4	As for me, because <> my <> background <was good="" not="">, I was</was>
5	tasked to guard the rice barn. <i allowed="" anywhere.<="" go="" not="" td="" to="" was=""></i>
б	Shortly after>, I was reassigned.
7	Q. So now you're saying that you were in the Long Sword militia
8	group; is that correct?
9	A. Yes, it's correct. However, I did not involve in any activity
10	of the group. <i> was tasked to guard the rice barn <only. it="" td="" was<=""></only.></i>
11	a different part>. The other sub-teams were based not far from
12	where I guarded the rice barn. <but,> I was not given any long</but,>
13	sword to carry.
14	[11.07.30]
15	Q. And Witness, just a few more questions, because time is short,
16	you also said in that statement at E3/5257, English, 00251081 to
17	19; Khmer, 00243105; and French, 00342670; you said in answer to
18	this question "Who was your direct superior in the militia?" And
19	you said, "Doeun". Is that correct, was Doeun your direct
20	superior in the Long Sword militia?
21	A. I only heard of Doeun, but I did not know what he looked like.
22	Q. But was he your superior?
23	A. No, he's not. It was a different one. And actually, I was by
24	myself at the rice barn. As for Ta Doeun, I only heard of his
25	name and he was at a different location.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

41

1	Q. I just have two last questions. I want to put these two
2	statements to you and I would you to respond. A witness Sen Srun
3	has testified in this Court and has given a statement E3/5252,
4	English, 00235517; Khmer, 00235021; and French, 00269891; Sen
5	Srun was asked this question:
б	"Could you tell me who at the time was the chief of the Long
7	Sword?"
8	[11.10.15]
9	He answered: "The chief name Chay and his deputy was Tay
10	Koemhun." And Tay Koemhun, he states, was the chief of the
11	committee at the Au Trakuon pagoda.
12	"What type of persons were Chay and Koemhun?"
13	"From 1975 to 1979, they were the leaders of the Long Sword
14	militias."
15	What's your comment in relation to that statement?
16	A. I did not meet with Srun, and Srun <went army="" join="" the="" to="">.</went>
17	And since then, I <had> not <had> any contact with him. Whatever</had></had>
18	he said <was> not correct. I did not do that. I was not even a</was>
19	member of the militia, but on the contrary, Srun was a soldier,
20	and of course, I <was> not his superior <either>.</either></was>
21	Q. And one last statement I'd like to put and, again, this has
22	been said by Sen Srun in one of his statements, and it's at
23	E3/5302, English, 00210488; Khmer, 00635176; and French, 00623191
24	to 92. And Sen Srun answered this question in relation to the
25	gathering up of Cham people.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

- 1 [11.12.26]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Please hold on, Mr. Prosecutor. Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you have
- 4 the floor.
- 5 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 6 I do not get the ERN number of that document in the Khmer
- 7 language.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please provide the document and the ERN of
- 10 the Khmer document.
- 11 MR. SMITH:
- Sorry. 00635176. And Sen Srun is answering a question in relationto the gathering up of Cham people.
- 14 "The person who told me to gather them up was Hoeun, who was my unit chief. He was a local and still lives in this area in 15 16 Sambuor Meas Kha. Hoeun ordered me to gather the Cham and take 17 them to the wat. I didn't know what their plan was, but I was 18 told that Cham people were a different race and had to be 19 smashed, and that if we kept them, they would rebel against us 20 some time. The person who told me this was the commune security chief, whose name was Tay Koemhun. He is still alive and lives in 21 22 my village."
- 23 [11.14.01]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:

25 The Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please hold on, and the defence counsel

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

43

1 for Khieu Samphan, you have the floor.

2 MS. GUISSÉ:

3 Yes, I would like to object to the manner in which the document is being presented. I do not deny <what is contained therein>, 4 5 but <it appears that at the hearing, the witness, > Sen Srun, did come back on his statement. <So, when a witness has taken the б 7 stand to testify and when there are more recent statements, or 8 statements that clarify what has been said, I believe that it is 9 in total fairness for the witness who is summoned -- who is 10 confronted with the statements of another person, to at least be reminded of both statements. So, I don't know if the 11 12 Co-Prosecutor intends to address this issue afterwards, but there 13 is a difference between Sen Srun's statement and what he has stated before this Chamber.> 14

15 [11.15.06]

16 BY MR. SMITH:

17 Yes, Mr. President, what was quoted was one particular statement. 18 I will tell the witness that at a later time, in another 19 statement, he said that you did not tell him the reason why Cham 20 were being arrested. So he's taken that statement away at a later 21 point in time. But what I'd like to -- and that's what he 22 testified to, that he can't remember saying that. 23 Q. But my question is: In relation to that statement, that first 24 statement that he gave about you telling him that the Cham people 25 were a different race and needed to be smashed, did you say that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

44

- 1 or not, to Sen Srun?
- 2 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 3 No, I did not say it.
- 4 [11.16.04]

Q. Thank you. And my last question: Mr. Witness, isn't it true that the Long Sword militia and Peam Chi Kang commune was responsible for the arrests of Cham and other people, other New People or other people that were detained at Wat Au Trakuon pagoda? Wasn't it the Long Sword militia that had the responsibility, and, in fact, made those arrests in your commune in Democratic Kampuchea?

A. I have already testified that I did not live in the village.
For that reason, I was not aware of that event. I did not know
about the arrest of the Cham people or the New People. I spent my
time in the plantation, growing <cucumbers and other> vegetables,
<a href="https://www.select.org">cucumbers</a> and other> vegetables,
<a href="https://www.select.org">select.org</a> and I slept there <a href="https://www.select.org">night> and I did not enter the village.</a>

18 MR. SMITH:

19 Thank you, thank you, Mr. Witness. Mr. President, I took an extra 20 five or six minutes or 10 minutes, in fact, over what was agreed 21 to with the civil parties, so I would ask that if the civil 22 parties could have an extra five minutes or 10 minutes to present 23 their submissions -- or their questions. Thank you.

24 [11.18.05]

25 MR. PRESIDENT:

45

- 1 The Lead Co-Lawyers, you may proceed.
- 2 MR. PICH ANG:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. Marie (sic) Jacquin is the assigned
- 4 counsel to put questions to this witness. Thank you.
- 5 QUESTIONING BY MS. JACQUIN:

6 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good 7 morning, the Prosecution, defence counsels, civil parties and the 8 public. Good morning, Witness. My name is Martine Jacquin, civil 9 party lawyer. And I thank you in advance for answering my 10 questions.

- Q. First question: You stated and confirmed a while ago that you lived very close to the pagoda. And when you said so in your interview a few years ago, you explained that you lived below the house, in a sector which was not a public sector, because you were a member of the security <team>; is that correct?
- 16 [11.19.14]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Witness, please hold on. Counsel for Khieu Samphan, you have the
- 19 floor.
- 20 MS. GUISSÉ:
- 21 This is not an objection, Mr. President. I just wish to ask for a 22 reference to the <witness> interview. <Thank you.>
- 23 MS. JACQUIN:
- 24 <The references are document> E3/5257, ERN KH <00243102>; the ERN 25 in English, <00251015> and 00251016; in French; and 00342665.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

46

- MR. PRESIDENT: 1 2 Judge Lavergne, you have the floor. 3 JUDGE LAVERGNE: Yes, I have indeed noted the references. I am not sure, 4 <Counsel>, of the location you are referring to. I have the 5 impression that when the witness was interviewed in  $E_{3/5257}$ , he б 7 <was referring> to Peam Chi Kang, and not <of the place> where he 8 was, close to the pagoda. 9 [11.20.43]10 MS. JACQUIN: 11 Mr. President, I would like the witness to clarify that 12 particular situation. MR. PRESIDENT: 13 Counsel, please make sure you speak a bit far from the 14 15 microphone, maybe 20 centimetres. Please provide the correct ERN 16 number in the Khmer language. It is not clear and incorrect. 17 Please make sure that you are well prepared when you proceed with 18 your questioning. Otherwise, the additional time that you 19 requested is not worthwhile. 20 [11.21.34]21 BY MS. JACOUIN: 22 Q. Thank you, Mr. President. The witness confirmed a while ago 23 that he lived at a point in time close to the pagoda. In his 24 prior statement, whose reference I gave a while ago, he stated
- 25 that he was authorized to live in that sector because it was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

47

- 1 indeed the sector called Peam Chi Kang, because he was a member
- 2 of the security service. I would like him to clarify both
- 3 situations.
- 4 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:

5 A. The fact that I was asked to leave my home because that house 6 was used for the security force and civilians were prohibited 7 from entering the area. The area was guarded by soldiers. Anyone 8 who breached the ban would be shot dead.

9 MR. PRESIDENT:

10 Counsel for the civil parties, you have been reminded to speak at 11 a 20-<centimetre> distance from the microphone. And the National 12 Lead Co-Lawyer, the Cambodian one, Mr. Pich Ang, please remind 13 your counsel when she speaks too close to the microphone, the 14 echo is too strong for the interpreter to provide a proper 15 interpretation.

16 [11.24.01]

17 BY MS. JACQUIN:

18 Q. Witness, a while ago you said that your family consisted of 19 about 25 persons and that you had five brothers and sisters. How

20 many of those people are still alive <today>?

- 21 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 22 A. Are you asking about my blood siblings?
- 23 Q. I am talking of the family you mentioned in your biography,
- 24 and <who> you <included when providing> further information.
- 25 A. There are <quite many relatives> -- close and distant

> 1 <cousins> -- who survived the regime <and are living today>. 2 Q. You were age 25 during the Democratic Kampuchea regime. You 3 were a rice farmer, you were one of the Old <People> and your situation was not very difficult given the political regime in 4 place at the time; is that correct? 5 [11.25.48]б 7 A. No, that is incorrect. My life was miserable <> under the 8 regime. The only food we ate was gruel compounded by hard manual 9 labour. 10 Q. You stated that when they came to fetch you, your wife and 11 child were crying. My question to you is: Why were they so 12 worried? And how many of you were called to be members of a group 13 representing the village? 14 A. I did not know whether I was called to be part of the <army. 15 My wife and child wept because I was called to go to Au Trakuon 16 and> everybody knew that if people were called to go to Au 17 Trakuon pagoda, it means their fate would be sealed there. 18 [11.27.17]19 Q. You stated in document <-- still the same one --> E3/5257, ERN 20 in Khmer, 00243101; ERN in English, 00251014; ERN in French, 21 00342663; you made the following statement: 22 "How come you were able to see prisoners being accompanied while 23 you were in Peam Chi Kang?" 24 You said: "I lived in Peam Chi Kang <but> I had to eat in that 25 place and that is why I was able to witness that event."

