

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះព្រះសាសាខ្សែកម្ពុ បា បានិ សាសលា ព្រះមហាត្សត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អុខ្ពស់ខ្ពស់នេះសារបន្តជំន

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC - REDACTED

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

5 October 2015 Trial Day 334 ឯភសារដើម

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

CMS/CFO: Sann Rada

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding

Claudia FENZ

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YA Sokhan

YOU Ottara

Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve) THOU Mony (Reserve) Lawyers for the Accused:

The Accused:

Victor KOPPE LIV Sovanna SON Arun Anta GUISSE KONG Sam Onn

NUON Chea

KHIEU Samphan

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

CHEA Sivhoang Robynne CROFT

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

Marie GUIRAUD LOR Chunthy PICH Ang VEN Pov

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

Dale LYSAK SONG Chorvoin

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

INDEX

Mr. BAN Seak (2-TCW-950)

Questioning by Mr. President (NIL Nonn)	page 3
Questioning by Mr. LYSAK	page 6
Questioning by Mr. PICH Ang	page 85

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Mr. BAN Seak (2-TCW-950)	Khmer
Judge FENZ	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Ms. GUISSE	French
Mr. KONG Sam Onn	Khmer
Mr. KOPPE	English
Mr. LYSAK	English
The President (NIL Nonn)	Khmer
Mr. PICH Ang	Khmer
Ms. SOK Socheata	Khmer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0902H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 Today, the Chamber hears testimony of a witness, 2-TCW-950, in
- 6 relation to the treatment of the Cham group. We also have a
- 7 reserve witness, 2-TCW-904. The hearing of the testimony of a
- 8 witness 2-TCW-950 will take two days. One day will be allotted to
- 9 the Co-Prosecutors and the Lead Co-Lawyers, while the second day
- 10 is allotted to the defence teams <to put question to the witness,
- 11 2-TCW-950>.
- 12 Ms. Chea Sivhoang, please report the attendance to the Parties
- and other individuals at today's proceedings.
- 14 [09.04.40]
- 15 THE GREFFIER:
- 16 Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all Parties to this case
- 17 are present. Mr. Nuon Chea is present in the holding cell
- 18 downstairs. He has waived his right to be present in the
- 19 courtroom. The waiver has been delivered to the greffier. A
- 20 witness who is to testify today, that is 2-TCW-950, confirms that
- 21 to the best of his knowledge, he has no relationship by blood or
- 22 by law to any of the two Accused, that is Nuon Chea and Khieu
- 23 Samphan, or to any of the civil parties admitted in this case.
- 24 The witness took an oath before the Iron Club Statue this
- 25 morning, and he has Mr. Duch Phary as his duty counsel and Madam

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

2

- 1 Sok Socheata for the afternoon session. He is ready to be called
- 2 by the Chamber.
- 3 [09.05.50]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Thank you, Ms. Chea Sivhoang. The Chamber now decides on the
- 6 request by Nuon Chea. The Chamber has received a waiver from Nuon
- 7 Chea, dated 5 October 2015, which states that due to his health:
- 8 headache, back pain, he cannot sit or concentrate for long, and
- 9 in order to effectively participate in future hearings, he
- 10 requests to waive his right to participate in and be present at
- 11 the 5th October 2015 hearing. He affirms that his counsel has
- 12 advised him about the consequences of this waiver that it cannot
- 13 in any account be construed as a waiver of his rights to be tried
- 14 fairly or to challenge evidence presented to or admitted by this
- 15 Court at any time during this trial. Having seen the medical
- 16 report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor for the Accused at the
- 17 ECCC, dated 5th October 2015, which notes that Nuon Chea today
- 18 has chronic back pain when he sits for long and recommends that
- 19 the Chamber grant him his request so that he can follow the
- 20 proceedings remotely from the holding cell downstairs.
- 21 [09.07.14]
- 22 Based on the above information and pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the
- 23 ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea his request to
- 24 follow today's proceedings remotely from the holding cell
- 25 downstairs via audio-visual means.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

3

- 1 The Chamber instructs the AV unit personnel to link the
- 2 proceedings to the room downstairs so that Nuon Chea can follow
- 3 the proceedings. This applies to the whole day.
- 4 Court officer, please usher the witness as well as his duty
- 5 counsel into the courtroom.
- 6 (Witness enters courtroom)
- 7 [09.09.30]
- 8 QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:
- 9 Good morning, Mr. Witness. What is your name?
- 10 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 11 A. My name is Ban Seak.
- 12 Q. Thank you, Mr. Ban Seak. And when were you born? And please
- 13 observe the microphone.
- 14 A. I was born in 1954.
- 15 Q. And where were you born? Please wait for the microphone to be
- 16 operational first before you speak.
- 17 A. I was born in Ruessei Kraok village, Mongkol Borei district,
- 18 Banteay Meanchey province.
- 19 Q. And where do you currently live?
- 20 A. I live in Ou Chenchien village, Anlong Veaeng commune, Oddar
- 21 Meanchey province.
- 22 Q. What is your current occupation?
- 23 A. I am retired and before that I was a member of the district
- 24 council.
- 25 [09.11.23]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

4

- 1 Q. What are the names of your parents?
- 2 A. My father is Hoeng Heang and my mother is Long Penh.
- 3 Q. What is your wife's name and how many children do you have?
- 4 A. My wife is Hang Phon, is deceased, and we have nine children
- 5 together.
- 6 Q. Thank you, Mr. Ban Seak. The greffier made an oral report that
- 7 you are not related by law or by blood to any of the two Accused,
- 8 that is Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or any of the civil parties
- 9 admitted in Case 002; is this information correct?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Have you taken an oath before the Iron Club Statue?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 [09.12.36]
- 14 Q. The Chamber would like now to inform you of your rights and
- 15 obligations as a witness in the proceedings before this Chamber.
- 16 And Mr. Ban Seak, as a witness, in the proceedings before the
- 17 Chamber, you may refuse to respond to any question or to make any
- 18 comment which may incriminate you. That is your right against
- 19 self-incrimination. This means that you may refuse to provide
- 20 your response or make any comment that could lead you to being
- 21 prosecuted. Now on your obligations, and Mr. Ban Seak as a
- 22 witness in the proceedings before the Chamber, you must respond
- 23 to any questions by the Bench or relevant Parties except where
- 24 your response or comments to those questions may incriminate you,
- 25 as the Chamber has just informed you of your rights as a witness.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

5

- 1 You must tell the truth that you have known, heard, seen,
- 2 remembered, experienced or observed directly about an event or
- 3 occurrence relevant to the questions that the Bench or the
- 4 Parties pose to you. And Mr. Ban Seak, have you been interviewed
- 5 by investigators of the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges? If
- 6 so, how many times, when and where?
- 7 A. I was interviewed twice at the district office, and three
- 8 times at my home, and one time at the ECCC premise.
- 9 O. Which district office are you referring to, Mr. Witness?
- 10 [09.14.52]
- 11 A. I refer to the Anlong Veaeng district office.
- 12 Q. And do you recall the dates for each interview that you have
- 13 counted so far? And from my recollection, it's six times that you
- 14 have been interviewed.
- 15 A. I cannot recall them.
- 16 Q. And before you appear before the Chamber, have you reviewed or
- 17 read the written records of your statements with the OCIJ
- 18 investigators in order to refresh your memory?
- 19 A. I read it last night and I also read it this morning.
- 20 Q. And to your best knowledge and recollection, do the written
- 21 records of your interviews reflect the accuracy of your
- 22 statements you provided to the OCIJ investigators?
- 23 A. Yes. However, in certain cases, the questions are repetitive.
- 24 [09.16.25]
- 25 Q. What I'd like to ask, whether the written records of your

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

6

- 1 interviews reflect the words that you provided to the OCIJ
- 2 investigators? I mean are they consistent with what you told the
- 3 investigators?
- 4 A. Yes, they are the same.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 And Mr. Ban Seak, you have been provided with a duty counsel
- 7 based on your request through the WESU. And for that reason, we
- 8 have Counsel Duch Phary for the morning session and Madam Sok
- 9 Socheata will be your duty counsel for the afternoon session. And
- 10 pursuant to Rule 91 bis of the ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber
- 11 gives the floor first to the Co-Prosecutors to put questions to
- 12 the witness. And the combined time for the Co-Prosecutors and the
- 13 Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties is one day. You may proceed.
- 14 QUESTIONING BY MR. LYSAK:
- 15 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours, Counsel,
- 16 Mr. Witness. My name is Dale Lysak. I'll be asking you some
- 17 questions today on behalf of the Co-Prosecutor, International
- 18 Co-Prosecutor.
- 19 O. I want to start with a few questions about your background.
- 20 Earlier in this trial, we heard testimony from Sou Soeurn, the
- 21 wife of a Central Zone secretary Ke Pauk. Were you related to Sou
- 22 Soeurn? And if so, how were your related to her?
- 23 [09.18.58]
- 24 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 25 A. She is my elder in-law as she <was> married to my cousin.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

7

- 1 Q. Let me just clarify that. Is your wife or your deceased wife,
- 2 was she a relative of Sou Soeurn?
- 3 A. Yes, my wife is related to her.
- 4 Q. And how were they related?
- 5 A. She's the cousin of my wife.
- 6 Q. When did you and your wife marry?
- 7 A. I cannot recall that. However, I got married when I was 18
- 8 years old.
- 9 O. And you've indicated you were born in March 1954. Would that
- 10 mean then you were married sometime around 1972?
- 11 [09.20.50]
- 12 A. I think it is about 1970, but I cannot recall it exactly.
- 13 O. When you were married, did Sou Soeurn, her husband Ke Pauk or
- 14 her brother Oeun, did any of them come to your wedding?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. When did you first get to meet your in-laws, Sou Soeurn and
- 17 her brother Oeun, and Sou Soeurn's husband Ke Pauk? When was the
- 18 first time that you met those people?
- 19 A. I met them when I was at the fishing lot.
- 20 Q. Okay, let's turn to that period. You've talked about this in
- 21 your OCIJ interviews. You stated that you worked in the Sector 42
- 22 fishing lots in Preaek Prasab district, Kampong Cham province
- 23 from 1975 to early 1977. Do you remember, was Sou Soeurn also
- 24 based in Preaek Prasab district in 1975?
- 25 A. I did not know about that.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

8

- 1 Q. Where was it that you worked when you were in the fishing unit
- 2 in that district, were you on the Mekong -- on or near the Mekong
- 3 River?
- 4 A. Yes, we fished along the Mekong River. < We made fish traps.>
- 5 [09.23.24]
- 6 Q. And in late 1975, during the time that you were working in the
- 7 sector fishing unit, were you aware of any Cham people who were
- 8 moved across the river from the East Zone to Preaek Prasab
- 9 district?
- 10 A. No, I was not.
- 11 Q. I want to see if I can refresh your memory perhaps on this and
- 12 read to you an excerpt from a document that is in evidence in
- this case, document E3/154, E3/154. This is telegram from the
- 14 East Zone that was sent to Pol Pot and copied to Nuon Chea on the
- 15 30th of November 1975, regarding relocations of the Cham people
- 16 that were taking place at that time. And the excerpt that I would
- 17 like to read to you from this telegram states:
- 18 [09.24.53]
- 19 "On 30 November, both sides agreed that the handover of people by
- 20 the East Zone to the North Zone should take place in Stueng Trang
- 21 and in Preaek Prasab. In Preaek Prasab, the North Zone would
- 22 receive those from Chhloung district, whereas Stueng Trang would
- 23 receive those from Peam Chileang and Krouch Chhmar districts."
- 24 And continuing in the next paragraph:
- 25 "The meeting decided that we must not return the Cham to Kratie;