48

> Question: "Did you recognize the prisoners in question?" 1 2 "I recognized some of them, including my cousin." 3 "Can you give us the names of those prisoners?" "Yes. They were Thol, my adopted brother, Lim Srun, my cousin. 4 These two men were arrested with their families; <so their wives> 5 and children. Another cousin of mine was called You Hao. I had б 7 seen many other prisoners being arrested. These persons had 8 alighted from a boat." 9 <My question does not concern the prisoners> from the boat, but 10 the prisoners you recognized and you were able to give their 11 names. What happened to them? Did you see them again on the day 12 of that arrest, and in particular, did you see your cousin? [11.29.20]13 14 A. I did not know what happened to them since we were at 15 different locations. While I was having a meal, I saw them being 16 walked, so I stopped eating my meal and I left the dining hall. 17 Q. Can you specify where they were being taken to? 18 A. They were taken to the pagoda. 19 Q. <Did> you see them <again> between the time they were arrested 20 and the end of the DK regime? 21 A. No, it is very likely that they had been killed. 22 Q. So you saw a certain number of people, men, women, children 23 enter this pagoda. So when you were in the communal dining hall 24 afterwards, did you sometimes hear the children shout or play? 25 A. No, I did not.

49

MR. PRESIDENT:

1

2

25

50

3 anticipate that you need to put questions to this witness? [11.31.18] 4 MS. JACOUIN: 5 Mr. President, <I request> 10 extra minutes, which was, in fact, б 7 what was scheduled. MR. PRESIDENT: 8 9 Yes, the Chamber will grant you the 10 additional minutes. 10 However, you may resume in the afternoon, since it is now our lunch break. The Chamber will take a lunch break now and resume 11 12 at 1.30. 13 Court officer, please assist the witness at the waiting room for witnesses and civil parties during the lunch break and invite 14 15 him, as well as the duty counsel, back into the courtroom at 16 1.30. 17 Security personnel, you are instructed to take Khieu Samphan to the waiting room downstairs and have him returned to attend the 18 19 proceedings this afternoon before 1.30. 20 (Court recesses from 1132H to 1331H) MR. PRESIDENT: 21 22 Please be seated. The Court is back in session. 23 And representative for civil parties have the floor now. 24 BY MS. JACQUIN:

The assigned counsel for civil parties, how much more time do you

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Witness for answering a

51

1	question I'm going to put to you. I would like to get back to
2	what you explained earlier which is that when they came to take
3	you, your wife and child were crying because generally when
4	people were being taken to the pagoda they would be executed. But
5	for you, it was to be recruited as a militiaman, so do you agree
6	with that?
7	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
8	A. No. <i a="" become="" but="" i="" militiaman,="" not="" that="" told="" was="" would=""> I</i>
9	was told that I would become a soldier or a military man.
10	Q. You also told us that you were very afraid when two soldiers
11	had pointed their guns at you, whereas you were in the rice field
12	if I remember <correctly>, because they thought that you were</correctly>
13	Cham.
14	A. <at field="" paddy="" the="">, they said I was Cham and they pointed</at>
15	their guns at me and then I heard people say I was Khmer not
16	Cham. I was so <scared> at the time <that and="" came<="" my="" stool="" td="" urine=""></that></scared>
17	out at the same time>.
18	Q. So had you been Cham, what would have happened to you?
19	[13.33.52]
20	MR. PRESIDENT:
21	Mr. Witness, you do not need to respond to the question because
22	it is a hypothetical question. <the allow<="" chamber="" does="" not="" td=""></the>
23	parties to ask such a question.>
24	BY MS. JACQUIN:
25	Q. Why were you so afraid of being taken for a Cham?

25

52

1	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
2	A. At the time I was ploughing the field with Cham workers. While
3	I was collecting the grass and carrying it on my head, they
4	pointed their guns at my neck and <asked hands.="" me="" my="" raise="" to=""> I</asked>
5	was so <scared> at that time <that and="" came="" messed<="" my="" out="" stool="" td=""></that></scared>
6	my trousers. When they asked,> I told them that I was not Cham I
7	was Khmer person, after hearing that they went away.
8	Q. Can we say that back then it was very dangerous to be Cham?
9	A. If I had been a Cham person, I would have been in the same
10	situation as Cham people had.
11	Q. We recalled earlier the people that you knew, the people that
12	you saw enter the pagoda and among these people there was your
13	adopted brother, I'm looking for his name now, there was your
14	cousin as well, and you also said that you thought that they had
15	been executed, were these people thieves, were these people
16	murderers, <to> warrant their execution?</to>
17	A. <they not="" they="" thieves.="" were=""> innocent <people>.</people></they>
18	[13.36.55]
19	Q. So why, according to you, <why> were these people taken away</why>
20	to be executed entire families such as your <own> family</own>
21	<members>, Thol, Lim Srun, your cousin Deus (phonetic), You Hao;</members>
22	also with children if they were not criminals?
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Please do not respond to that question because the witness is not
05	all hands the desire and an all adaptions are seen from the set of the ball

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

<obliged> to draw any conclusion <on any fact presented to him by

53

- 1 a lawyer>.
- 2 BY MS. JACQUIN:

Q. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to know if the witness
saw people coming out of the pagoda since he saw people coming
into the pagoda.

- 6 [13.38.10]
- 7 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:

A. Later on, <I did not see because> I was not staying in the village; I did not -- I no longer stayed in my house. I was reassigned to work in the forest growing <vegetables, potatoes, winter melons, pumpkins and so on.to support the> cooperative and I would return home once in <several months>. I have no idea whether <or not> the prisoners were released from that centre afterwards.

15 Q. <During the period> when you <would> eat in the communal hall

16 next to the pagoda, did you see supplies coming in for the

17 prisoners? <Did> they bring in meat and vegetables and rice,

18 since they brought in prisoners?

19 A. No. <I never saw> it. No. I <did not see them being brought in 20 there>.

21 Q. When <they came for you because> you were recruited, could you22 have refused to become a Long Sword person, what <would have>

23 happened <to you> if you had?

24 MR. PRESIDENT:

25 Please hold on Mr. Witness. You have the floor now, Counsel Anta

54

- 1 Guisse.
- 2 MS. GUISSE:
- 3 Yes, Mr. President, I object to the second part of this question.
- 4 No problem regarding the first part but the second part, yes I do5 have an issue.
- 6 [13.40.14]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:

8 The objection is right. Parties -- rather witness is not entitled 9 to respond to a hypothetical question. Counsel for civil parties, 10 please make your questions simple and short so that the witness 11 can understand and provide the right responses. There's no 12 benefit to contribute to the truth if the questions are too 13 complicated.

14 BY MS. JACQUIN:

15 Thank you, Mr. President, I will rephrase my question in simpler 16 terms.

17 Q. Did you have the possibility of refusing to become a member of

18 the Long Sword unit when they came to look for you?

- 19 [13.41.25]
- 20 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:

A. I was assigned to go and <stay at the rice barn. I did not have any objection>. I was not part of the Long Sword Group but I was staying close to that group and at the time I was <staying at the rice barn. I did not join> that so-called Long Sword Group. I would have died if I refused to <stay at the rice barn>. During

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

55

1 that regime it was a dictatorship and I would have been killed if 2 I refused the assignment. 3 Q. Did you wear the black uniform? A. I wore normal clothes. Sometimes I wore black clothes and on 4 5 other some occasion I would wear blue or light blue clothes. Q. When you were living in the same region? Because you lived б 7 always in the same region and there were many Cham people in the villages there; were the Cham richer than the other Cambodians? 8 9 A. What you're asking? Do you want to know whether Cham people at 10 the time were well-off? Q. Yes, I want to know if the Cham were rather wealthier than the 11 12 other people in the villages. [13.43.37]13 A. I have no idea whether Cham people <were> better off in terms 14 15 of wealth. I did not know Cham people at the time; I did not 16 <know> whether they had better living conditions than Khmer 17 people at the time. 18 Q. But when you were young, in the villages, it was already 19 possible to identify the Cham people because the women had long 20 hair and they wore head scarves and they didn't speak the same 21 language. So in the village you obviously met Cham people. 22 MR. PRESIDENT: 23 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You have the floor now, Counsel Anta 24 Guissé. 25 MS. GUISSE: Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

56

1 I have a problem regarding the way <my colleague is putting> the 2 questions. Before <testifying and> explaining how he was able to 3 recognise Cham people, maybe it would have been <wiser> to have the witness <first> speak about how it was possible to recognise 4 Cham people. <This is> in order to <avoid having Counsel testify 5 and rather allow the witness to do it.> б 7 [13.45.17]8 BY MS. JACQUIN: 9 Mr. President, it is not the same question. I did not ask the 10 witness <what the criteria> to identify the Cham <was, as> we 11 already spoke about that in the past. I was putting another 12 question to him which is, were there already Cham people in his village and if he knew them because they obviously were clearly 13 identifiable. 14 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 Mr. Witness, you are instructed to give the response to this 17 question. 18 MR. TAY KOEMHUN: A. There were no Cham people in my village, <Sach Sou, Angkor Ban 19 20 village, > before the Khmer Rouge period. I had not known any Cham 21 people before that time because Cham people were living in a 22 village different from mine. 23 BY MS. JACQUIN:

24 Q, So, the Cham people who came into your village, did they

25 arrive as refugees from other regions or maybe from Phnom Penh?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

57

1 [13.46.51]
--------------

- 2 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 3 A. I do not know whether they <were> from Phnom Penh or from
- 4 elsewhere.
- 5 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- So, I would like to suggest <the> Lawyer for civil parties to
  specify the time period. <So, his responses are not specific in</li>
  term of time>.
- 9 BY MS. JACQUIN:
- I thank the defence lawyer for explaining to me how I should put questions. But I consider that I have full freedom to put questions the way I think about them and my references are very specific. In certain cases before or after the DK regime, the witness answered to us that there were no Chams in his village before and that they were in another village. So I'm going to put another question to him.
- 17 [13.47.54]

18 Q. After the capture of Kampong Cham, were you worried to see new 19 refugees arrive in your village?

- 20 MR. TAY KOEMHUN
- A. No worry, no worry for me. At that time I did not know whythey were sent into my village so I had no worries.
- 23 Q. You said to us that <under the Khmer Rouge>, life was very
- 24 difficult for you and that you practically had nothing to eat. So
- 25 didn't you think that these Cham refugees who were arriving on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

58

1	top of the others were also going to eat up the rice that was
2	there, the little food that you had <left>. Did this somehow</left>
3	<worry> you?</worry>
4	A. I had no worries at that time. I was under the regime's hands
5	and I was not the one who organised the food supply or anything
б	at all so it depended on them, the regime leadership.
7	Q. Why then, were you so afraid when the militiaman thought that
8	you were Cham?
9	[13.50.02]
10	A. I could not get your question about Cham people that I was
11	afraid of, so could you ask it again?
12	Q. No, I did not say you were afraid of the Cham, I said that you
13	were very afraid when the guards pointed their machine guns at
14	you thinking that you were Cham, so why were you so afraid then?
15	A. If they <mistook> me <for> a Cham person <and arrested="" me=""> I</and></for></mistook>
16	would have been killed. I would be killed if they thought I was
17	Cham.
18	Q. So now I would like to get back to your cousin who you saw was
19	taken away to the pagoda when you were at the communal hall. You
20	also saw Son (phonetic) Thol your adopted brother, Lim Srun your
21	cousin and their families and their children and another cousin
22	by the name of You Hao, <all> being taken away. So were they</all>
23	Cham?
24	A. They were Khmer people.
25	[13.51.42]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

59

1 Q. So why were they then taken away to the pagoda, where you said 2 that they never returned. 3 A. I do not get your guestion Counsel. Q. Why were they taken to the pagoda if they were not Cham? 4 A. I do not know Counsel. I do not know why they were arrested. I 5 was shocked and surprised to <find> my relatives <among the> б 7 arrested <people>. <But,> I do not know <anything>. 8 Q. You also saw other families with women and children coming 9 into the pagoda, so was it possible to know that they were Cham? 10 A. While I was having meal, I noticed there were Khmer people. I was having watery gruel, <not cooked rice> at the time. <Only> 11 12 Khmer people, I <saw> Khmer people being walked. 13 Q. You saw therefore Khmer people and Cham people come into the 14 pagoda and you knew that they had been executed in the pagoda. 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 Please wait, Witness. You may now proceed, Counsel Kong Sam Onn. 17 [13.54.12]18 MR. KONG SAM ONN: 19 I have heard the statement of this witness earlier and my 20 objection is that the question is repetitive. Mr. President, 21 could you please look at the time it has been <over> 20 minutes 22 already from the beginning of the first session this afternoon 23 and I may <need> additional time to put questions to this 24 witness. <I am afraid that we will not finish today. Thank you>. 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

60

1	Yes, it is now time so you have no more time, Lawyer for civil
2	party because you spent <25> minutes already. You have no more
3	time, please be seated.
4	Judge Lavergne, do you have any questions to put to this witness,
5	you may now proceed if you have questions.
б	[13.55.13]
7	QUESTIONING BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
8	Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Indeed I have a few questions to
9	put to this witness.
10	Q. I understood, Witness, from your testimony that during the DK
11	period you were threatened twice with weapons. The first time
12	I don't know if it is in a chronological order <it was=""></it>
13	because you were suspected of being Cham. And you said there were
14	other Cham people who were working with you on that day. So can
15	you tell us when this happened and where this happened, and who
16	threatened you?
17	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
18	A. The worksite was located at Spean Ou Kandaol (phonetic). I was
19	ploughing the field at that worksite. I have told the Chamber
20	already I was assigned to <cut> the grass and then brought the</cut>
21	grass for the cows to eat. At the particular time they pointed
22	their gun <at> me and asked whether I was Cham, I replied I was a</at>
23	Khmer person and after hearing this, they went away. I could not
24	even see clearly those <people's> faces at the time.</people's>
25	Q. You did not see these <peoples'> faces, but did you inform</peoples'>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

> 61 yourself to know who these people were? 1 2 A. I do not know who they were. They were <small> children. 3 [13.57.31]Q. I understood that, <Sir>, but when you are threatened with a 4 5 gun I think it is legitimate to try to understand who is threatening you. Did you ask any questions, did you <seek> to б 7 <find out> who had threatened you with a gun? 8 A. I did not ask them at that time. They went away very quickly 9 after I told them. 10 Q. Okay. But you were not alone in this field, so did you ask the 11 people around you if they had recognised the young people who 12 were bearing those weapons? Did you ask any questions or <did none of this> really matter at all for you? 13 MR. TAY KOEMHUN: 14 15 (No interpretation) 16 THE INTERPRETER: 17 The interpreter could not hear the full answer from the witness. 18 [13.58.28]19 MR. TAY KOEMHUN: 20 A. I did not ask my co-workers at that time because my co-workers 21 were <late in coming to cut grass. I arrived there earlier. At 22 that time, no one was in that place and there was only one Rain 23 tree and four or five pairs of cows. No one arrived yet. Then, 24 they came and held me at a gunpoint.>

25 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:

62

1	Q. Witness, if I understood well what you said, you said there
2	were Cham on that day in the field, so <can tell="" us="" you=""> what</can>
3	happened to the Cham on that day who were with you in the field?
4	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
5	A. Yes, in fact there were Cham people working with me but they
б	were <cutting> grass at the time. They did not <arrive> at the</arrive></cutting>
7	same time as me. <they cutting="" grass.="" still="" were=""></they>
8	Q. What happened to them Did anything happen to these Cham,
9	who were apparently genuine Cham <people>?</people>
10	A. Later on I left the place where the cows were under the tree.
11	Cham people were arrested at the bridge; it was about over 100
12	metres away from where I was <cutting> the grass. There were Cham</cutting>
13	people <and> Khmer people working together.</and>
14	[14.00.48]
15	Q. So the Cham were arrested, how many of them were arrested and
16	when were they arrested?
17	A. They were arrested after lunch perhaps <at 12="" o'clock="">.</at>
18	Q. I am not asking at what time of the day, I'm asking during
19	what period. Was it when the Au Trakuon pagoda was converted into
20	security centre? Was it in 1975, 1976, or 1977? Was it shortly
21	<after the=""> end of the Democratic Kampuchea regime; when was</after>
22	that?
23	A. <at au="" trakuon="" wat="">, I cannot recall it. I do not know as to</at>
24	which year that happened. I apologise, Your Honour, I cannot
25	recall it.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

63

1	[14.02.08]
2	Q. Very well, I will <ask> that you make an effort, Sir, because</ask>
3	we need specific information here. <earlier> you said <that> when</that></earlier>
4	you were arrested, they took you for a Cham and you told them
5	that you were not a Cham, you also said that other <people> who</people>
6	were present <said> that you were Khmer. So when you say that you</said>
7	were alone, it is inconsistent with your prior statements. Tell
8	me yes or no; were there other <people> with you, <near> you,</near></people>
9	when you were threatened with a weapon?
10	A. It was the group that came to <hold a="" at="" gunpoint="" me=""> and</hold>
11	that's what <they> said.</they>
12	MR. PRESIDENT:
13	Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you have the floor.
	Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you have the floor. MR. KONG SAM ONN:
13	
13 14	MR. KONG SAM ONN:
13 14 15	MR. KONG SAM ONN: I listened to the summary provided by Judge Lavergne that the
13 14 15 16	MR. KONG SAM ONN: I listened to the summary provided by Judge Lavergne that the witness was asked whether he was Cham and <other people="" said=""></other>
13 14 15 16 17	MR. KONG SAM ONN: I listened to the summary provided by Judge Lavergne that the witness was asked whether he was Cham and <other people="" said=""> that he <was khmer=""> he was not Cham. However, through what I</was></other>
13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KONG SAM ONN: I listened to the summary provided by Judge Lavergne that the witness was asked whether he was Cham and <other people="" said=""> that he <was khmer=""> he was not Cham. However, through what I have heard <in khmer=""> so far, <i have="" heard="" not="" state<="" td="" the="" witness=""></i></in></was></other>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. KONG SAM ONN: I listened to the summary provided by Judge Lavergne that the witness was asked whether he was Cham and <other people="" said=""> that he <was khmer=""> he was not Cham. However, through what I have heard <in khmer=""> so far, <i have="" heard="" not="" state<br="" the="" witness="">that other people had confirmed he was Khmer. I have only heard</i></in></was></other>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KONG SAM ONN: I listened to the summary provided by Judge Lavergne that the witness was asked whether he was Cham and <other people="" said=""> that he <was khmer=""> he was not Cham. However, through what I have heard <in khmer=""> so far, <i have="" heard="" not="" state<br="" the="" witness="">that other people had confirmed he was Khmer. I have only heard that the people who came to arrest or to hold him at a gunpoint</i></in></was></other>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KONG SAM ONN: I listened to the summary provided by Judge Lavergne that the witness was asked whether he was Cham and <other people="" said=""> that he <was khmer=""> he was not Cham. However, through what I have heard <in khmer=""> so far, <i have="" heard="" not="" state<br="" the="" witness="">that other people had confirmed he was Khmer. I have only heard that the people who came to arrest or to hold him at a gunpoint asked him that question and he himself responded to them. So, no</i></in></was></other>

25 You can note down the response by the witness and you can ask for

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

б4

- 1 clarification later when it is your turn. I think this is a
- 2 standard practice in this Court and Judge Lavergne, you may
- 3 continue.
- 4 [14.04.03]
- 5 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 6 Your Honour, this is a summary of the fact and if it is not
- 7 proper it may mislead the witness. <This is the reason why I jump
- 8 in. Thank you>.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- Even if that is case you should note it down and when it is your turn you can seek clarification from the witness.
- 12 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 13 Very well, let me also point out that we have the record and
- 14 <this record> enables us to ascertain what is stated <during the 15 hearings>.
- 16 Q. Mr. Witness, at the time of <these> events, you had already
- 17 been enlisted as a soldier, or <> as a militiaman. What was your
- 18 status at the time, were you an ordinary citizen?
- 19 [14.05.13]
- 20 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 21 A. At that time I was an ordinary civilian.
- 22 Q. Very well. At the time, you said you were working in Spean Ou
- 23 Kandaol (phonetic) if I noted correctly, how far was that from
- 24 the Wat Au Trakuon or Sambuor <Meas>, what was the distance?
- 25 A. From Sambuor Meas village to Ou Kandaol (phonetic), the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

65

1 distance was less than 20 kilometres, however it was over 10 2 kilometres.