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

9

- 1 the Northwest Zone and the North Zone must receive them in order
- 2 to separate them from the banks of the Mekong River to ease
- 3 tensions."
- 4 Mr. Witness, does this refresh your memory at all? Do you
- 5 remember anything about transfers of Cham people to Preaek Prasab
- 6 and Stueng Trang from districts across the river in the East Zone
- 7 in late 1975 or early 1976?
- 8 A. No, I was not aware of that.
- 9 [09.26.39]
- 10 Q. The next subject I'd like to ask you about today is something
- 11 you talk about extensively in your interviews, which is the purge
- 12 of the Central or old North Zone cadres. In your interviews, you
- 13 state that in early 1977, at the time of the purge of that zone,
- 14 you left Preaek Prasab district and were appointed first of the
- 15 Sector 42 deputy chief of public works in charge of road repairs,
- 16 and later the Sector 42 commerce chief. First, who was it that
- 17 appointed you to those sector positions?
- 18 A. Oeun, who <was> my cousin-in-law, took <me> in; and in fact
- 19 <I> was appointed <as> deputy of a so-called garage, <currently
- 20 referred to as public works, not deputy of a sector>. And <about
- 21 three months> later on, <I was> appointed <as> chief of the
- 22 commerce unit <>.
- 23 Q. You said that Oeun was your cousin-in-law; was he the brother
- 24 of Sou Soeurn?
- 25 A. He was the younger brother of Sou Soeurn.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

10

- 1 [09.28.47]
- 2 Q. And what was Oeun's position at the time he made these
- 3 appointments?
- 4 A. He was the Sector 42 secretary.
- 5 Q. I want to ask you about how Oeun became the secretary of
- 6 Sector 42. Can you describe for the Court what you remember about
- 7 the period when cadres from the Central or old North Zone were
- 8 purged and replaced by other cadres? Can you give us a general
- 9 description of what you remember about that period?
- 10 A. From 1975, I was a combatant, but I was told that a purge was
- 11 <being carried out> from the top to the bottom in the <Central>
- 12 Zone. And actually, the <cadres and the> chief of my fishing unit
- 13 <had also been> taken away together with <their> children and
- 14 <wives. I did not know whether or not they had been purged, but
- 15 they disappeared.>
- 16 Q. Just to clarify something, the English translation I got said
- 17 East Zone. Are you talking about the Central or old North Zone?
- 18 [09.30.35]
- 19 A. I <was referring> to the North Zone or the Central Zone.
- 20 Q. And during this purge, how many of the old North Zone cadres
- 21 were arrested?
- 22 A. To my observation, all of them were purged. There were only
- 23 two people remained, Pauk and Oeun, chiefs of party. <And a few>
- 24 people <at their place who were their subordinates> remained,
- 25 <while> the rest <had been> purged.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

11

- 1 Q. What do you remember about how all these cadres were arrested
- 2 or how they disappeared? What can you tell us about that?
- 3 A. They said that these people <had been> CIA agents.
- 4 Q. When this purge was going on and these cadres were being
- 5 arrested, did any of them try to resist or fight back?
- 6 [09.32.13]
- 7 A. No. All of them were called into a study session, after which
- 8 they disappeared.
- 9 Q. And how did things change in your region when at the time that
- 10 the old cadres were arrested and new cadres from the Southwest
- 11 Zone arrived? Can you tell us how things changed in your region
- 12 at that time?
- 13 A. After <the> purges, the Southwest cadres came in to replace. I
- 14 did not know what was going on about the reshuffle or about the
- 15 change in structure.
- 16 [09.33.23]
- 17 Q. Did the southwest cadres mistreat the people in your region?
- 18 A. At that time, <a> sense of fear <could be felt> in the area.
- 19 <We could be accused of being enemies simply because we were not
- 20 used to harvesting short paddies grown in the area. We could also
- 21 be accused of being enemies if they spotted us riding on an
- 22 ox-cart while they themselves were not riding. I only heard about
- 23 this> from other people <>. I did not witness it.
- 24 Q. I want to read to you a statement that was made by Ke Pauk,
- 25 the former zone secretary, in an interview he gave in 2002. This

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

12

- 1 is document E3/2782, also E3/2783, ERN cites English, 00089714;
- 2 Khmer, 00095552; and French, 00596212. In this interview, Ke Pauk
- 3 accused the cadres who came from the southwest of, "severely
- 4 mistreating the people". He gave an example of a southwest cadre
- 5 assigned to Sandan district, who had burnt people to death in a
- 6 brick kiln. And he made the following statement, I quote: "Evil
- 7 cadres from the Southwest Zone caused a lot of trouble to my
- 8 zone." Based on what you saw during this period, do you agree
- 9 with Ke Pauk's description of the southwest cadres who came to
- 10 your zone?
- 11 [09.36.14]
- 12 MR. KOPPE:
- 13 Mr. President, good morning. Good morning, Your Honours. I object
- 14 to this--
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. Please wait and listen to the
- 17 objection of the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea. Mr. Koppe,
- 18 please repeat what you have just said. You may now have the
- 19 floor.
- 20 MR. KOPPE:
- 21 Thank you, Mr. President. I object to this question. The witness
- 22 just said that he did not witness anything himself in terms of
- 23 treatment or mistreatment by Southwest Zone cadres, hence reading
- 24 this particular part of Ke Pauk's statement would only lead to
- 25 speculation on the part of this witness. So I object to this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

13

- 1 question.
- 2 [09.37.01]
- 3 MR. LYSAK:
- 4 If I may respond, Mr. President. I'm doing -- following a
- 5 practice that have been followed by everyone in this Court
- 6 including Defence Counsel. I asked an open-ended question, now
- 7 I'm reading a piece of evidence in this case to see if that
- 8 refreshes the memory of the witness, if he has any reaction to
- 9 this. This is a practice that has been followed by everyone in
- 10 this courtroom.
- 11 (Judges deliberate)
- 12 [09.37.59]
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 The objection put by the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea is
- 15 overruled. Co-Prosecutors together with other defence counsel or
- 16 other Parties, please avoid asking the witness to give opinion or
- 17 to give his or her understanding, so that we may avoid any
- 18 testimony that cannot be admitted by the Chamber. So please avoid
- 19 asking for objective opinions from the witness. So please give
- 20 your response to the last question put by the International
- 21 Deputy Co-Prosecutor, if you recall it.
- 22 [09.38.59]
- 23 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 24 A. I do not know about the matter because I was a <combatant> in
- 25 the fishing lots at that time.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

14

- 1 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 2 Well, I'm actually asking now, Mr. Witness, about the time period
- 3 after the fishing lots, the period in 1977, when you initially
- 4 were deputy chief of the sector public works and then Sector 42
- 5 chairman. During that period, Mr. Witness, did you observe any
- 6 mistreatment or conduct by southwest cadres similar to what Ke
- 7 Pauk talked about?
- 8 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 9 A. I have no idea about that.
- 10 [09.40.06]
- 11 Q. To your knowledge, Mr. Witness, did Ke Pauk trust the cadres
- 12 from the Southwest Zone? Did he want the old North Zone cadres to
- 13 be removed and replaced by cadres from the southwest?
- 14 A. I do not understand the matter you have just raised.
- 15 Q. Let me be a little more specific. And perhaps, at this time,
- 16 Mr. President, with your leave if I may provide to the witness
- 17 his prior OCIJ statements. I actually have five statements. In
- 18 the interest of time, with your leave, I'd like to provide all of
- 19 them to him at this time. And for the record, the five statements
- 20 are E3/5275, E3/375, E319/19.3.73, E319/19.3.86, and E319/28.3.1.
- 21 And with your leave, may I provide these statements to the
- 22 witness?
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Are you referring to which witness's testimonies at this stage?
- 25 [09.42.17]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

15

- 1 MR. LYSAK:
- 2 These are the OCIJ statements of this witness, Mr. Ban Seak.
- 3 MR. KOPPE:
- 4 Mr. President?
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 You may now proceed, Mr. Koppe.
- 7 MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President. I know this has been done before, this
- 9 practice, so you will probably grant the request. But it still
- 10 doesn't make any sense to provide the witness with his WRIs. He
- 11 has already seen them. He just testified to answering questions
- 12 from you. Asking now to read along with excerpts doesn't
- 13 contribute really to anything. So, although I know that the
- 14 practice has been condoned, we object now in respect of this
- 15 particular witness, reminding you also that we are still waiting
- 16 for a decision -- anxiously waiting for a decision from the
- 17 Chamber in respect of our request not to give any documents, any
- 18 WRIs to the witness who has testified.
- 19 [09.43.30]
- 20 MR. LYSAK:
- 21 If I may, very briefly, Mr. President, this is normal practice in
- 22 this Court. And it's fair for the witness that if I'm going to
- 23 ask him about a specific response in his interviews, that he have
- 24 the responses for him to look at himself.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

16

- 1 The Chamber decides to overrule the objection put by defence team
- 2 for Mr. Nuon Chea because there have been a practice -- existing
- 3 practice so far regarding the matter. And the Chamber informed
- 4 the Party last week already and the Chamber is in the process of
- 5 examining and deciding the request of Mr. Defence Team -- Mr.
- 6 Nuon Chea's defence team. And we will inform Parties in due
- 7 course.
- 8 Court officer, you are instructed to bring all the documents
- 9 <from International Deputy Co-Prosecutor> and provide it to the
- 10 witness.
- 11 [09.44.59]
- 12 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Witness and your counsel, the
- 14 statement that I'd like you to refer to right now is the last one
- in that group, E319/28.3.1, answer number 3 at the very end of
- 16 that answer. You made the following statement:
- 17 "According to what I knew of the situation during that time, Ke
- 18 Pauk did not have good relationship with Son Sen and he did not
- 19 trust the Southwest Zone cadres." How is it that you knew that Ke
- 20 Pauk did not trust the southwest cadres?
- 21 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 22 A. I do not understand this matter either. Son Sen was more
- 23 <powerful> than Ke Pauk, he was above Ke Pauk. <Ke Pauk himself
- 24 did not even read well. His literacy was limited. > I did not know
- 25 about <those politicians> above.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

17

- 1 Q. We're talking about the purge of your zone. Do you know who
- 2 was responsible for ordering the arrests of the Central or North
- 3 Zone cadres? Was that something that Ke Pauk decided to do
- 4 himself?
- 5 A. As a <combatant> during that time, <I observed that> it was
- 6 not Ke Pauk. <All those cadres were taken away to the upper
- 7 level, and disappeared, while youths, low-ranking cadres and
- 8 focal point people could be taken to the lower level. However,
- 9 cadres at the district and sector levels were not taken to the
- 10 Zone, but> to Phnom Penh <>.
- 11 [09.47.34]
- 12 Q. Let me read a short excerpt of something you said along those
- lines in your interview, E319/19.3.86 at answer number 64. You
- 14 said: "To my knowledge, decisions or orders to purge or kill were
- 15 made by Office 870. That was not for Ke Pauk or the sectors to
- 16 decide. " My question is how did you know this? How did you know
- 17 that orders to purge or kill came from Office 870?
- 18 A. At that time, <I learned that> Ke Pauk's <relatives who were
- 19 cadres were thinking that without Ke Pauk, all of them would be
- 20 dead; thus, it was not associated with Ke Pauk only. It had
- 21 something to do with the upper echelon. Cadres from the whole
- 22 zone were purged except the Southwest cadres.>
- 23 Q. You stated that it was in early 1977, that Oeun became Sector
- 24 42 secretary and you were assigned initially to your position in
- 25 the public works sector unit. Do you remember what month in 1977,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