Q. At the time, what was your domicile, where were you residing?A. My house was located in Sambuor Meas.

0. I haven't quite understood the different places of residences 5 you may <have> had. You said that at <one> point in time you had б 7 to leave your house <in> Sambuor Meas and you said that you went 8 to Peam Chi Kang. When did you go to Peam Chi Kang? 9 A. My apology, Your Honour. After 1979, due to the security 10 presence in Au Trakuon and after I crossed the river from the 11 other side, that is, after my visit to my family and my children 12 I heard a rumour that the Pol Pot group re-entered the area, for 13 that reason I relocated my family to Peam Chi Kang and that happened in 1979. However, we only stayed for a few nights during 14 15 that period and in 1977 we were relocated once. However, the 16 relocation area was not far from my original residence, it was 17 about 700 metres only. At that time I was sick for few months <so 18 I was a bit confused>.

19 [14.08.04]

Q. So, if I understood you correctly, throughout the entire period of Democratic Kampuchea, your place of residence had always been in Sambuor Meas commune. Today you are no longer <a> resident in that commune, since when have you stopped residing <there>?

25 A. At present I am living in Sambuor Meas. That is Sambuor Meas

66

1	village.
2	Q. Regarding the second episode, in which you <were> threatened</were>
3	with a weapon, <was> as they tried to <forcibly> enlist you. You</forcibly></was>
4	said in the record of your interview, that you were a member of
5	the Long Sword Group; and today you're telling us that you <were></were>
б	not a member of that group. What is the truth?
7	[14.09.33]
8	A. I was not part of the Long Sword Group, however I stayed near
9	the Long Sword Group. I only stayed there for two months and then
10	I was dissolved due to my not so good biography since about 20 of
11	my family relatives had been smashed. So I stayed there for about
12	two months then I was re-sent to Kaoh Touch, that's when, I just
13	said, <>I had to cross the river to live at the other side and I
14	remained there until the fall of the regime in 1979.
15	Q. Why would they withdraw you from a group, if you were not a
16	member of that group; you said "I wasn't a member of the Long
17	Sword Group, yet I lived with them for two months and
18	subsequently I was withdrawn from the group". If you were
19	withdrawn from the group, it means that you were a member of the
20	group, or am I mistaken? <have i="" misunderstood?=""></have>
21	A. I was withdrawn from the group because of my tainted
22	background that some of my relatives had been smashed. For that
23	reason I was not allowed to stay at the rice barn anymore and I
24	was relocated to work in the rice fields.
25	

25 [14.11.18]

67

1	Q. Sir, <which group="" were="" you=""> withdrawn from?</which>
2	A. I was withdrawn from the task that I was assigned to guard the
3	rice barn <and fish-paste="" storehouse="" the=""> and reassigned to</and>
4	<plough> the rice fields &lt;&gt; at Kaoh Touch. <the cooperative<="" td=""></the></plough>
5	assigned me to do that work there>.
6	Q. And when you stood guard at the <rice> warehouse, you did so</rice>
7	in what capacity? You were not an ordinary citizen; in what
8	capacity were you standing guard there?
9	A. I was an ordinary civilian, I did not have any authority over
10	the rice barn if they came to get the rice supply, they did it
11	among themselves. I did not have any control over it. <i only<="" td=""></i>
12	guarded it and pulled out grasses or weeds under and around the
13	rice warehouse>.
14	Q. So a simple citizen, tasked with standing guard, what was your
15	exact role, Sir?
16	[14.12.44]
17	A. I was an ordinary civilian.
18	Q. An ordinary civilian, member of an unknown group, <from td="" which<=""></from>
19	you were> withdrawn<, because if I understand, you were> a member
20	of <a> group of <ordinary> civilians.</ordinary></a>
21	A. I was withdrawn from carrying out the task, the task of
22	guarding the rice barn <and fish-paste="" the="" warehouse="">, not from</and>
23	being a member of the Long Sword Group.
24	Q. Very well, let us return to the second incident in which you
25	were threatened with a weapon and you were told to join a group,

68

1 what were you asked to do exactly?

2 A. When the gun was pointed at me, it was <exactly when> I was 3 ordered to become a soldier. < In the> next morning, they came to take my biography. < They> asked me to detail all the numbers of 4 my relatives. <I told them that I had lost over 20 relatives, 5 cousins, big and small aunts and uncles. Hearing that>, they б 7 <closed the book and> walked away, <simply saying that what I had told them would lead to my death>. They did not say anything 8 9 much. <After saying that>, they left <very quickly>. 10 Q. What were you asked to do? Which group did they ask <you to join, > and to do what? This morning, <if I understood correctly, > 11

12 you said that you were <asked> to go and work in the rice

13 <fields>. Is that what I should understand? You found yourself

14 being threatened with a weapon because they wanted you to go and

15 work in the rice <fields>?

16 [14.15.09]

17 A. Before I was walked by the people I <had actually been>

18 working in <the rice fields at> a pond <far from> the village and 19 then <they held me at gunpoint>.

Q. Very well, let us go back to the point: after pointing the gun at you, <what did> they want you to do? To become a soldier, to do what?

A. No, they did not say anything. They <only> said that theywanted me to be a soldier.

25 Q. And in order to ask whether you <wanted to> become a soldier

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

69

1	or not, they pointed a gun at you. Why?
2	<a. td="" yes.<=""></a.>
3	Q.> Sir, generally guns are pointed at people who are to be
4	arrested, did they also try to arrest you on that day?
5	A. They walked me to the commune <office> and left me there</office>
б	overnight. <the> next morning they questioned me about my</the>
7	biography.
8	[14.16.44]
9	Q. When you say "they" who are you referring to? Who led you to
10	the commune, who put questions to you regarding your biography?
11	Were those persons members of the Long Sword Group?
12	A. No, they belonged to the Southwest group and the person who
13	questioned me was from the district <level>.</level>
14	Q. Do you know who were the officials of Peam Chi Kang commune?
15	A. I do not know the commune chief.
16	Q. Does the name Pheap mean anything to you, a woman called
17	Pheap, P-H-E-A-P, and who was the wife of a person called Kan.
18	Does that ring a bell to you?
19	A. Yes, I heard of the name, but I never saw the person. I also
20	heard the name of that man, <kan>, but I never saw him.</kan>
21	Q. Very well. Let us go back to the meal you were having in the
22	canteen <in> Sambuor Meas. <if correctly,="" i="" understand=""> you had</if></in>
23	your meals in that canteen <practically> throughout the <entire></entire></practically>
24	period of Democratic Kampuchea? <is right?="" that=""></is>
25	A. Yes, I actually had my meal there at the dining hall

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

70

- 1 throughout the regime.
- 2 [14.19.06]

Q. Now, if I properly understood what you said this morning, you said that you simply took note of the arrests of <perhaps> two or three persons on two or three occasions, accompanied by some children, aside from the arrest of the members of your family. Is that indeed what <we should> understand from your testimony, or there were more arrests?

9 A. What you have just said is correct.

10 Q. Mr. Witness, while <you were> eating at <the> Sambuor Meas
11 canteen, were you <ever> bothered by nauseating smells?

12 A. No, I was not because where I was, was rather far from the

13 pagoda compound.

14 Q. Can you tell us where the canteen was located in relation to 15 the pagoda?

16 A. The dining hall was located near the road within the vicinity 17 of the river bank and from my estimation it was about 400 to 500 18 metres from the pagoda.

19 [14.20.55]

20 Q. Very well. Perhaps, Mr. Son Arun will cross check that in the 21 <record>, but I believe I heard you say it was about 50 metres 22 from the pagoda this morning. <I must have been> wrong. I would 23 like to <also> put some questions to you regarding what you told 24 the Co-Investigating Judges when they interviewed you. You made 25 mention of <people> who were transported by boat and who were

71

1	prisoners and who were tied up. This morning I believe I heard
2	you say that you no longer recalled having seen boats <with></with>
3	prisoners arrive at Sambuor Meas. What exactly do you recall
4	regarding prisoners and boats?
5	A. I do not know about people being transported by boats. What I
б	knew was that I saw people being walked <through pagoda's<="" th="" the=""></through>
7	gate>, but I never saw people <being> transported by boats.</being>
8	Q. Document E3/5257, ERN in French, 00342666 to 67; ERN in
9	English, 00251016; ERN in Khmer, 00243105.
10	Question: "Did you see people being arrested often?"
11	Answer: "Yes, sometimes, sometimes no."
12	Question: "How many times did you see prisoners being taken off
13	boats?"
14	Answer: "Once."
15	Question: "How did you know they were prisoners?"
16	Answer: "They talked about it in the <canteen>."</canteen>
17	Question " <were people="" these="" tied="" up="">?"</were>
18	Answer: "Yes they were tied."
19	[14.23.42]
20	Question: "How were they tied <up>?"</up>
21	Answer: "Their arms were tied behind them."
22	Question: "Were they men, women, or children?"
23	Answer: "There were men, women and children."
24	Question: "Were there any small children?"
25	Answer: "Yes, there were small children as well. <the> people</the>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

72

- 1 ving> in that area saw this because it was <during> meal time 2 and there were hundreds of people there." 3 Question: "<Among> those prisoners, were there any Cham?" Answer: "I don't know." 4 I would like to know whether there were hundreds of people eating 5 at <the> Sambuor Meas <canteen,> or hundreds of prisoners? б 7 [14.24.48]A. At the dining hall where I had meal, there were about 100 8 9 people who had meal there. 10 Q. And were there any security guards among those people, I mean 11 security guards working at the Au Trakuon pagoda? 12 A. No, there was none. 13 Q. Did you know any <members of the> security <guard detail> from 14 the Wat Au Trakuon? 15 A. No, I did not. 16 Q. Did you know a person by the name of Moeun, who apparently 17 resided <in> Sambuor Meas? 18 A. The name Moeun does not ring a bell. 19 JUDGE LAVERGNE: 20 Very well, I don't think I have any further questions for you Mr. Witness. < Thank you, Mr. President.> 21 22 [14.26.12]23 MR. PRESIDENT: 24 Thank you. The Chamber now hands the floor to Counsel Koppe, who
- 25 wishes to provide a submission in response to the submission by

73

1	the Co-Prosecutors requesting to hear new witnesses, that is, the
2	document presented by the Co-Prosecutors yesterday. I refer to
3	document E366. Counsel Koppe, you have the chance to do so.
4	MR. KOPPE:
5	Thank you, Mr. President, I would be very happy to do so. However
б	I myself only have five minutes of questions to the witness. I
7	believe if I am wrong, I'll be mistaken surely the Khieu
8	Samphan's team has also many questions. So it would make more
9	sense if I finish my questions and then have the witness go and
10	then I will come with my submissions. It's also may be better for
11	the witness.
12	[14.27.27]
13	MR. PRESIDENT:
14	Thank you for the information and of course we grant you your
15	request. You may proceed now.
16	QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:
17	Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness, as I said
18	I only have very few questions for you.
19	Q. Let me first start with the very last question that was put to
20	you by Judge Lavergne about a man called Moeun. You said you had
21	not heard of such a person with that name, can you think a little
22	bit more about Moeun, possibly a security guard at Wat Au
23	Trakuon, Moeun does that ring a bell?
24	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
25	A. The name Moeun does not ring a bell at all because I did not

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim

interpretation in the relay and target languages.

74

1 go there so I did not know him.

Q. No problem, Mr. Witness. Earlier you were also asked question
about something that Sen Srun had testified to. You called Sen
Srun in your answer a soldier. Do you know whether Sen Srun was
also a palm tree climber?

6 [14.29.24]

A. I do not know about that and actually at that time I had not
known him yet. He worked separately from where I worked. I only
got married at that time and Sen Srun never stayed at home
because he was a soldier.

Q. Just to be sure I'm asking you a question about early 1977, do you know perhaps whether Sen Srun, at that time, was a member of a palm tree climbers unit working close by Wat Au Trakuon? A. I was not aware that he climbed palm trees and as you understand climbing a palm tree was a different task from ploughing the field which was what I did.

17 [14.30.36]

18 Q. My next question, Mr. Witness, is something that you said in 19 your statement, English page 4. English ERN, 00251015; French, 20 00342665; and Khmer, 00243101. You said in answer to a question as follows. The question is, "Why was this area" where your house 21 22 was, "why was this area a forbidden area, used for security?" and 23 then you answered, "Because the Au Trakuon pagoda was used as a 24 security office." And just a bit before you said that they didn't 25 allow people to live in the village. Can you explain a little

75

1	bit, was there some kind of security parameter around Wat Au
2	Trakuon where people or villagers were not allowed to go into?
3	A. If you talk in term of the premises of the pagoda which
4	stretched to about 700 to 800 metres.
5	Q. So there was a parameter of 700 to 800 metres around the
б	pagoda where people were not allowed to come but was there an
7	extra parameter in such a way that they as you said did not allow
8	people to live in the village?
9	A. You meant outside the premises of pagoda? If you talk about
10	the external parameter of the pagoda it means actually the
11	parameter of the premises of the pagoda reached the river bank
12	and that area was also restricted. So allow me to clarify to the
13	<south> of the pagoda was the river front and to the <north> it</north></south>
14	was a pond. <to a<="" and="" east="" of="" pagoda="" th="" the="" to="" was="" west=""></to>
15	village.>
16	[14.33.32]
17	A. But what was the width and the length of the total parameter
18	around the pagoda, was that about 800 metres in length and in
19	width? Can you be a little bit more specific as to what distance
20	people were not allowed to approach the pagoda?
21	A. I cannot tell you about that matter. I am not able to tell
22	you. All I know was that from the river bank up to the compound
23	of the pagoda that area was not allowed to walk or trespass into.
24	<there guard="" soldiers="" standing="" there.="" were=""></there>
25	Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. Last question, you've been answering

76

1	some questions already in relation to the arrests of your adopted
2	brother Thol and Lim Srun, you also mentioned one of your cousins
3	You Hao and you said that those three family members were
4	arrested along with their entire families. Can you describe that
5	a little bit for me, how did you know they were arrested with
6	their entire families?
7	[14.35.27]
8	A. Well, Lim Srun was the 17th April Person. Hao was a Base
9	Person and as for Thol, Thol was also the 17th April Person <>
10	and my <big> aunt and uncle were Base People.</big>
11	Q. And just to be clear, they weren't Cham, they were Khmer, is
12	that correct?
13	A. While I was having meal, I saw them.
14	Q, But my question was, they weren't Cham; is that correct, they
15	were Khmer like you?
16	A. Yes, you are right.
17	Q. And how many children were arrested with together with
18	Thol, Lim Srun and You Hao?
19	A. You Hao was alone at the time. Lim Srun came with eight
20	children at the time and Thol had eight children with him at the
21	time. <my> aunt had six children at the time. <my> uncle had seven</my></my>
22	children. As for <kmao (phonetic),="" en=""> (phonetic) they had four</kmao>
23	children at the time coming with them. They were related to my
24	<father's> side and I cannot recall relatives of my mother's</father's>
25	side.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

77

1 Q. And all those children that you referred to were also not Cham 2 children, is that correct? 3 A. Yes that is correct. Q. You said that they were arrested, is it also possible that 4 5 they were part of evacuation from one place to another? A. They were arrested from their base areas <where they had б 7 lived>. They were living in Angkor Ban <commune when> they were 8 arrested. 9 [14.38.46]Q. Have you ever heard later, maybe after 1979, why these 10 families with their wives and children had been arrested, what 11 was the reason for their arrests? 12 A. I do not know about that. 13 Q Were there other occasions or other circumstances during which 14 15 you saw Khmer people being arrested including their family 16 members, including children? 17 A. I cannot give you the prediction. <As I said> I was <not> 18 staying in my house, so I did not know what else happened at that 19 time. After the regime fell, I came back to my home village. 20 During the regime, I was assigned to <plough the rice field and> 21 grow vegetables <to support the cooperative>. <Each person was 22 given two pairs of bulls and had to plough the rice field day and 23 night. I did not stay in the village, so> I did not know what 24 happened beside my work. 25 [14.40.27]

78

1	Q. My last question, Mr. Witness, assuming for second that you
2	were right in what you said you saw. Is it correct that when
3	Khmer people were arrested, it could happen also that they were
4	arrested with the spouses and their children and it wasn't
5	something typically for the arrest of Cham?
6	MR. PRESIDENT:
7	Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You may now proceed International
8	Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
9	MR. SMITH:
10	Your Honour, I think it is slightly unfair question because the
11	witness said previously that he was unable to say whether or not
12	Khmer people and their families were arrested. So, it's really
13	based on a premise that the witness hasn't put forward.
14	MR. KOPPE:
15	If I may respond, Mr. President, I think the premise of the
16	questions of the Prosecution today was that he himself was a very
17	active member of the Long Sword Militia, that's true or not I
18	think based on his experience and his knowledge of the particular
19	period, I should be able to ask this question.
20	MR. SMITH:
21	Your Honour, the only problem is that he answered it in the
22	previous question saying that he was unaware of seeing other
23	Khmer families and children other than the ones that he knew.
24	(Judges deliberate)
25	[14.42.46]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

	79
1	MR. PRESIDENT:
2	The objection by the International Deputy Co-Prosecutor is
3	overruled. Mr. Witness, if you recall the last question put to
4	you by Counsel Koppe, you can now provide your answer and if not,
5	you can ask Counsel to repeat the last question for you. Do you
б	recall the last question, Mr. Witness?
7	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
8	A. I cannot recall it, Mr. President.
9	[14.43.19]
10	BY MR. KOPPE:
11	Q. Let me repeat it for you, Mr. Witness. I just asked you some
12	questions about the arrests of close family members. You
13	confirmed that they were arrested in the company of their spouses
14	and children, have you ever seen other arrests of Khmer men
15	together with their spouses and children and do you know whether
16	this was something that in your period could also happen to Khmer
17	and not typically to Cham families?
18	MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
19	A. I have never seen Khmer family were arrested together with the
20	entire members. As I told the Court earlier I was assigned to
21	plough the field on another side of the river, I never spent time
22	at my house in the village.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Well, it is now time for the short break. The Chamber will take a
25	short break from now until 3 p.m. Court officer, please find a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

80

- 1 proper room for the witness during the break time and please
- 2 invite the witness together with the duty counsel back into the
- 3 courtroom at 3 o'clock.
- 4 The Court is now in recess.
- 5 (Court recess from 1445H to 1501H)
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 8 The floor is once again given to the defence team to continue
- 9 putting questions to the witness. You may proceed, Counsel.
- 10 MR. KOPPE:
- 11 Thank you, Mr. President, but we were finished.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Thank you. And the floor now is given to the defence team for
- 14 Khieu Samphan.
- 15 [15.02.30]
- 16 QUESTIONING BY MS. GUISSE:
- 17 Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, my name is Anta
- 18 Guissé. I'm the International Co-Counsel of Mr. Khieu Samphan,
- 19 and in that capacity I'm going to put a few <additional>
- 20 questions to you. You have told us that you no longer remember
- 21 the name of the commune chief of Peam Chi Kang under the DK
- 22 regime. Do you remember, however, the name of the security chief
- 23 of that <same> commune?
- 24 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 25 A. I know a person named Kan and Horn. I only know their names,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

81

- 1 but I never met them.
- 2 Q. And according to you, what was Horn's position?
- 3 A. He worked in the <> compound of the pagoda, and he was the
- 4 chief of that prison.
- 5 Q. And aside from him, do you remember who was working at the 6 pagoda?
- 7 [15.04.14]

A. I don't, since I never entered the pagoda during the regime. 8 9 Q. In your statement, E3/5257, French ERN, 00342669; Khmer ERN, 10 00243104; English, 00251018; in your statement, you speak about the Long Sword <Group>. So, I understood that before the Chamber, 11 12 you explained that you only belonged to that <group> for a very 13 short period: two months. But in your statement, you tell us that 14 this group was divided into several sub-units, and you said, when 15 you answered the question "Who was arresting people?", you 16 answered: "The security unit." So, do you remember saying that? 17 And do you remember that there was indeed a security unit within 18 the Long Sword <Group>?