18

- 1 this took place?
- 2 A. I cannot recall it, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 3 MR. LYSAK:
- 4 Mr. President, at this time, to try to refresh the memory of the
- 5 witness and to establish some of the cadres who disappeared at
- 6 this time, I'd like to provide to the witness E3/2956, E3/2956.
- 7 And this document is an S-21 list titled, "List of Persons from
- 8 the North Zone: February 1st, 1977 to 27 March 1977, which
- 9 identifies a total of 94 cadres from the North Zone who were
- 10 arrested and sent to S-21 during that period. And with your
- 11 leave, may I provide that to the witness so that I may ask him
- 12 about some of the individuals named on that list?
- 13 [09.50.55]
- 14 MR. KOPPE:
- 15 Mr. President, I object on the same basis as I've always objected
- 16 to this practice. He has no connection whatsoever to the
- 17 particular S-21 document. He hasn't been working in S-21, he
- 18 wasn't involved in the arrests of these people. Knowing of course
- 19 that you will overrule the objection, but for the benefit of a
- 20 possible second appeal, I object to this practice.
- 21 MR. LYSAK:
- 22 I'll be brief, Mr. President. This is something that's been ruled
- 23 on before. The witness is a sector level cadre who will know some
- 24 of the people on this list. And that is the foundation basis for
- 25 asking him questions about the list.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

19

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 The objection by the defence counsel for Mr. Nuon Chea, Mr.
- 3 Koppe, is overruled in relation to the document requested to
- 4 present to the witness. Because I have been told already, there
- 5 has been existing practice and there is no change in
- 6 circumstances and also there is no decision altered to the
- 7 previous practice.
- 8 Court officer, please take the document and present it to the
- 9 witness.
- 10 [09.52.40]
- 11 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Witness, the document you've
- 13 received, E3/2956 identifies various cadres from your zone who
- 14 were arrested and sent to S-21 in February or March 1977. I want
- 15 to refer you first to number 42 on the list. If you look for
- 16 number 42, I've highlighted that entry, that person is Chan Mol
- 17 alias Tol who is identified as the chairman of Sector 42 and who
- 18 entered S-21 on the 19th of February 1977. My question, Mr.
- 19 Witness, do you remember Tol, was he the Sector 42 secretary
- 20 before Oeun?
- 21 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 22 A. His name was Tol. He was working and living in Bak Sna. He
- 23 became the <sector> secretary prior to Oeun's tenure <even before
- 24 the year of the liberation>. I do not recall the full name, but
- 25 from my recollection, it was Tol, not Thol (phonetic). <He had

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

20

- 1 been living in the area for a long time. He was among the very
- 2 early forces of the Khmer Rouge.>
- 3 [09.54.26]
- 4 Q. Excuse my pronunciation, Mr. Witness. This document
- 5 establishes that in February and March 1977, a Sector 42
- 6 secretary, Tol and many other zone, sector, and district cadres
- 7 were purged. My question to you: was it during this same period,
- 8 that is, February to March 1977, that Oeun became the new Sector
- 9 42 secretary and you were appointed to your position in public
- 10 works?
- 11 A. Oeun <came> before me, long before me. He <came> four or five
- 12 months before me. Before that time, I was a <combatant> at the
- 13 fishing lots. I was
brought> to the place by Oeun and I was
- 14 <made deputy> of the garage or public works <located at Thnal
- 15 Baek. I had no idea about the> purges of <the former> cadres <at
- 16 the sector and zone levels. As a combatant, we were not even
- 17 aware of the taking and disappearance of our own superiors.>
- 18 Q. So to clarify, is it your testimony that it was a few months
- 19 after Oeun became Sector 42 secretary that you were appointed to
- 20 the public works unit? Do I understand correctly?
- 21 [09.56.28]
- 22 A. I did not know when he came to take the position. Before
- 23 <that> he was chief <or secretary> of <Stueng Trang district,</p>
- 24 and> he was from Siem Reap. And later on, I heard he was promoted
- 25 to be the secretary of the <sector>. Six or seven months later,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

21

- 1 he <started> looking for <people> to work with him because <all
- 2 the> cadres <of the Central or the North Zone> had disappeared.
- 3 <Those who disappeared included members of the youth league, the>
- 4 focal points, <the reserve party members, the full-right party
- 5 members, and the squad chiefs. All of them> had <gone>.
- 6 Q. Let me ask you about the time that you were assigned to be
- 7 deputy chief of the public works and to work on road repairs.
- 8 Where was the public works office located, where were you based
- 9 during this time period?
- 10 A. It was located at the intersection, the car garage. <The
- 11 garage was located just across the road opposite the> Sector
- 12 office. <I do not recall the exact date I started working there.
- 13 By the time I was sent there, I was feeling like my life was
- 14 standing on the tip of my hair due to the fact that I did not
- 15 have clean background.>
- 16 [09.58.24]
- 17 Q. Which district are you talking about when you referred to an
- 18 intersection where the sector office was located?
- 19 A. It was in Chamkar Leu district, Svay Teab commune.
- 20 Q. And how long did you work in the public works unit before you
- 21 were promoted to Sector 42 commerce chief?
- 22 A. I was in the position for a period of three or four months,
- 23 after which I was promoted to be commerce chief.
- 24 Q. During the period you were the Sector 42 deputy chief for
- 25 public works, do you remember who the zone public works chairman

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

22

- 1 was?
- 2 A. Oeun was the sector's chief at the time.
- 3 Q. Yes. I'm asking you about who the zone public works chairman
- 4 was. Do you remember who the zone public works chairman was
- 5 during the period you were in the sector public works unit?
- 6 [10.00.38]
- 7 A. To my knowledge, it was Brother Chham (phonetic). He is
- 8 deceased. Previously, he was a messenger of Ke Pauk. After cadres
- 9 -- some cadres died, he became to be involved in the public
- 10 works. <At that time the work of those workers in> the public
- 11 works was <like that of construction workers; for example,
- 12 building dams. To my knowledge, he was very good at> mixing the
- 13 cement for building dams.
- 14 Q. Do you remember a cadre named Sao (phonetic) who was the
- public works chairman before Chham (phonetic)?
- 16 A. No, I don't.
- 17 Q. Let's talk about the period now when you became the Sector 42
- 18 commerce chief. Where was the sector commerce office located?
- 19 A. It was in a pagoda. I think it's called Cheyyou and it's in
- 20 Spueu. As I said, I cannot recall the name of the pagoda
- 21 correctly, but it was located in that pagoda.
- 22 [10.02.18]
- 23 Q. And just so I'm clear. So the Sector 42 commerce office where
- 24 you worked was located in Spueu village in Cheyyou commune; is
- 25 that correct?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

23

- 1 a. It was in Spueu commune. Previously, it was called Cheyyou
- 2 commune. However, I am not exactly clear about the names of the
- 3 commune. But it was located <in the town centre> in Spueu in a
- 4 pagoda there.
- 5 Q. And how far was the Sector 42 office from the sector office
- 6 where Oeun worked?
- 7 A. Oeun, <Sector 42 secretary> worked right at the sector office
- 8 and he also resided there.
- 9 O. What I'm asking you is the sector office where Oeun worked,
- 10 was that in the same location as the sector commerce office, or
- 11 was that at a different village or commune?
- 12 A. The sector office and the commerce office were far from <each>
- 13 other. <They were > about two kilometres away <from each other >.
- 14 And they were located in <> different communes. <The distance
- 15 from> Svay Teab <commune to> Cheyyou <commune was two
- 16 kilometres>.
- 17 Q. Do you remember who the zone commerce chairman was during the
- 18 time that you were the Sector 42 commerce chief?
- 19 [10.04.42]
- 20 A. His name was Chey.
- 21 Q. And do you remember what happened to Chey in 1977?
- 22 A. Chey <disappeared because he> was arrested and taken to Phnom
- 23 Penh. Chey was actually <a> younger <brother-in-law> of Oeun,
- 24 <and of Pauk>.
- 25 MR. LYSAK:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

24

- 1 And Mr. President, at this time, I'd like to provide to the
- 2 witness another S-21 list. This is document E3/2957, E3/2957, and
- 3 also E3/2166, E3/2166. Both of these documents are S-21 lists of
- 4 prisoners from the Central Zone that include some individuals
- 5 including the person that I'd like him to identify.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Yes, you may do so.
- 8 (Short pause)
- 9 [10.06.35]
- 10 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 11 Mr. Witness, if you could look at document E3/2166 first,
- 12 E3/2166; number 7 on that list of Central Zone cadres is a zone
- 13 commerce chairman, Pheng Sun alias Chey who was arrested on the
- 14 3rd of October 1977. Is this the person that you just identified
- 15 as the zone commerce chief?
- 16 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 17 A. I do not know his surname. However, Chey was the younger
- 18

 ther-in-law> of Ke Pauk and was <the chief> of the zone
- 19 commerce office. Later on, he was arrested and taken to Phnom
- 20 Penh. And he was also a younger
 sprother-in-law> of Oeun.
- 21 Q. And to help us with the time period here, how long had you
- 22 been Sector 42 commerce chief when Chey was arrested?
- 23 A. <Having worked> in the commerce office for a month,<> I heard
- 24 that Chey <had been arrested for allegedly> being in the CIA
- 25 agent network, and <> sent to Phnom Penh. <I had met with him

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

25

- 1 once, before I heard about his arrest.>
- 2 [10.08.24]
- 3 Q. And do you remember how long you continued to be a Sector 42
- 4 commerce chief after Chey's arrest?
- 5 A. I worked there for about three months. And then Oeun
- 6 reassigned me to be the deputy chief of Chamkar Leu <district>.
- 7 Q. While we're talking about the period you had your positions in
- 8 a sector public works and then sector commerce, did you know
- 9 about a dam that was being built in Baray and Santuk districts
- 10 called the 1st January Dam?
- 11 A. I knew about that, but I didn't go and work <there>. And I
- 12 knew it because <anyone could see the 1st January Dam being>
- 13 built <while traveling> along Road Number 21.
- 14 Q. I understand you didn't work at that site. Did you ever go to
- 15 visit the 1st January Dam worksite?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Did you know a pagoda in Baray district that was close to the
- 18 1st January Dam called Wat Baray Choan Dek?
- 19 A. I knew that pagoda when I was young. <However, I did not see
- 20 it afterwards.>
- 21 [10.10.27]
- 22 Q. And do you know what that pagoda was used for during the
- 23 Democratic Kampuchea regime?
- 24 A. No, I do not.
- 25 Q. In your OCIJ interviews, Mr. Witness, and I'm going to read to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

26

- 1 you now an excerpt from E3/375, ERNs English, 00360761; Khmer,
- 2 00348802; French, 00369924; you gave the following testimony:
- 3 Question: "Did you know about the security centre in Baray Choan
- 4 Dek pagoda?"
- 5 Answer: "If you want to get precise, you can ask Bong Poch who
- 6 was in charge of Baray district. At least once a month he visited
- 7 there; if he could not go, he would assign his subordinates to
- 8 visit on his behalf. By the way, he cannot respond that he did
- 9 not know about that. In a district, there were at least four or
- 10 five members, one of whom was female. When an arrest was made,
- 11 the district must have known about it."
- 12 You added in a subsequent interview, E319/19.3.86 at answer 34:
- 13 "As district secretary, he had to have known about the security
- 14 office in his district".
- 15 Can you tell us how you knew this information about Poch's
- 16 responsibility for the Wat Baray Choan Dek security office? How
- 17 did you know this?
- 18 [10.12.51]
- 19 A. <Bong> Poch <> from the Southwest <was in charge> after the
- 20 cadres of the Central Zone had gone. So he must have known about
- 21 the arrest of those cadres, or at least had <some> knowledge
- 22 about it or knew <some> locations.
- 23 Q. During what years was Poch the secretary of Baray district?
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Witness, please hold on. And Counsel Koppe, you have the floor.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