A. The security force members stayed and worked within the premises of the pagoda. And as for the Long Sword Group, I did not know the details about them <because I was in a different part>.

Q. So, when you refer to the security unit in your statement, you are only referring to the one that was <within> the pagoda; is that correct?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

82

- 1 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 2 [15.06.33]

Q. According to your statement, during the two months when you were a member of the Long Sword Group, do you remember the names of the <people you met who were> members of that group? The names of other members of that group?

7 A. Members of the Long Sword Group were all very young, and I did

8 not have any contact with any of them.

9 Q. Does the name Lav Chay, and <for the interpreters'

- 10 information, > it is number one on the list I <circulated >. Does
- 11 that name Lav Chay mean anything to you?
- 12 A. No. The name Lav <Khtchoy (phonetic)> does not ring a bell.
- 13 Q. There may well be a pronunciation problem. I sent the
- 14 interpreters a list of names, to avoid any problem with
- 15 pronunciation, and the person is the first name on the list, Lav
- 16 Chay. <If there was indeed a pronunciation problem, please
- 17 correct me for the sake of the witness.>
- 18 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 19 Mr. President, the proper pronunciation is Lav Chay, and I think
- 20 there is a spelling mistake in the transcript. Thank you.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 It's Lav Chay, not Khtchoy (phonetic). And Mr. Witness, does that
- 23 name ring a bell to you?
- 24 [15.08.37]
- 25 A. No. Lav Chay does not ring a bell to me.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

83

- 1 BY MS. GUISSE:
- 2 Q. How about name number three on the list, that's Meng Ly, does
- 3 that ring a bell to you?
- 4 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 5 A. I cannot recall that name.
- 6 Q. Does the name Heng Pa ring a bell?
- 7 A. I cannot recall this name. Maybe they used two names at the
- 8 same time, and I am not familiar with the names that you have
- 9 mentioned so far.
- 10 Q. Does the name Yoeun, that is number five on the list, ring a 11 bell?
- 12 A. And what was the position of Yoeun? And do you know his full 13 name?
- 14 [15.10.11]
- 15 Q. That is the only name I have in relation to the Long Sword
- 16 Group. I do not know the position he held <-- may have held> in
- 17 that group, but it <seems to be> in relation to the Long Sword
- 18 Group. <So my question goes back to that topic.>
- 19 A. I know a person named Yoeun, but that person was not a member
- 20 of the Long Sword Group.
- 21 Q. And what was the position held by that person during the
- 22 Democratic Kampuchea regime?
- 23 A. He was chief of a mobile unit, and his full name is <Hou>
- 24 Yoeun (phonetic). And <under this> regime, he was <chief> of <the
- 25 district education office>. Currently, he is retired.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

84

Q. You were a member of the Long Sword Group for two months. Did 1 2 you hear anything regarding the Long Sword Group <from the people 3 living there>? MR. PRESIDENT: 4 5 Mr. Witness, please wait. And the International Deputy б Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor. 7 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honour. I think the question is misstating the 8 9 evidence. In his statement, he says he was the member of the Long 10 Sword Group, but in his testimony, he is stating that he wasn't a 11 member. That's what Judge Lavergne cleared up with him. So it's 12 mixing two things together, but that was his testimony today. He 13 said he was near where the Long Sword Group was, but he wasn't in 14 the Long Sword Group. 15 [15.12.39]16 BY MS. GUISSE: 17 Q. Mr. Witness, could you clarify this matter for us? Were you a 18 member of the Long Sword Group for two months, or not? Even <if 19 it meant working> in a rice field? 20 MR. TAY KOEMHUN: 21 A. I was never a member of the Long Sword Group. I was tasked to 22 guard the rice barn for two months, and then I was reassigned to 23 plough the rice fields in Kaoh Touch. 24 Q. Did you hear <the people living there> talk about the Long 25 Sword Group during the Democratic Kampuchea regime?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

85

1	[15.13.44]
2	A. No, I did not, since I worked at a far distance from where
3	they were.
4	Q. And where were they?
5	A. We were in the same area, but we stayed separately, and we
6	slept separately. <i and="" barn="" rice="" stayed="" stayed<="" td="" the="" they="" under=""></i>
7	outside it>.
8	Q. Now, when you say that they were "far away from where I was",
9	can you give us an idea of the distance when you say that they
10	were far away from you?
11	A. Their sleeping quarter was about 50 metres from where I was.
12	They stayed at <the built="" for="" shed="" that="" themselves="" they=""> while I</the>
13	stayed <under> the rice barn.</under>
14	Q. Fifty metres away, <does> that mean that you were able to see</does>
15	members of that group from where you <slept, or=""> from where you</slept,>
16	were <living>?</living>
17	A. I did not dare look at them. And I did not know whether they
18	were tasked to go to this direction or that direction. <i only<="" td=""></i>
19	stayed under the rice barn>.
20	[15.15.58]
21	Q. And why didn't you dare look at them?
22	A. I was afraid. I was afraid that I would be accused of trying
23	to know about the nature of their work. In simple terms, I was
24	afraid.

25 Q. As the Co-Prosecutor told you this morning, one witness came

86

to testify before this Chamber, and I want to confront you with 1 2 his statement before the Chamber, since so far <it seems> all 3 we've done has been to read his prior statements before the 4 Co-Investigating Judges <to you>. And that witness stated that he 5 accompanied a group of Cham to the Au Trakuon pagoda <at the same time as> the Long Sword Group. That witness is Sen Srun. And б 7 since you say you know him, my question to you is whether you 8 knew about the fact that he accompanied a group of Cham people 9 with the members of the Long Sword Group to the Au Trakuon 10 pagoda?

11 [15.17.47]

A. I was not aware of that. I did not know whether Sen Srun
escorted the Cham people. As I stated, I stayed at the Kaoh
Touch, and I only returned after 1979.

15 Q. < More specifically, as> regards the distinction you made 16 between the security unit, which you said was within the premises 17 of the pagoda, and the persons in charge of arrests, this is what 18 Sen Srun said at the hearing of 14 September 2015, shortly after 19 14.13, this is what he stated: "It was not the security forces 20 that went to arrest people. It was the Long Sword Group that had 21 been set up in 1977, and which took the initiative to go and 22 arrest persons directly. As for the security forces, they were at 23 the base, at the detention centre, to receive and arrest those 24 persons. The Long Sword Group <or its members> were <the ones who 25 handled> arrests." End of quote.

87

1 My question to you at this stage, Mr. Tay Koemhun, is this: does 2 that refresh your memory? Did you not hear at any point in time 3 that the Long Sword Group was in charge of carrying out arrests? A. I did not say any members of the Long Sword Group <or anyone 4 else> went to make arrests. As I said, I did not stay in the 5 village, so I wasn't aware of whatever happened in the village. I б 7 <only> worked <in the fields or lakes or> on the island, and I never took a boat to cross the river. And I only <came every two 8 9 months to> get my rice supply. <Sometimes, the unit chief 10 personally brought the rice supply to me>. For that reason, I was not aware at all of any activities that the group involved. 11 [15.20.29]12 Q. Still on 14 September 2015, shortly before 14.22.08, and it is 13 still Sen Srun testifying, he explains under what circumstances 14 15 he escorted the Cham to Au Trakuon pagoda. This is what he 16 states: "I understood that they were being arrested. It was the 17 members of the Long Sword Group who were arresting them. I was 18 there, standing guard and to prevent them from <entering or>

19 going to the main road." End of quote. Does that event remind you 20 of anything? Or does it <really> remind you of <nothing> at all? 21 A. If Mr. Sen Srun said he stood guard there, it implies that he 22 was part of the team. I myself did not know Sen Srun at that

23 time, and I <came> to know him only after 1979.

24 [15.21.52]

25 Q. The problem that arises is that <the next day>, on 15th

88

1 September 2015, <the same person, > Sen Srun, gives more details 2 regarding the Long Sword Group on that day, and he says shortly 3 before 09.11.01, at the hearing of the 15 September 2015: "I recognized Tay Koemhun clearly, because he was one who had 4 brought the Cham <to> where I was located." End of quote. 5 Do you understand that Mr. Sen Srun is <implicating> you б 7 specifically on the day <a group of> 200 to 300 Cham were arrested? And he did so by saying he was present, and he 8 9 recognized you clearly. <What do you have to say about this>? 10 A. I categorically reject that statement, the statement that Sen 11 Srun alleged that I was chief of security. I completely reject 12 this statement <as invalid>. I cannot accept it. 13 Q. I do understand that you are denying his statement. <Hence, my logical follow-up question is:> would you say that Sen Srun has 14 15 any particular reasons to make false accusations against you? 16 Does he have a grudge <against> you? And if yes, what is the 17 basis for such a grudge? 18 [15.23.51]19 A. I do not know anything about that. As I said, Sen Srun was a 20 soldier. <After the end of the regime>, I was a member of the 21 pagoda committee. I helped to <clean> the temple, and to my 22 recollection, Sen Srun did not go along well at all with the 23 monks residing in the pagoda < and he had quarrels with the monks 24 every day>. Sometimes, he even <swore at> the monks. And only 25 lately that he changed, and then he came to assist in doing work

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

89

in the pagoda. And in the past, he even actually grabbed a portion of the pagoda land, and built his own home. Regarding his allegation, I categorically deny it. I was never a security chief or his supervisor, or making arrests of any Cham people. Not at all. Actually, one day he came to my house, and he said that he was

7 going to Phnom Penh. And I asked why. And he said he was called 8 by the Court. And I said <I would also go to the Court.> And then 9 he asked me whether I was a member of the Long Sword Group, and I 10 told him, "<No. I was not>. You <listen to my words carefully>. I 11 <was> not a member of the Long Sword Group".