27

- 1 [10.13.37]
- 2 MR. KOPPE:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. In itself, I don't have an objection to
- 4 this particular question. However, I do like to note that we are
- 5 in the middle of a segment on the treatment of the Cham. And I'm
- 6 not quite sure if this particular pagoda is relevant as to what
- 7 the Closing Order describes in terms of treatment of the Cham.
- 8 Most of the questions I think or if not all the questions were
- 9 directed at trying to establish how the structure was in Sector
- 10 42. Very interesting, but nothing to do with the treatment of the
- 11 Cham as such, I believe.
- 12 MR. LYSAK:
- 13 And Mr. President, as with all witnesses, we question -- we're
- 14 allowed to question on the relevant subjects of the Closing Order
- 15 because we're not going to call these witnesses back. I have
- 16 questions for this witness which I've been covering relating to
- 17 the purge of the Central Zone. We'll be getting to questions
- 18 about the treatment of the Cham in that zone, but also Wat Baray
- 19 Choan Dek is specifically referenced in the allegations on the
- 20 1st January Dam. The individual I'm talking about is a proposed
- 21 trial witness. So these questions are relevant to this trial.
- 22 [10.15.17]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 You can move on, the Co-Prosecutor, since it is just an
- 25 observation made by the defence team. And Mr. Witness, please

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

28

- 1 respond to the last question put to you by the Co-Prosecutor.
- 2 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 3 A. I forget the question.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 And the Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please repeat your last question.
- 6 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 7 Yes, my question was, do you remember what years it was or what
- 8 period it was that Bong Poch was the secretary of Baray district
- 9 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 10 A. I do not recall when it was, since that time, I was <still a
- 11 combatant working in> the fishing unit. And actually, at the
- 12 time, <he was not referred to> as Poch, <but> Brother A or B or
- 13 C; <thus, I could hardly know to which one he was being
- 14 referred.>
- 15 [10.16.24]
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Thank you, Deputy Co-Prosecutor. It is time for us to have a
- 18 short break. We have a break now and resume at 10.30.
- 19 Court officer, please assist the witness during the break time
- 20 and invite him as well as his duty counsel back into the
- 21 courtroom at 10.30.
- 22 The Court is now in recess.
- 23 (Court recesses from 1016H to 1032H)
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Please be seated. The Court is back in session.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

29

1 Before I give the floor to the Co-Prosecutor, I would like to

- 2 remind you, Mr. Witness, please do not spend time reading all the
- 3 documents provided to you. You can do so when the Co-Prosecutor
- 4 or other parties who want to clarify certain matters with you,
- 5 then you can read the documents and give the responses. Now, I
- 6 hand over the floor to the Co-Prosecutor to resume your
- 7 questioning. You may now proceed.
- 8 You may now have the floor, Victor Koppe.
- 9 [10.33.24]
- 10 MR. KOPPE:
- 11 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to make an observation for
- 12 the record.
- 13 , I didn't in itself dispute the
- 14 relevance of the questions, but I somehow presumed some form of
- 15 advanced knowledge the Prosecution has that we don't. It now
- 16 turns out after checking that the person that he referred to is
- indeed on the Prosecution's witness list.

18

19

20

- . Now we don't know yet, because we cannot
- 22 read -- or I cannot read Khmer what's -- what's in that
- 23 particular WRI, but apparently, the Prosecution knows already,
- 24 otherwise I don't think he would be even asking that question.

25

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

30

1	
2	. They have, what it boils down to, advanced
3	knowledge of that particular case. We do not know why this
4	particular person might be interesting for the Prosecution. We
5	can make assumptions, but we don't know. Then, returning to my
6	earlier objection, I thought we were in a segment on the Cham. If
7	this particular witness is so important, he can come back when
8	we're discussing the purges in the North Zone or or somewhere
9	else, but now asking this particular witness questions with the
10	advanced knowledge that we simply do not have, I find
11	unacceptable, and actually is an
12	
13	
14	
15	[10.35.55]
16	BY MR. LYSAK:
17	Q. Let me be brief, Mr. President, I want to get back to
18	questioning this witness. That assertion is completely false. I
19	myself also do not know what is in this new statement from this
20	witness. I haven't read it. What I have read are the numerous
21	other prior interviews of this witness, including ones that he
22	gave during the Case 002 investigation. That is how we knew his
23	role in Baray district, based on Case 002 interviews. That is why
24	I asked the questions. So, the assertion that somehow I have an

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

31

- 1 simply incorrect.
- 2 If -- if I may proceed, Mr. President. Mr. Witness, I wanted to
- 3 ask you next if you remembered a visit by a Chinese delegation, a
- 4 delegation that was led by Chen Yonggui, that came to your region
- 5 in December 1977; do you remember that Chinese delegation?
- 6 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 7 A. I have no idea about Chinese delegation.
- 8 [10.37.28]
- 9 Q. Do you remember a ceremony at which the 1st January Dam was
- 10 inaugurated in December 1977, and were you present at that
- 11 ceremony?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Let us now move on to the period that you were the deputy
- 14 secretary of Chamkar Leu district. I asked you earlier about how
- 15 long you were in the sector commerce chief position. You said
- 16 three months. You also indicated that when I showed you the date
- 17 of arrest of the zone commerce chief Chai, that you had been
- 18 there for about a month when he was arrested on -- at the start
- 19 of October 1977. You've testified that it was in late 1977, that
- 20 you went to Chamkar Leu -- became the Chamkar Leu district deputy
- 21 secretary. Is it correct then, that it would be either in late
- 22 November or December 1977, that you took on this new position as
- 23 the deputy secretary of Chamkar Leu district?
- 24 [10.39.10]
- 25 A. In 1977, perhaps in late 1977, I came to take the position of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

32

- 1 <deputy> secretary <of> Chamkar Leu <district>.
- 2 Q. And who was the secretary of Chamkar Leu district when you
- 3 were deputy secretary?
- 4 A. It was Brother Sou Soeurn.
- 5 Q. And you're referring here to the wife of Ke Pauk. Is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes, it was her.
- 8 Q. I want to ask you about something that Sou Soeurn said during
- 9 her trial testimony in this Court on the 5th June 2015. For the
- 10 record, I'm referring to E1/311.1, trial transcript for that date
- 11 at around 09.42.40. At this part of her testimony,
- 12 notwithstanding that there were several witnesses who had
- 13 identified her as district chief, including yourself, Pech Chim,
- 14 and others, Sou Soeurn denied that she was Chamkar Leu district
- 15 chief, and she made the following assertion about you:
- 16 Question: "According to you, who was the head of the Chamkar Leu
- 17 district?"
- 18 Answer: "From my recollection, Ta Ban was the chief. I remembered
- 19 that he was the chief because we were close. We were close and we
- 20 were living nearby."
- 21 Mr. Witness, I wanted to give you an opportunity just to react to
- 22 this. Do you have any knowledge as to why Sou Soeurn denied that
- 23 she was Chamkar Leu district secretary and claimed that you were
- 24 the district chief?
- 25 [10.41.48]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

33

- 1 A. I was the deputy secretary, not the secretary. She was
- 2 actually the secretary.
- 3 Q. And where was the Chamkar Leu district office located, where
- 4 you worked?
- 5 A. It was about 500 metres away from the sector's office.
- 6 Q. And what village and commune was the district office in?
- 7 A. It was in Svay Teab commune. As for village, I could not
- 8 recall the name.
- 9 O. Do you remember a cadre named Tieng? Tieng, who was the
- 10 Chamkar Leu district secretary before Sou Soeurn?
- 11 A. No, I do not know this person.
- 12 [10.43.22]
- 13 Q. One of the documents I gave you earlier today, Mr. Witness, if
- 14 you could look at document E3/2957. It's one of the S-21 lists
- 15 that I gave you. The third list titled, "Central Zone". And I
- 16 want to refer you to a few people on that list. Number 6 on -- in
- 17 E3/2957, there's a cadre named Kev Chhorn, alias Rorn, identified
- 18 as the chief of the Chamkar Leu district security centre, who
- 19 entered S-21 on the 19th November 1977. Number 21, is a person
- 20 identified as a member of the Chamkar Leu district committee, who
- 21 entered on the 14th November 1977. Number 1 on the list is the
- 22 Sector 42 security chief, Meas Sok, alias Sao. And there are a
- 23 number of other Sector 42 cadres if you look at numbers 13 15,
- 24 18 26. All of whom -- all of these cadres from your sector who
- 25 were arrested and sent to S-21 on either the 14th or 19th of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

34

- 1 November 1977. Do you remember the arrests or purge of a number
- 2 of cadres from your sector in mid-November 1977, and do you
- 3 remember what it was that brought about the arrests of those
- 4 cadres?
- 5 [10.45.24]
- 6 A. Upon my arrival, the purges were already done. <No more purges
- 7 were being carried out as those security> personnel had already
- 8 been purged. <The new personnel had not even lived and worked
- 9 there for one year and a half yet> before the entry of the
- 10 Vietnamese troop. <I did not know any of those old cadres. Upon
- 11 my arrival, > I had -- I had no idea who had been arrested.
- 12 Q. So it was after these cadres had been purged and removed that
- 13 you were put into your position as deputy secretary of the
- 14 district. Do I understand correctly?
- 15 A. <I do not know. Upon my arrival, > I became the deputy chief of
- 16 the public works unit, <next, I became the commerce chief, and
- 17 the district deputy secretary>. The purges <against cadres> had
- 18 already -- already been done and I have no idea about purges.
- 19 [10.46.31]
- 20 Q. Okay, we're talking now about the period in late 1977, when
- 21 you became the deputy secretary of Chamkar Leu district. One more
- 22 question on this: did you ever hear, did anyone ever explain why
- 23 these cadres from Sector 42 had been arrested in November 1977?
- 24 Did you ever hear anything about that?
- 25 A. No, I did not hear anything.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

35

- 1 Q. I want to ask you a few questions now about the Cham
- 2 population in Chamkar Leu district. Were there a Cham people in
- 3 Chamkar Leu? And what part of the district did they live in?
- 4 A. I do not know where they were living <due to the fact that we
- 5 were given different areas of responsibilities. > I was <in charge
- 6 of only two communes , those were > Lvea <> and Chamkar Andoung,
- 7 <other communes fell under the charge of other cadres, while some
- 8 communes> were independent. <Since> I was a newcomer, <and> I
- 9 <did not> understand <those tasks well, only a few
- 10 responsibilities were assigned to me.>
- 11 [10.48.13]
- 12 Q. Do I understand correctly though that you had been living in
- 13 Chamkar Leu district ever since you had become the sector deputy
- 14 chief for public works, that the public works office and the
- 15 commerce office where you worked before becoming deputy secretary
- 16 of Chamkar Leu were also in that same district? Do I understand
- 17 correctly?
- 18 THE INTERPRETER:
- 19 Microphone was not activated.
- 20 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 23 Q. And you stated -- you stated in your OCIJ interview that you
- 24 had responsibility for two communes. You just identified those:
- 25 Lvea and Chamkar Andoung. Were there any Cham families in those

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

36

- 1 communes?
- 2 [10.49.24]
- 3 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 4 A. No, there were none of them.
- 5 Q. You stated that during the three month period you were sector
- 6 commerce -- commerce chief, you worked in a location in Spueu or
- 7 Cheyyou. Was that -- were you aware that Spueu village in Cheyyou
- 8 area, that that was the home of many Cham families?
- 9 A. When I was working at the place, the commerce office was
- 10 located in <> the pagoda <but> I had no knowledge of Cham
- 11 population <as I never went to> Cheyyou.
- 12 Q. Let me see if I can refresh your memory on this, Mr. Witness.
- 13 OCIJ interviewed a Cham "oknha" from that village, Spueu village.
- 14 This is 2-TCW-827. I won't refer to his name. The interview is
- 15 E3/5216. English ERN, 00225496; Khmer, 00223891; the French,
- 16 00234569. And this witness testified that there were over 1,100
- 17 Cham families from Spueu -- Spueu village, though the Khmer Rouge
- 18 had moved most of those Cham families and spread them out among
- 19 the different communes in Chamkar Leu district. Does that refresh
- 20 your memory, Mr. Witness? Were there -- do you remember that
- 21 there were well over 1,000 Cham families in Chamkar Leu district?
- 22 [10.52.00]
- 23 A. I have no knowledge of this.
- 24 Q. You were aware that there were some Cham Muslims living in the
- 25 cooperatives in Chamkar Leu district, weren't you?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

37

- 1 A. No, I was not aware of it. <Actually, I went to visit>
- 2 worksites, <but I was not told> whether <they> were <the> Cham
- 3 people, <the> Khmer people, or <the> Chinese people. <People at
- 4 the upper level had very limited knowledge of this. People
- 5 working at the> commune <level were supposed to know all about
- 6 this because they directly controlled the people.>
- 7 Q. Let me read to you an excerpt from your interview E3/5275,
- 8 E3/5275; at Khmer, 00282920; English, 00284492; French, 00339917.
- 9 You were describing here the period when you were working,
- 10 building, repairing the road in Chamkar Leu as part of public
- 11 works.
- 12 "There were no 17 April people, Cham Muslims, or Sino-Khmer in
- 13 the communications office. They were in the cooperatives."
- 14 Does that refresh your memory at all, Mr. Witness? Were you aware
- 15 that there were Cham Muslims living in the cooperatives in
- 16 Chamkar Leu district?
- 17 [10.54.10]
- 18 A. I believe there were Cham <people>. Some of them perhaps were
- 19 sent to live in cooperatives.
- 20 Q. Were the Cham people in Chamkar Leu district allowed to
- 21 practise Islam, to wear their traditional clothes, to speak the
- 22 -- or to speak the Cham language?
- 23 A. To my <knowledge>, they were prohibited from practising their
- 24 religion. Even monks -- Buddhist monks -- had been all defrocked.
- 25 Q. What about speaking the Cham language? Were they allowed to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

38

- 1 speak the Cham language or not allowed?
- 2 [10.55.21]
- 3 A. I do not know about this matter. Worships were not allowed.
- 4 Practise religious -- religion was not allowed. Even Khmer people
- 5 were not allowed to practise their religion.
- 6 Q. Mr. Witness, do you know how many of the Cham families in
- 7 Chamkar Leu district -- how many of the over 1,000 families
- 8 identified by that witness -- how many of them survived the
- 9 Democratic Kampuchea regime?
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You have the floor now, Mr. Koppe.
- 12 MR. KOPPE:
- 13 I object to this question. If he doesn't know there were a
- 14 thousand families of Cham, then surely he cannot say as to how
- 15 many people, or -- of what percentage of those Cham survived. It
- 16 would be pure speculation that the Prosecution is asking for, so
- 17 I object.
- 18 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 19 Q. Let me clarify my question because I'm not asking for -- I'm
- 20 not asking the witness for a number. Let me rephrase my question.
- 21 I'm not asking the witness for a number or a percentage. What I'm
- 22 asking you for is your recollection, Mr. Witness. Did the Cham
- 23 people who lived in Chamkar Leu district, did they survive the
- 24 Democratic Kampuchea regime?
- 25 [10.57.20]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

39

- 1 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 2 A. I do not know about that, sir.
- 3 Q. Let me put to you some evidence that has been collected by Ysa
- 4 Osman in his book "Oukoubah". This is, Your Honour, this is
- 5 document E3/1822, at English ERN, 00078568; French, 00758331; and
- 6 the Khmer translation is still pending. Ysa Osman writes that of
- 7 the 1,100 Cham families who lived in Spueu village before the
- 8 Khmer Rouge, only 100 survived the Democratic Kampuchea regime.
- 9 Mr. Witness, you were the deputy secretary of that district. You
- 10 lived there for a number of months before then. I want you to
- 11 tell us if you have any information about what happened to the
- 12 one thousand missing Cham families in Chamkar Leu district.
- 13 A. I do not know about that, sir.
- 14 [10.59.14]
- 15 Q. You stated that one of the areas that you had responsibilities
- 16 for was Lvea commune. What were your responsibilities for Lvea
- 17 commune?
- 18 A. I was tasked with collecting people to go to work in the
- 19 field, to do the harvest, and to dig the canals.
- 20 Q. Was there a rubber plantation in Lvea commune called the Tapom
- 21 plantation?
- 22 A. I do not know it, sir.
- 23 Q. Was there a rubber -- rubber plantation in that area, in the
- 24 area of Lvea commune.
- 25 A. No, I did not know about that. I only knew that the rubber

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

40

- 1 plantation <may have been> in Chamkar Leu district.
- 2 Q. Who was responsible for that rubber plantation?
- 3 A. It was Brother Chim who was in charge of the Chamkar Leu
- 4 district rubber plantation.
- 5 [11.01.18]
- 6 Q. I want to read to you, Mr. Witness, a complaint that has been
- 7 filed with this Court relating to Lvea commune. This is document
- 8 E3/6979A, E3/6979A, at Khmer ERN, 00452293. The English summary
- 9 of that complaint is document E3/6979B. And this is what the
- 10 complainant states in this document. "In 1977, during the
- 11 cultivating season, the complainant was told that Khmer Rouge
- 12 brought a number of Cham families to be killed at Tapom rubber
- 13 plantation in Lvea Leu sub-district, Chamkar Leu district,
- 14 Kampong Cham province. The complainant's daughter, named Te
- 15 Aisah, and a son-in-law named San Min, and other relatives were
- 16 brought to be killed at Tapom rubber plantation too." Now, Mr.
- 17 Witness, were you aware of killings of Cham families at the
- 18 plantation in Lvea commune?
- 19 A. No, I was not aware of that.
- 20 Q. Do you dispute the statement of this person that Cham families
- 21 were killed at that location?
- 22 [11.03.29]
- 23 A. No, I do not. In Chamkar Leu district, it was not the district
- 24 secretary <who had the absolute authority due to the fact that
- 25 both the district <office and the sector office <were working

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

41

- 1 together; for example, the sector army was also working in the
- 2 district. Thus, it was not the district level, but rather those
- 3 at the sector level who dealt with those affairs.>
- 4 Q. The rubber plantation that you described where Brother Chim
- 5 worked, was that a under the control of the district, the
- 6 sector, or the zone?
- 7 A. It belonged to the Zone.
- 8 Q. Where -- where was the district security office in Chamkar Leu
- 9 district located?
- 10 A. At that time, I heard they said that it was located in Bos
- 11 Khnaor commune. But I myself never went there. I only heard <from
- 12 others that the> security office <was being based there>.
- 13 Q. Were you aware of any meetings that were held in Bos Khnaor,
- 14 specifically meetings held at that location regarding a plan to
- 15 eliminate the Cham people?
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Witness, please hold on, and Counsel Koppe, you have the floor.
- 18 [11.05.45]
- 19 MR. KOPPE:
- 20 I object to this question, Mr. President, because this question
- 21 and all previous questions are all related to events in Sector 42
- 22 in the old North Zone, new Central Zone. The closing order that
- 23 we are dealing with only describes events which are alleged to my
- 24 client in either Sector 41 or Sector 21 in the East Zone. We're
- 25 now moving outside, very specifically, outside of the boundaries

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

42

- 1 of the closing order when it comes to treatment of the Cham. So
- 2 therefore, I object because the questions are outside the scope
- 3 of the closing order and therefore this Trial.
- 4 MR. LYSAK:
- 5 If I may respond, Mr. President, this is also an argument we've
- 6 had many times in this Court. In addition to the crime base which
- 7 Mr. Koppe is referring to, the overall policy and existence of a
- 8 plan to eliminate the Cham is very much in evidence -- very much
- 9 a part of the issues of this proceeding. This evidence that I'm
- 10 about to ask goes to the issue of whether there was a plan to
- 11 eliminate the Cham people. It's also, I would note, evidence that
- 12 comes from a person that has been selected by this Court to be a
- 13 trial witness, 2-TCW-827. So this evidence very much is directly
- 14 in issue in these proceedings.
- 15 [11.07.24]
- 16 MR. KOPPE:
- 17 If I may very briefly reply, Mr. President. Of course, this is
- 18 the same kind of issue that we have raised before in terms of
- 19 security centres that are outside of the -- the closing order,
- 20 the treatment of Khmer Republic officials. You've issued a
- 21 ruling, a written ruling on this. Obviously, this is a matter of
- 22 principle, so if you do overrule my objection, then I would then
- 23 request this Chamber to issue a written decision saying that
- 24 events outside of Sector 41 and 21 in the East Zone are also part
- 25 of crimes that we are dealing with.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

43

- 1 MR. LYSAK:
- 2 I don't wish to spend a lot of time on this point. We're not
- 3 saying that these are part of the crime base. This is evidence to
- 4 the policy.
- 5 (Judges deliberate)
- 6 [11.09.38]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 The Chamber will hand the floor to Judge Fenz, <on behalf of the
- 9 Chamber, > to make an oral ruling to the objection raised by the
- 10 defence team for Nuon Chea, that is, Counsel Koppe, to the last
- 11 question put to the witness by the Deputy Co-Prosecutor. Judge
- 12 Fenz, you have the floor.
- 13 JUDGE FENZ:
- 14 The objection is overruled. The question is not related to the
- 15 crime base, but to the policy, and policies were obviously
- 16 nationwide. I believe, as both Parties have recognised, we have
- 17 had the same issue before.
- 18 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 19 Q. Thank you. So, Mr. Witness, my question to you; you just
- 20 testified that the district security office was located at a
- 21 place called Bos Khnaor. Were you aware of a meeting that was
- 22 held in Bos Khnaor that concerned a plan to eliminate the Cham
- 23 people?
- 24 [11.10.56]
- 25 MR. BAN SEAK:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

44

- 1 A. No, I was not aware of it.
- 2 Q. Let me read to you some evidence that has been provided. This
- 3 comes from the same Cham "Oknha" from Spueu village who I
- 4 mentioned earlier. This is document E3/7690, E3/7690. I won't
- 5 refer to him by name, but it is -- the source of this evidence is
- 6 2-TCW-827. And he -- this document provides the following account
- 7 of statements from this witness.
- 8 "The Khmer Rouge did not know he was a Cham, and so they
- 9 appointed him to look after 400 children in Cheyyou sub-district,
- 10 Chamkar Leu district. One day during 1977, he was called to a
- 11 meeting in Bos Khnaor village in Chamkar Leu district. The agenda
- 12 for the meeting was to specify a plan, called the plan to smash
- 13 the enemy. He reported that during the meeting, he heard the
- 14 chairman declare, 'The enemies of the revolution are many, but
- 15 our biggest enemies are the Cham. So the plan calls for the
- 16 destruction of all the Cham people before 1980.'"
- 17 Having heard this, Mr. Witness, did you ever hear any people talk
- 18 about this meeting in Bos Khnaor?
- 19 [11.13.05]
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 Q. Do you have any reaction or response to what this witness has
- 22 said regarding a plan to destroy the Cham people?
- 23 A. No, I did not know about that. However, at that time, <I just
- 24 knew that both> the Khmer people and the Cham people were in the
- 25 same <situation>. I lost two of my siblings. <It did not matter

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

45

1 whether they were the Cham, the Chinese, or the Khmer, they would 2 be taken away and smashed for allegedly being the CIA or KGB 3 enemies. Not only the Cham people, but many Khmer people lost 4 their lives during the regime.> 5 [11.14.04] 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 MR. PRESIDENT:

17 Yes, you may do so.

18 MR. KOPPE:

21

19 Mr. President. I object to this question and the showing of this

20 particular document.