12 [15.25.44]

And that I was arrested from <Damnak Sangkae to be> drafted to be a soldier, and my wife and my children actually wept when I was arrested. <"How come you, Srun,> say that I was a member of the Long Sword Group? I was drafted to be a soldier. After my biography was taken, <it was found that a lot of people from my bloodline had been smashed>. And I felt the pain <and it hurts me so much. Why do you say that?">

Then he asked me whether that is true, and I said yes, of course, that is the truth. <I told him, "You, Srun, don't misunderstand that way". This is exactly what I said to him>. And I will go and talk to him after I return home after my testimony before this Court. I have to confront him to bring out the truth. And of course, if I were his superior at that time, I will be

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

90

responsible before the law. And I stated already, and I'm stating 1 2 again, I <came> to know him after 1979, and before that I did not 3 know this Sen Srun at all. <I did not know him from my childhood since I lived in Antung Sor (phonetic) and he lived in Sambour 4 5 Meas>. [15.26.57]б 7 Q. That was a lengthy answer. Sen Srun indeed talked to the 8 Chamber about the pagoda committee in answer to the question <as 9 to> why he had said that the members of the pagoda were all Khmer 10 Rouge people. And this is what he said, <on> 15th September 2015, 11 and he starts at shortly before <09.39.09>. 12 "<I will be brief in my answer.> As regards the members of the 13 pagoda committee, Peun Phi (phonetic) was the <head> of the 14 kitchen, and there was another person who was head of the 15 committee, and he's still alive today." And the next question put

16 to him was as follows: "Why did you say 'all the members of the 17 pagoda committee were <all> Khmer Rouge <people'>? The two people

18 you have just mentioned, did they have any positions under

19 Democratic Kampuchea <within> your commune?"

20 [15.28.23]

And his answer was as follows: "The two individuals held positions <during> the Democratic Kampuchea regime. Tay Koemhun was the deputy of the Long Sword Group, and Peun <Phi> (phonetic) was the <head> of logistics. In the commune, he was also the <head> of the kitchen in upper Sambuor Meas. So <these two people</th>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

91

held official> positions <during that> period." End of quote.
Here again, <not only does> Sen Srun say that you were <in charge</p>
of arresting> a group of Cham during the Democratic Kampuchea
regime, but he again confirms that you were the deputy head of
the Long Sword Group. Do you have any remarks to make in this
regard?

7 [15.29.34]

A. My response is that I categorically reject the statement made 8 9 by Sen Srun. It is an unfair accusation against me. < Pon Phy> 10 (phonetic) was a <Buddhist clergyman> at the pagoda, and he 11 actually <challenged Sen Srun about the> portion of the 12 <pagoda's> land <that Sen Srun had grabbed. Then, Sen Srun swore 13 at monks, clergymen and me and everyone. So, he> had a grudge 14 against <me and then put all the blame on me. Having had nothing 15 to speak>, he blamed me and accused me of being a security chief. 16 Maybe that's the reason that one day, a representative from this 17 Court came to ask me whether I was the security chief <of Au 18 Trakuon>, and I said no, my name is Tay Koemhun. And <br/> dased on> 19 what I <heard people saying>, the chief of the security there was 20 Horn. And then I asked, "How come you came to know, to ask me 21 whether I was the security chief?" And the investigator said, 22 "Somebody lived near the pagoda <told him about that>." And now 23 <that> I know who the person is <and what he said,> I reject that 24 allegation before this Court.

25 [15.31.07]

92

1	Q. Part of your discussion with the people who interviewed you
2	was <re-transcribed>, it's document D166/42.1. And I would like</re-transcribed>
3	to read out to you <> what was recorded from what you said, and
4	you will confirm to me if you are indeed referring to this event
5	and to <these aforementioned=""> statements. And it's ERN French,</these>
6	<01142460>; Khmer, 01141831. There is no English translation <of< td=""></of<>
7	the transcript>, but I will read slowly to make things easier for
8	the interpreters.
9	So, this is what <is indicated="" that=""> you said when you were</is>
10	interviewed by the investigators <from> the OCIJ.</from>
11	"It is possible that my statements were not properly <reported>,</reported>
12	unless somebody wants to slander me. It's <> possible that this
13	happened. For example, maybe someone wants to become a pagoda
14	chief. However, that person did not get that job, and the job was
15	given to me because most people prefer that I get that job. This
16	can happen, and we have to be careful about this." End of quote.
17	So, do you mean here first of all, do you remember having said
18	that? And does that correspond to what you just told us regarding
19	Sen Srun's accusations against you?
20	[15.33.15]
21	A. At that time, he put such a question to me, whether I was
22	security chief at that time. I told him that I was simply an
23	ordinary citizen. <i chief<="" heard="" people="" saying="" security="" td="" that="" the=""></i>
24	was> Horn. <i am="" koemhun="" tay="">. I asked him who told him that I</i>
25	was the security chief in the regime. He said that someone

93

1	<living a="" days="" few="" gave<="" he="" him="" later,="" near="" pagoda="" th="" that.="" the="" told=""></living>
2	me a phone call, saying he wanted to speak to Sen Srun. I said
3	that even though I met Sen Srun I would rarely talk with him
4	because we have had an argument over the pagoda's land. We wanted
5	to enlarge the pagoda's fence. He said that was his own land.
б	But>, hundreds of <elderly people=""> in the village said that that</elderly>
7	portion of land belonged to the pagoda, not belong to <sen srun="">.</sen>
8	So, <sen srun=""> accused me &lt;&gt; blindly.</sen>
9	*********MS. GUISSE:
10	I am done with my cross-examination, <mr. president,=""> and my</mr.>
11	colleague Kong Sam Onn has a few extra questions to put to the
12	witness.
13	MR. PRESIDENT:
14	You may now proceed, Counsel Kong Sam Onn.
15	[15.34.50]
15 16	
	[15.34.50]
16	[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
16 17	<pre>[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, the Chamber. Good</pre>
16 17 18	<pre>[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, the Chamber. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. This morning you <mentioned> that you had</mentioned></pre>
16 17 18 19	<pre>[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, the Chamber. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. This morning you <mentioned> that you had just recovered from <an> illness when you were interviewed. What</an></mentioned></pre>
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, the Chamber. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. This morning you <mentioned> that you had just recovered from <an> illness when you were interviewed. What kind of disease did you have before the interview?</an></mentioned></pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, the Chamber. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. This morning you <mentioned> that you had just recovered from <an> illness when you were interviewed. What kind of disease did you have before the interview? MR. TAY KOEMHUN:</an></mentioned></pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, the Chamber. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. This morning you <mentioned> that you had just recovered from <an> illness when you were interviewed. What kind of disease did you have before the interview? MR. TAY KOEMHUN: A. I had <been given=""> five <bags fluid="" iv="" of=""> before I <came></came></bags></been></an></mentioned></pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>[15.34.50] QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, the Chamber. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. This morning you <mentioned> that you had just recovered from <an> illness when you were interviewed. What kind of disease did you have before the interview? MR. TAY KOEMHUN: A. I had <been given=""> five <bags fluid="" iv="" of=""> before I <came> here. <when hot,="" is="" the="" very="" weather=""> my <brain does="" not="" pre="" work.<=""></brain></when></came></bags></been></an></mentioned></pre>

1

94

1	Q. MI. WICHESS, I WANT TO KNOW ADOUT THE TIME WHEN YOU WELE
2	interviewed by the investigators of the OCIJ <in 2009="">. You</in>
3	stated that you had just recovered from the disease when you were
4	interviewed. So, what kind of disease did you contract before the
5	interview?
б	[15.36.20]
7	A. <at that="" time="">, I went to &lt;&gt; the hospital <in provincial<="" td="" the=""></in></at>
8	town> and I was told that I had a <typhoid and="" cerebral<="" td=""></typhoid>
9	inflammation>. So, <they me="" referred=""> to Angkor <thom> hospital,</thom></they>
10	and after a period of time <of about="" months="" three="">, I went back</of>
11	home <as a="" bit="" health="" improved="" my="" was="">. Currently, <i advised<="" am="" td=""></i></as>
12	to take only sleeping pills and energy medicine>.
13	<q: thank="" you="">.</q:>
14	<a: 3="" about="" been="" for="" had="" i="" months="" sick=""></a:>
15	Q. Thank you. Can you tell the Court <how> the disease at that</how>
16	time affected your memory?
17	A. <as a="" am="" forgetful="" i="" illness,="" now="" of="" result="" the="" very="">.</as>
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	Mr. Witness, please hold on. You may now proceed, International
20	Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
21	MR. SMITH:
22	Your Honour, it may be a translation issue, but I didn't hear the
23	witness say that he had a disease, in the English. So, perhaps
24	that could be clarified?
25	[15.37.57]

Q. Mr. Witness, I want to know about the time when you were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

> 95 MR. KONG SAM ONN: 1 2 I am not sure I understand the objection by the International 3 Deputy Co-Prosecutor. I am now asking the witness about the illness or disease this witness had before the interview in the 4 past. <I am not sure whether the International Co-Prosecutor 5 heard him speak of the illness he has at present.> So, can I ask б 7 Mr. Co-Prosecutor to state again the objection? 8 MR. PRESIDENT: 9 You may continue your questioning, Counsel Kong Sam Onn. 10 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: 11 Q. In 2009, you said that -- you stated that you had an illness. 12 So how did that illness affect your memory? MR. TAY KOEMHUN: 13 A. I <am very forgetful. For instance, after I place some stuff 14 15 somewhere I cannot find it and I forget where I placed it>. So I 16 have been <chronically ill since> Pol Pot's time <when> I was 17 forced to work hard and I was beaten. < I keep bearing it. But, 18 when it relapsed, it hurt me very much. So, > I was hospitalized 19 <>. [15.39.13]20 21 Q. How long had you recovered before you were interviewed? 22 A. You want to know about the interview in the past? I had 23 recovered one month before the interview took place. 24 Q. Did you still have medicines at the time you were interviewed?