22

We have been given a week

23 to study new documents. The particular documents being showed

24 now, and there is another statement coming our way, as we all

25 know, still in Khmer. We're dealing right now, as we speak, with

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

46

- 1 the influx of Case 004 WRIs. We've asked to stop completely
- 2 proceedings in relation to the Cham when it comes to Sector 41,
- 3 and we are simply continuing now as if we'd never requested this.
- 4 I am not aware if you have made -- have come to a decision as to
- 5 our primary request to stop with this segment as long as Case 004
- 6 is still continuing. But here we have a perfect example of what
- 7 we are dealing with. It is, we all agree, an important WRI, but
- 8 it's coming from an investigation which is pending right now --
- 9 which is happening right now. And I don't feel that you have
- 10 ruled -- or you have not ruled on this -- on the request to stop
- 11 dealing with events in relation to the Cham in Sector 41 and now
- 12 -- or Sector 42. So, having made this observation, I again
- 13 request the Chamber to stop the Prosecution from going into an
- 14 area which is presently under investigation in -- in Case 004.
- 15 [11.17.55]
- 16 MR. LYSAK:
- 17 Mr. President, I don't want to engage -- reargue a matter that
- 18 has been argued before you already. They have made their
- 19 objections on this issue. Your Honours have decided to go forward
- 20 and to hear this particular witness. You announced that last
- 21 week. Counsel has made plenty use himself of these interviews in
- 22 these proceedings. And this is a witness who we identified in our
- 23 original trial list back in June of last year, 2014, a witness
- 24 whose documents were admitted by this Chamber many months ago. So
- 25 this is evidence that is before the Chamber that we are entitled

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

47

- 1 to use in this Court. So if I may proceed.
- 2 [11.18.48]
- 3 MR. KOPPE:
- 4 That is indeed --
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Counsel Koppe, please be seated. And let's hear the responses
- 7 from other Parties. Regarding the submission by the <Co-Lead
- 8 Lawyers for civil parties> to adjourn the hearing of the Cham
- 9 witnesses due to the influx or the tsunami of the documents
- 10 <filed by the Co-Prosecutors>, disclosures in -- from Cases 003
- 11 and 004 to Case 002, the Chamber wishes to hear responses and
- 12 observations from all Parties if you wish to do so. If not,
- 13 Counsel Koppe, you have the floor.
- 14 [11.19.39]
- 15 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to respond quickly to say that
- 17 we haven't taken <a> position as regards the Nuon Chea team's
- 18 request. We would like to do what we usually do; that is, to rely
- 19 on the wisdom of the Chamber on this issue.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 And the defence team for Khieu Samphan, do you wish to make any
- 22 observation?
- 23 MS. GUISSE:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President. The Khieu Samphan defence would
- 25 <simply> refer to <its submissions, E363 -- as I recall from

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

48

- 1 memory -- on the> disclosures of documents from 003 and 004 in <>
- 2 which we have requested <several -- a method from> the Chamber.
- 3 <We rely on our submissions. Unfortunately, we haven't any</p>
- 4 updates to report as far as it concerns our position>.
- 5 [11.20.40]
- 6 MR. KOPPE:
- 7 One point of clarification in relation -- in response to the
- 8 Prosecution, we do note that our objections against the
- 9 introduction of the WRIs of this particular witness has been
- 10 denied. But interestingly enough, your decision which still has
- 11 to come has been rendered two days before the Khmer translation
- 12 was notified. And we make -- we made specific arguments as to
- 13 Cambodian national law. And I was just wondering how the National
- 14 Judges have been able to decide on this particular request
- 15 without having read our submissions in this particular aspect.
- 16 (Judges deliberate)
- 17 [11.26.38]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 The Chamber wishes to inform Counsel Koppe and other <concerned>
- 20 Parties, that the Chamber has already informed the Parties that
- 21 the -- the Chamber is working on the decision, in particular on
- 22 the translation of our decision, and we are doing our best to
- 23 issue our decision. < We are not ignorant to this issue. > And once
- 24 is organized, then we will inform the Parties in due course, and
- 25 <now, it is in the final stage relative to the possibility of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

49

1 issuing the decision> on the very issue that Counsel Koppe just

- 2 raised. Secondly, we faced the same challenges as other Parties
- 3 do regarding reviewing or reading the disclosure of documents
- 4 from Cases 003 and 004. It's not just the Parties that face this
- 5 challenge. We, the Chamber, face the same challenge. And the
- 6 Chamber is trying to provide our decision to the submission made
- 7 by the defence team for Khieu Samphan, and so far we have agreed
- 8 on certain points regarding that submission. However, we need
- 9 more time to deliberate on other issues we have not yet agreed in
- 10 principle before a decision is read to be rendered as the request
- 11 or the submission by Khieu Samphan also extends and covers
- 12 certain issues concerned and raised by other Parties. And for
- 13 this reason, the Nuon Chea's defence to request for the
- 14 adjournment to hear the phase regarding the Cham is overruled and
- 15 the proceedings of hearing the testimonies will proceed as
- 16 scheduled, in particular those witnesses and civil parties which
- 17 have been scheduled. And for that reason, the floor is again
- 18 given to the Deputy Co-Prosecutor to continue putting questions
- 19 to this witness.
- 20 [11.29.33]
- 21 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 22 Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Witness, the statement that was
- 23 provided to you, without saying the name of this person out loud,
- 24 if you look at the name and biographical information on page 2,
- 25 do you know this person? Is this someone you knew during the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

Democratic Kampuchea regime? And I'm referring here again to E319/15.3.1. MR. BAN SEAK: A. No, I do not know this person.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

25

MR. PRESIDENT:

51

1 2 3 4 [11.32.51] 5 MR. KOPPE: 6 7 I object, Mr. President, to this line of questioning. This is so 8 not how you should be asking questions. He's now asking questions 9 about Ta An having first read an enormous excerpt of his WRI of 10 this particular witness. He has now what he wants, the 11 Prosecution, this evidence is now spoken out in Court. This 12 witness cannot -- cannot say anything about what happened in 13 Sector 41 because he wasn't a member of Sector 41. It's appalling 14 the way this Prosecutor is proceeding, and you're condoning it as 15 always. 16 BY MR. LYSAK: 17 Q. Mr. President, I know why counsel is upset by this evidence. I 18 want to take my questions step by step. The person who is 19 identified in this testimony as having conveyed these orders was 20 the secretary of Sector 41. This witness has talked about that 21 individual. So the first thing I want to establish is whether the 22 secretary of Sector 41 had a position on the Central Zone 23 committee. That's my question, first question. 24 [11.34.04]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

52

- 1 The objection raised by the defence team for Nuon Chea is
- 2 overruled and Witness, please respond to the last question put to
- 3 you by the Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 4 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 5 A. Yes, I know Ta An. < I got to know him by the time I fled into
- 6 the jungle.> Ta An was <the> secretary of Sector 41 and he was
- 7 <on> the standing committee <> of the Zone.
- 8 [11.34.43]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Thank you, Deputy Co-Prosecutor. It is now appropriate for us to
- 11 have a lunch break. We take a break now and resume at 1.30.
- 12 Court officer, please assist the witness during the lunch break,
- 13 and invite him, as well as his duty counsel, who will replace
- 14 counsel, Duch Phary, for the afternoon session, into the
- 15 courtroom at 1.30.
- 16 And security personnel, you are instructed to take Khieu Samphan
- 17 to the waiting room downstairs and have him returned to attend
- 18 the proceeding this afternoon at 1.30.
- 19 The Court is now in recess.
- 20 (Court recesses from 1135H to 1332H)
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 23 Before I hand over the floor to the Prosecution to put the
- 24 question to the witness, the Chamber wishes to ask Ms. Sok
- 25 Socheata, the duty counsel: can you please advise the Chamber <>

01409566

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

53

- 1 your ID number and where is your <working> office?
- 2 MS. SOK SOCHEATA:
- 3 <My name is Sok Socheata. My ID number is> 710. <I work for>
- 4 Amrin law firm, <address: No. 43E0, > Street 434, <Sangkat> Tuol
- 5 Tumpung 1, <Khan Chamkar Mon>.
- 6 [13.34.18]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you. Now I hand over the floor to the Prosecution to put
- 9 the questions to the witness. You may proceed.
- 10 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 11 Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness,
- 12
- 13
- 14 had identified,
- 15 indicated that you knew the sector secretary, Sector 41 secretary
- 16 Ta An. My question is: what was -- did Ta An have a position on
- 17 the zone committee, specifically was he the deputy secretary of
- 18 the central zone under Ke Pauk?
- 19 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 20 A. Ta An <was a sector> secretary. <He was on the zone's standing
- 21 committee, and he either addressed the standing committee's or
- 22 the zone's deputy secretary. And> he was in charge of Sector 41.
- 23 [13.36.00]
- 24 Q. The translation I got was a little unclear; can I ask you to
- 25 repeat what was his position on the zone committee?

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

E1/353.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

54

1 A. Ta An was the secretary of Sector 41 and he was the deputy

2 secretary of the zone and also of the zone's <standing>

3 committee.

4 0.

5

I want to get your reaction to

7 that testimony and specifically, whether you ever heard of a

8 similar order being given in Sector 42.

9 A. No, I have never heard of that.

10 Q. Mr. Witness, you were in Chamkar Leu district from mid-1977

11 through into 1978, do you have any other explanation as to what

12 happened to the missing 1,000 Cham families in that district

13 other than that they were identified and killed?

14 MR. PRESIDENT:

15 Mr. Witness, please hold on; and Counsel Koppe, you may proceed.

16 [13.38.20]

17 MR. KOPPE:

18 I object to the way the question is framed. Prosecution presents

19 it as undisputable evidence; it just comes from a WRI from one

20 particular potential witness so we have no idea what the source

21 of knowledge of this particular witness is, so the question, as

22 it is phrased now, should be phrased differently. I think the

23 Prosecution should go back to identify again the particular

24 source of this evidence.

25 BY MR. LYSAK:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

55

- 1 Let me rephrase the question, Mr. President.
- 2 O. Mr. Witness, we talked earlier about how there were a thousand
- 3 Cham families in Chamkar Leu district who disappeared during the
- 4 regime, do you have any explanation as to why those Cham families
- 5 disappeared other than that they were killed?
- 6 [13.39.40]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you may proceed.
- 9 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to register my objection
- 11 to this question on two grounds. First, the <International>
- 12 Deputy <> Prosecutor has requested, suggested the witness to
- 13 explain an event and in his capacity as a witness he cannot give
- 14 an explanation which is rather subjective. In addition, secondly,
- 15 this witness has already made it very clear that he did not know
- 16 the reason for the disappearance of <1,100> Cham and he did not
- 17 know whether or not they were killed and I think that this line
- 18 of questioning is contradictory to what we have done in this
- 19 Court before.
- 20 MR. LYSAK:
- 21 Mr. President, if the witness doesn't know he can say he doesn't
- 22 know in response to my question.
- 23 [13.40.50]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Importantly, I think that these questions need to be reframed;

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

56

- 1 otherwise we will not allow the witness to respond to this
- 2 question because if you ask the witness to explain, the witness
- 3 has made mention already that <from the beginning, > he has not
- 4 been aware of the disappearance of the 1,000 Cham <people in
- 5 Sector 42>.
- 6 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President, I'll ask a different question.
- 8 Q. Mr. Witness, based on your experience as a sector and district
- 9 cadre, did sector and zone secretaries have authority to decide
- 10 that groups of people would be purged and smashed or was that a
- 11 decision that could only be made by the Party leaders in Phnom
- 12 Penh? And I'm not asking you to speculate here, I am asking for
- 13 what you know based on your time as a sector and district cadre.
- 14 [13.42.10]
- 15 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 16 A. My understanding was that the zone secretary or sector
- 17 secretary did not have the right or the discretion to decide
- 18 unless they <had> received the instruction from the upper
- 19 echelon. I would like to bring up an example. If the Prime
- 20 Minister, for example, Samdech Techo does not allow the
- 21 execution, who could carry out the executions? That was it.
- 22 Q. I want to turn now to some questions about Nuon Chea. Mr.
- 23 Witness, what do you know about Nuon Chea's role in the regime
- 24 and specifically his role with respect to the development of an
- 25 instruction on Party policies?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

57

- 1 A. I only heard from other cadres who had been to study
- 2 <sessions> with <Uncle> Nuon Chea <> that <Uncle> Nuon Chea
- 3 actually was <a man of strict principles>. For example, whenever
- 4 he convened a meeting, he would require that everyone
- 5 participated and they had to work hard <and to hold meetings.
- 6 Having studied the situation, I knew that Uncle Nuon Chea was the
- 7 only person who chaired those study sessions attended by cadres
- 8 from the sector and district levels.>
- 9 [13.44.00]
- 10 Q. Who were the cadres who attended these study sessions or
- 11 meetings with Nuon Chea who told you this?
- 12 A. <They were> the <sector-level> cadres. <Upon their return, I
- 13 actually asked members of> the district committee <who had>
- 14 attended the study sessions, <> what they studied in the study
- 15 sessions. <For example, Bong Poch who had attended the sessions
- 16 organized at the upper level always told us what those sessions
- 17 were about. > I <also > went to <one > study <session > with <Uncle >
- 18 Son Sen. Generally, <unlike sessions chaired by Uncle Nuon Chea,
- 19 the sessions chaired by Son Sen, which I attended, they showed us
- 20 a film instead.>
- 21 Q. When you refer to region cadres, are you referring to people
- 22 on the sector committee, the Sector 42 committee, including your
- 23 in-law, Oeun?
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Hold on, please.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

58

- 1 [13.45.35]
- 2 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 3 A. Well, at <> the sector level <and the district level, they
- 4 were the district secretaries who went to study sessions.>
- 5 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 6 O. I want to read to you an excerpt from your interview
- 7 E319/2823.1 at Answer 10, you gave the following testimony:
- 8 Question: "To your knowledge, who gave the order to purge the
- 9 people in the Central Zone and to kill the Cham?"
- 10 Answer: "I do not know exactly about this but I still remember
- 11 what I heard from other people who said that under that regime,
- 12 Pol Pot did not give all of those orders, he was just the person
- 13 who was in the top position and behind the political scene. They
- 14 said that the one who issued those orders was Nuon Chea because
- 15 he was the one who developed and put into practice the policies
- 16 of the Communist Party of Kampuchea." End of quote.
- 17 Now I just want to clarify here who it was that told you this
- 18 about Nuon Chea, was it the same people you just identified, the
- 19 sector and district committee?
- 20 [13.47.22]
- 21 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 22 A. On this issue, it was the plan of the upper echelon.
- 23 <According to> the senior cadres at the upper level, <> Uncle Pol
- 24 Pot was <always> on the top and he rarely -- never came to
- 25 conduct <any> trainings, only Nuon Chea did it. <Thus, issues

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

59

- 1 regarding work plans, policies, development, labour force in
- 2 cooperatives, and how cooperatives should be organized were under
- 3 the> responsibility of <Uncle> Nuon Chea at the time.
- 4 Q. My question was the information you gave in this answer, did
- 5 that come from the same people you just identified a few minutes
- 6 ago -- that is, the members of the sector and district committee
- 7 who attended training conducted by Nuon Chea?
- 8 A. On this point, I heard it from Brother Oeun. When I <went to>
- 9 see him, I asked <him the reasons of those> purges. He said that
- 10 <> the plan <came> from the upper echelon. <They wrote clearly in
- 11 black ink that first, all the CIA, and secondly, all the KGB had
- 12 to be smashed.>
- 13 [13.49.03]
- 14 Q. And did sector secretary Oeun specifically talk to you about
- 15 the role of Nuon Chea?
- 16 A. Yes, he did.
- 17 Q. I am going to turn now to some questions about the period in
- 18 1978 when cadres in the East Zone were purged and you were sent
- 19 to be the secretary of Krouch Chhmar district and first just to
- 20 help when it was that you were sent there, can you tell us
- 21 whether it was before or after the arrest of the local East Zone
- 22 cadres that you were sent to Krouch Chhmar district?
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Witness, please hold on; and Counsel Koppe, you may proceed.
- 25 MR. KOPPE:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

60

- 1 I object to the phrasing of this particular question. The
- 2 Prosecution is using the word "purge" and "arrests" when it comes
- 3 to East Zone cadres specifically he refers to Krouch Chhmar which
- 4 is in Sector 21. We all know that there weren't many arrests at
- 5 that time when he was going to Krouch Chhmar, there was a full
- 6 armed conflict, military battle etc., so I don't think the words
- 7 "arrests" or "purge" are appropriate in this question.
- 8 [13.51.11]
- 9 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 10 Counsel is giving testimony here himself, I think his description
- 11 is wrong. There is a record of the hundreds, many hundreds of the
- 12 East Zone cadres were arrested and sent to S-21 at this time
- 13 which I'm happy to reference. Let me try coming at it from a
- 14 different direction with the witness here.
- 15 O. Mr. Witness, were you aware of cadres from the East Zone who
- 16 were either arrested or who fled to the jungle in 1978 and in
- 17 terms of the time that you went to Krouch Chhmar district, was it
- 18 before that happened or after the East Zone cadres had either
- 19 been arrested or had fled into the jungle.
- 20 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 21 A. <By the time> I got there, Uncle Chea Sim and Heng Samrin had
- 22 <already defected and fled into the jungle. <Thus, by the time>
- 23 I got there, <> the <United Front for the> National Salvation
- 24 <recognizable by a flag with five temple towers had already been</p>
- 25 created by the other side. There were also clashes among the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

61

- 1 militia units. However, regarding the purges, I was not aware of
- 2 them because I was still working in> the fishing lot. <Actually,>
- 3 the affairs <were> of the upper echelon.
- 4 [13.53.04]
- 5 Q. A number of the cadres from the East Zone have given the date
- 6 of 25 May 1978 as the date on which many high-ranking cadres were
- 7 arrested and on which others, including the people you've named,
- 8 fled to the jungle. Do you remember how long it was after the
- 9 25th of May 1978 that you were sent to Krouch Chhmar district?
- 10 MR. KOPPE:
- 11 Mr. President, I have an objection on the detail of the question.
- 12 I don't think the word "jungle" is appropriate, they fled to
- 13 Vietnam so I think that should be incorporated into the question
- 14 not "jungle"; "Vietnam".
- 15 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 16 They went to the jungle first but I'm happy to incorporate into
- 17 that question. They went to the jungle and some of them then went
- 18 to Vietnam.
- 19 O. When was it that you were sent to Krouch Chhmar district in
- 20 relation to this date 25th May 1978, do you remember?
- 21 [13.54.29]
- 22 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 23 A. I do not recall the date, I only knew that Uncle Chea Sim and
- 24 Heng Samrin <had> defected and they fled to Vietnam. <At that
- 25 time, they were actually saying that all> the <> cadres <of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

62

- 1 East Zone had betrayed the> Angkar, and they were <being referred
- 2 to as> the <> KGB.
- 3 Q. I'll ask you a little bit about that later. During the time
- 4 you served as Krouch Chhmar district secretary, who was the
- 5 sector secretary to whom you reported and who was the zone
- 6 secretary?
- 7 A. Sector secretary was Rin, he was military man and he was the
- 8 sector secretary and Son Sen was the commander-in-chief and he
- 9 was in charge of the zone, he was the zone secretary.
- 10 Q. Let me first ask you about Rin, where was Rin from?
- 11 A. Rin was originally from the Southwest Zone.
- 12 [13.56.07]
- 13 Q. Did you whether Rin was a relative of Ta Mok?
- 14 A. Yes, you are right. From the -- those from the Southwest Zone
- 15 were connected to Ta Mok.
- 16 O. Now you've identified Son Sen as the zone secretary. A number
- 17 of the other witnesses have testified that it was Nuon Chea who
- 18 was named East Zone secretary after So Phim's death, specifically
- 19 Thoung Khmun district committee member Mat Ly. This is interview
- 20 E3/390: English, 00436853; Khmer, 00-- The document number again
- 21 E3/390: Khmer, ERN 00392076; English, 00436853; French, 00479788;
- 22 Mat Ly made the following statement in his interview:
- 23 "I saw that when they announced that So Phim was gone, the zone
- 24 chairman Nuon Chea and the deputy was Tan Seng Hong, but in fact
- 25 it seemed that Nuon Chea's face was never seen and Seng Hong was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

63

- 1 not seen." End of quote.
- 2 [13.58.19]
- 3 And also in document E3/455: English, 00149917; Khmer, 00146678;
- 4 French, 00149943; this was an interview of S-21 chairman Duch who
- 5 stated: "The East Zone forces belonging to So Phim were
- 6 completely smashed and forces belonging to Ta Mok put in their
- 7 place, but Nuon Chea was made secretary of the east." End of
- 8 quote. So my question for you is: how is that you knew that Son
- 9 Sen was the zone secretary and do you have any reaction to the
- 10 testimony of these witnesses that it was Nuon Chea who had been
- 11 named zone secretary?
- 12 A. I went to attend the zone study session and Son Sen was the
- 13 one who trained all of us and Rin was there as well. At the time
- 14 there was no office; we were on mobile<. The battle became hot
- 15 because the Vietnamese forces were advancing so we did not see
- 16 Nuon Chea at that time, Son Sen conducted the training. I was at
- 17 the district level at the time and I was called to attend the
- 18 training with him <>.
- 19 [14.00.15]
- 20 Q. I'll ask you a little bit about that training later. Did Son
- 21 Sen say at this meeting that he was the new secretary of the East
- 22 Zone?
- 23 A. Yes, at that time that's what he said, he was the zone
- 24 secretary and Hong was his deputy and he was in charge of two
- 25 sectors.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

64

- 1 Q. Thank you. During the time that you were Krouch Chhmar
- 2 district secretary, where was your office located?
- 3 A. There was no office. Because of the chaotic situation, a
- 4 permanent office was not established and we were constantly on
- 5 mobile.
- 6 Q. In your OCIJ statement, you described conducting district
- 7 meetings, where were those meetings held?
- 8 A. Sometimes meetings were held in the pagoda or sometimes they
- 9 were held at Krouch Chhmar. As I said there was no fixed office
- 10 location. I was <not> in that position <> for long <when a cadre
- 11 by the name of Ao (phonetic), a district deputy secretary was
- 12 shot by the inner force. Their militia forces also arrived
- 13 there.>
- 14 [14.02.18]
- 15 Q. Okay, you identified two locations; I just want to get some
- 16 more specifics. You said that meetings were sometimes held in the
- 17 pagoda, what pagoda were you talking about, what village or
- 18 commune, was this pagoda in?
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Witness, please wait for the microphone to be operational.
- 21 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 22 A. I do not know that pagoda.
- 23 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 24 Q. And you said that sometimes the meetings were held in Krouch
- 25 Chhmar; where in the district is my question, what part of the