25 A. Yes, I was still on medication.

96

Q. Thank you. Did you verify what was written down in the written 1 2 record of the interview by the investigators? <For example, did 3 you go through it yourself or did> someone read for you <to check if> the written record of the interview <was accurate or if there 4 were any points for corrections>? 5 A. I did not read myself the written record of the interview at б 7 that time <because I have a poor vision. My eyes have been weak since I was sick. So>, I asked my elder sibling to read the 8 9 written record of interview for me. <But, it is not the same as I 10 would read myself. I would remember well if I read it myself>. 11 Q. At that time, <do you remember whether any corrections were 12 made on> the written record of the interview? 13 A. <What correction?> I do not really understand what you are 14 asking, Mr. Counsel. <Could you repeat, please?> 15 Q. For instance, there was wrong information noted down in the 16 written record of interview, and you requested to have it 17 corrected. < For example, in your testimony this morning, many 18 points were incorrect>. Did you notice that there were mistakes 19 made in the written record of the interview? 20 [15.41.50]21 A. I noticed that I <had> stated the year wrongly. <I mistakenly 22 stated that the year in which the Pol Pot's regime ended was 23 1977. I should have said that it was> 1979. < I was confused 24 because I forgot. When the regime fell in 1979, I was> evacuated

25 to live in Peam Chi Kang.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

97 Q. You made mention of that point already. Did you request to have that year changed when you were interviewed in 2009, or you <just> requested to have it corrected now? A. I have just realized <this morning> that I <had provided> wrong <statements>. Q. <Thank you. It is fine. I want to ask you another question>. You stated about the time when you were living close to the pagoda, and you stated that your house was located about <> 50 metres away from the pagoda before 1975. <So, my question is: when> did you <exactly> leave your house which was close to <Wat> Au Trakuon <>? [15.43.45]<A: I was evacuated in approximately 1966>. <Q: Please, Sir.> <A: No. That's wrong. It was 1976. It was the time when many people were being killed> within the compound of the pagoda, and the <villagers were evacuated out of the village so that they would not> walk close to the pagoda. Q. How did you know that it was in 1976 that <villagers> were evacuated? A. I noticed that in 1976 the pagoda was turned into a <prison> to <detain> people. Q. Thank you. In relation to the time you went to live in Kaoh Touch, <in what year> did you leave for that place? <When did go

24 Touch, <in what year> did you leave for that place? <When di

25 to do farming in Kaoh Touch?>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

1

98

2 living there until 1979, when the regime fell. < Then, I returned 3 home>. Q. Thank you. What about the period between 1976 and 1977? Where 4 did you live? 5 A. In that period, between 1976 and 1977, I was assigned to б 7 plough the field at Phdau. Sometimes I was assigned to work at Ou 8 Kandaol <bridge where I was held at gunpoint. They kept changing 9 my workplace. The people> ploughing <the> fields <were constantly 10 moved to mobile units>. I was relocated and re-assigned to <plough the rice fields and plant the rice seedlings>. 11 12 Q. Thank you. When you were assigned to plough the field <did you 13 have a house or a residence in the village after you were moved 14 from your house near the pagoda?> 15 [15.46.36]A. When I was assigned to plough the field, I was sleeping under 16 17 the tree where my cows were also tied to that tree. 18 Q. Is it correct to say that you did not <have> any house within 19 the village? 20 MR. TAY KOEMHUN: 21 A. <That I was given --> 22 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN: 23 Q. My question is that: when you were assigned to plough the 24 field, you did not <have> a house in the village where you were 25 evacuated from? I mean, you did not stay in a house close to Au

A. I left to work in a farm at Kaoh Touch in late 1977, and I was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

99

- 1 Trakuon pagoda; is that correct?
- 2 A. After my evacuation, I did not -- I no longer stayed in the
- 3 house within that village. I stayed in another house in a
- 4 different place.
- 5 [15.46.56]

Q. I want you to listen to my question carefully. You were б 7 assigned to plough the field. Did you stay in a house within your village? You stated that you were sleeping in the fields. My 8 9 question is: did you have a house within your village? <> 10 A. I was assigned to plough in vegetable farms, and there were small huts built for me to stay in. I was not allowed to <enter 11 12 the village or> come back and stay in my house in the village. <I 13 was given food or gruel to eat only on the farm>. 14 Q. Thank you. You made mention that you came to have a meal in 15 the dining hall <of the cooperative> within the village. <What

16 time were you referring to>?

A. It was when I was re-assigned to plough the field <near> the village. So, I was allowed to have meals in the dining hall within the cooperative. And whenever I was assigned to plough the field at the lake <I did not have time to come. So>, I would eat at that place.

Q. <Thank you. Could you state how often you were assigned to</li>
plough near> the cooperative, close to the dining hall?
A. It was not 10 metres away from the dining hall. I was assigned
to plough the field about 100 or 200 metres away from the dining

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

100

1 hall. <I was not ploughing near the dining hall>.

- Q. <My question was:> <how> often<? I wanted to know how many</li>
  days or weeks did you plough per month? Could you specify that?>
  4 <A. I ploughed every day>.
- 5 <Q.> I want to know about the time when you were assigned to 6 plough the field close to the dining hall. You already told the 7 Chamber that <there were times when> you were assigned to plough 8 the field in the forest, and also at the lake <and sometimes you 9 ploughed near the dining hall>. So <I want to know> how <your 10 times working far and near the> dining hall <were divided>? 11 [15.50.50]
- 12 A. I would be assigned to plough the field in the forest more13 often than the field close to the dining hall.
- Q. Thank you. You told the Chamber that you were working <on the> farm in Kaoh Touch in 1977, and you would visit your village once in every two months. How long did you stay in your village when you had the chance to visit your village? Or did you have to return to your worksite on the same day?

A. For instance, if I went to visit my village at <noon time I had to return to Kaoh Touch at> 1.00 or 2 <p.m.> in the afternoon <to plough the field. Sometimes, I did not have to come back to the village, food supply was sent to me through their people>.

23 [15.52.00]

24 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

25 Thank you, Mr. Witness. Mr. President, I am done with my line of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

101

- 1 questioning.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 You may now proceed, International Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 4 MR. SMITH:

5 Thank you, Your Honour. Just a brief matter. The witness has said 6 that when he would leave this Court, that he would go and 7 confront the other witness. I would ask that you advise this 8 witness to not confront other witnesses that have appeared before 9 this Court. As a witness protection measure for both people, I 10 would ask that you would provide that advice to this witness. 11 Thank you.

12 MR. PRESIDENT:

13 I don't think it does not matter for him. He is fully mature, and 14 he is part of the pagoda committee. However, Mr. Witness, please 15 do not use any violence when you confront with another person --16 that is, Mr. Sen Srun. It is your obligation to testify before 17 the Chamber, in order that the Chamber can find the truth. 18 Everyone is contributing to finding the truth. And I believe you 19 are part of the pagoda committee, and you are fully mature, and 20 you will not cause any incidents to happen while confronting with 21 any concerned individual. But please do not try to confront with 22 Mr. Sen Srun and other -- any other witnesses who have appeared 23 before the Chamber. Under the law, witnesses are not allowed to 24 confront with any other concerned witnesses, who have testified 25 before the Chamber. Do you understand it, Mr. Koemhun?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

102

- 1 [15.54.36]
- 2 MR. TAY KOEMHUN:
- 3 Yes, I understand, Mr. President.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 The Hearing today has come to a conclusion. Thank you, Mr.

6 Koemhun. Your testimony will contribute to the truth, and you may 7 now be excused. You may return to your residence, or to any other 8 place you wish. I wish you good luck and prosperity.

9 Court officer, please work with WESU to send Mr. Tay Koemhun back 10 to his residence, or to any destination he wishes. Thank you 11 also, Mr. Mam Rithea, the duty counsel for the witness, you may 12 also be excused.

Before we adjourn the hearing, we still have a few minutes. So the Chamber would like to give the floor to Counsel Koppe, to present the response to the submission request by Co-Prosecutor yesterday. It relates to document E366. You may now proceed.

- 17 [15.56.07]
- 18 MR. KOPPE:

19 Thank you very much, Mr. President. I'm also mindful of the 20 clock, and the points that I'm going to make, some of them are 21 quite principled. So my question is whether it would not be 22 better, because it's very late in the day -- I think everybody's 23 tired -- that I make my submissions tomorrow morning early? 24 MR. PRESIDENT:

25 Thank you, Counsel. And I'd like to hand the floor now to Judge

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

103

- 1 Claudia Fenz.
- 2 JUDGE FENZ:

Just one question for clarification. Perhaps I misunderstood what you said at the very beginning. Are you providing a response to this request orally? Or are you making a different submission? MR. KOPPE:

7 I will be making a different submission. We will file written
8 submissions, as provided by the Internal Rules, but this is a
9 different request. It's two requests for clarifications,

10 actually, and one request which has a broader impact on possible 11 delay of the proceedings. So, I think it would make more sense to 12 do it tomorrow, when everybody's fresh.

13 [15.57.33]

25

14 MR. PRESIDENT:

15 Thank you, Counsel. And if you need more time to do with your 16 submission, let -- we do it tomorrow. Tomorrow, we will hear the 17 testimony of the civil party, and we try to complete with the 18 scheduling, as the testimony of the civil party has been put off 19 for three or four days now. And we will try to overcome the 20 logistical issue, and proceed with our scheduling. 21 The proceedings today come to an adjournment. The Chamber will 22 adjourn now, and resume tomorrow -- that is, Thursday, 17 23 September 2015, commencing from 9 o'clock in the morning. 24 Tomorrow, the Chamber will hear testimony of a civil party --

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

that is, 2-TCCP-252. The information is for the Parties and the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 329 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 16 September 2015

	104
1	general public.
2	Security personnel, you are instructed to take the two Accused
3	back to the detention facility, and have them returned to attend
4	the proceedings tomorrow before 9 o'clock in the morning.
5	The Court is now adjourned.
6	(Court adjourns at 1559H)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	