01409578

E1/353.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

65

- 1 district were you referring to where these meetings were held?
- 2 Can you give us any indication of that?
- 3 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 4 A. It was at the district commerce office -- that is, former
- 5 commerce office.
- 6 [14.03.40]
- 7 Q. And this former commerce office, was it used at some point to
- 8 detain people who had been arrested?
- 9 A. No, it was not.
- 10 Q. Let me read to you something you said in one of your OCIJ
- 11 interviews E3/375: Khmer, ERN 00348802 to 803; English, 00360762;
- 12 French, 00369924. This is what you stated in that interview, Mr.
- 13 Witness -- quote: "One place in the commerce office in Krouch
- 14 Chhmar chief town was used for detaining people before taking to
- 15 smash." End of quote. Where was the office that you described in
- 16 this interview, Mr. Witness, where people were taken to be
- 17 detained before being smashed, where was this office located?
- 18 A. I cannot recall it.
- 19 [14.05.33]
- 20 Q. Let me get your reaction to some information that has been
- 21 provided by another witness. For the record 2-TCW-904. This is an
- 22 OCIJ interview E3/9324: Khmer, 00204457; English, 00242064;
- 23 French, 00485139; this is a statement from a witness from Trea
- 24 village, Trea 2 village who worked as a sub-district clerk during
- 25 the regime. I quote: "Today I pointed out to the investigator of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

66

- 1 the Khmer Rouge Tribunal the 1975 site of the Khmer Rouge commune
- 2 office at the Trea 2 village. In May 1978, they took that site
- 3 for use as their district office instead. After that they also
- 4 took the two adjacent houses for the use of the district office.
- 5 They designated the area as north, and south of that office as
- 6 the district security site. All the houses surrounding the
- 7 district office were used to detain prisoners." End of quote. Is
- 8 it correct as testified by this witness that the office you've
- 9 been describing and that was converted into a district office was
- 10 in Trea village?
- 11 A. In fact, as I stated there was no fixed office and there was
- 12 no detention office as well since there was no security force.
- 13 [14.07.57]
- 14 Q. You just told us a few minutes ago that a commerce office was
- 15 used as the district office, was this commerce office located in
- 16 Trea village?
- 17 A. Commerce office was located in <the populated area of> Krouch
- 18 Chhmar where actually boats docked.
- 19 Q. And the place where the ferries docked, was the name of that
- 20 village Trea village in Trea commune, do you remember that, Mr.
- 21 Witness?
- 22 A. Actually the area was called Krouch Chhmar and there was a
- 23 bridge leading to a dock, it was in Krouch Chhmar not in Trea
- 24 <village>.
- 25 Q. Let me turn to another subject which is aliases that you used

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

67

- 1 during the Democratic Kampuchea period. You've identified two
- 2 names that you used in your interviews. One Hang Phos and also
- 3 another alias Hang Sun Ho, which of those aliases did you
- 4 normally use during the Democratic Kampuchea regime?
- 5 A. My native birth name is Hang Sun Ho; however, when I joined
- 6 the Revolution I used Hang Phos as my alias and I am still known
- 7 as Phos. And on my national identification card I used the name
- 8 Ban Seak.
- 9 [14.10.35]
- 10 Q. Do you remember, Mr. Witness, during the time when you were in
- 11 Krouch Chhmar district, you used the name Hor?
- 12 A. No, I did not.
- 13 Q. Now I would like to ask you about some testimony that has been
- 14 given by former cadres who identified you by the name -- former
- 15 cadres from Krouch Chhmar who identified you and apparently knew
- 16 you by the name Hor. First, OCIJ statement E3/5253 at Khmer,
- 17 00235002; English, 00235483; French, 00250059; again this is
- 18 E3/5253. Mr. Witness, this is a testimony of a local woman who
- 19 worked as cook at the Krouch Chhmar office and she testified as
- 20 follows:
- 21 [14.12.02]
- 22 Question: "Do you remember Comrade Hor, the district secretary
- 23 who went to visit there, that office?"
- 24 Answer: "Yes. I used to see him coming to the commerce office
- 25 because that office then was the residence of senior cadres." End

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

68

- 1 of quote.
- 2 And another person cadre from the same area in Krouch Chhmar --
- 3 2-TCW-904 -- this is a person who worked as a clerk at the Trea
- 4 sub-district office. In interview E3/5288, at Khmer, 00326653 to
- 5 54; English, 0036290 to 91; French, 00411588 to 89; and I would
- 6 like to read to you what this witness had to say -- quote:
- 7 "Krouch Chhmar district committee was Hor. In 1978, Hor came to
- 8 work as the district committee and appointed Meng as Trea commune
- 9 committee. Hor used to go to my place, to visit my place
- 10 occasionally."
- 11 [14.13.43]
- 12 Continuing later, question: "When did you first meet Hor?"
- 13 Answer: "Approximately in June or July 1978. At that time I saw
- 14 him walking back and forth on the road. I used to join in the
- 15 meeting with him once in the kitchen in Trea commune office."
- 16 Question: "And what year was that meeting held?"
- 17 Answer: "Approximately, September 1978."
- 18 Question: "Why did you know his name was Hor?"
- 19 Answer: "I knew his name was Hor because the commune committee
- 20 called him Hor."
- 21 And then a few questions later, question: "Did you know what
- 22 sector he came from before he worked as district committee?"
- 23 Answer: "He used to work as a sector fisherman in Stueng Trang."
- 24 End of quote.
- 25 Mr. Witness, it seems that there are people who remember you and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

69

- 1 specifically identify you as former sector fisherman but who knew
- 2 you by the name Hor. Is it possible that you've forgotten and
- 3 that in fact during the short period you were Krouch Chhmar
- 4 district chief you used the name Hor instead of the name Phos?
- 5 [14.15.25]
- 6 A. I did not use the name Hor in Krouch Chhmar. <Only> when I was
- 7 <working> at the fishing lots <was I known with the name,> Hor.
- 8 <After I had> moved to Sector 42, I no longer used that <name>.
- 9 Q. Return to another subject. During the time period that you
- 10 were Krouch Chhmar district secretary, did you have authority
- 11 yourself to make decisions on people to be executed and if not,
- 12 who had authority to make such decisions?
- 13 A. I did not have any authority to make such a decision and as I
- 14 said from the outset, authorities and orders came from the upper
- 15 echelon to smash <all> the so-called KGB or CIA agents.
- 16 Q. I want to now ask a few questions about an incident that's
- 17 described fairly extensively in your OCIJ interviews. About one
- 18 or two weeks after you arrived in Krouch Chhmar district, was
- 19 there an incident in which a number of people in one commune
- 20 tried to rebel or resist or fight against the Party and if so,
- 21 can you describe to the Court, what those people did and what
- 22 happened to them?
- 23 A. At that time it was not the people. <They were members of> the
- 24 mobile units <who were heading the rebellion. I did not see it
- 25 myself, but> I was <also> told <about it.> They were pretty

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

70

- 1 young. They were about <between> 18 <and> 20 years old.
- 2 [14.17.55]
- 3 Q. And what was it that these young people in this mobile unit
- 4 had done?
- 5 A. I learned it from Brother that they <had seemingly been broken
- 6 loose> from the jungle and then they gathered and engaged in the
- 7 rebellion. Of course under the regime, anyone who opposed the
- 8 regime <although> your own relatives -- would not be spared and
- 9 that's what happened.
- 10 Q. So can you tell us what was done with these young people from
- 11 the mobile unit who had rebelled and on whose orders?
- 12 A. Those people were taken to one location and they all were
- 13 purged.
- 14 Q. By "purged", do you mean that they were killed?
- 15 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 16 [14.19.28]
- 17 Q. And who was it that decided that those people were to be
- 18 killed and how were those orders conveyed to you?
- 19 A. The order came from the zone. And of course the zone might
- 20 receive it from the further upper level and then the order came
- 21 down through the chain of command to <the sector>, the district
- 22 and then to the soldiers.
- 23 Q. In your OCIJ interviews you described a written instruction
- 24 that was red ink, do you remember this written order and do you
- 25 remember who this was signed and issued by?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

71

- 1 A. The order came from the zone then to the sector secretary.
- 2 <And it was conveyed down the line via Rin, the sector
- 3 secretary.>
- 4 Q. Where were these people detained and killed and did you
- 5 yourself visit the location where those people were detained?
- 6 A. Yes, I went to the site and they were killed in a place that
- 7 was similar to a school and after I made a quick visit, then I
- 8 returned.
- 9 [14.21.45]
- 10 Q. You indicated in your interview that this place was on an
- 11 island but that you don't remember the name of the island and
- 12 what I would like to know now is the island that you travelled to
- 13 where these people were detained, was it an island on the river
- 14 on the west side of Krouch Chhmar district or was it an island
- 15 that was on the north side of the district, do you remember?
- 16 A. No, I cannot recall that because I myself was not that
- 17 familiar with the geography of Krouch Chhmar district.
- 18 Q. Do you know how these people were executed and who conducted
- 19 the executions?
- 20 A. The letter was delivered to the soldiers and <among them,>
- 21 there was a mixture of those from the Centre and the Krouch
- 22 Chhmar district and they took part in the execution there.
- 23 Q. And when you refer to soldiers from the Centre, who are you
- 24 referring to, whose soldiers were these and under whose command
- 25 were these soldiers from the Centre?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

72

- 1 A. Those soldiers were under the command of Son Sen<. The>
- 2 special force soldiers <> were under the command of <Kung alias>
- 3 Ron.
- 4 [14.24.11]
- 5 O. Who was Ron?
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Witness, please observe the microphone.
- 8 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 9 A. Ron was also known as Kung. He was in charge of the special
- 10 unit force that belonged to the Centre and belonged under the
- 11 command of <Uncle> Khieu. <They were created for defending zones,
- 12 sectors > and <districts, and generally for > the national
- 13 security. <They did not> engage in any fighting along the border.
- 14 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 15 Q. And so that we are clear, Mr. Witness, when you refer to
- 16 Khieu, who are you referring to?
- 17 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 18 A. <> I <was referring> to Son Sen.
- 19 [14.25.20]
- 20 Q. You mentioned earlier a meeting that you attended that was led
- 21 by Son Sen, can you tell us where that meeting was held, who
- 22 attended and what Son Sen talked about at the meeting?
- 23 A. The meeting was held at the zone <place>. However it was <>
- 24 mobile, it was not a fixed office. It was held in a rubber
- 25 plantation, <and attended by sector and> district chiefs. <After

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

73

- 1 the study session, our first task was to> gather <> people for
- 2 the worksites; <and secondly, we were to> draft people to go to
- 3 the front battlefield.
- 4 Q. You indicated in your OCIJ interview that this meeting was
- 5 held at Suong, was that where this rubber plantation was, can you
- 6 tell us the rubber plantation where this meeting was held, what
- 7 district and what commune was or what village was this place in?
- 8 A. It was in the area called Tboung Khmum in Tboung Khmum
- 9 <district> and that rubber plantation <was> known as Chub rubber
- 10 plantation.
- 11 [14.27.10]
- 12 Q. Did -- at this meeting, Mr. Witness, did Son Sen talk about
- 13 purges of enemies and if so, what did he say?
- 14 A. He talked about <internal> enemies <, mainly> the KGB and the
- 15 CIA. <We were told, particularly, > to recruit <good> soldiers,
- 16 <and make sure that there were no enemies burrowing from within
- 17 among them because that could lead to a defeat.> However, <since
- 18 we could no longer keep the situation under our control, > nothing
- 19 could <be done to save> it.
- 20 Q. I want to ask you about something you said in your interview
- 21 describing this meeting. This is at E3/375: Khmer, ERN 00348796
- 22 to 97; English, 00360756; French, 00369919; you start by
- 23 describing, as you just told us, a meeting held by Om Khieu about
- 24 the purges and then you make the following statement.
- 25 Question: "What kind of people were considered as bad elements?"

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

74

- 1 Answer: "The New People were considered as bad elements. Most
- 2 people who worked at working sites used to be warned by me not to
- 3 talk too much. Activity line is what we do, if we do something
- 4 out of the Party's line, we are enemy." End of quote.
- 5 What I wanted to clarify, Mr. Witness, did Son Sen -- was it Son
- 6 Sen who talked about New People being viewed as bad elements and
- 7 did he explain why the New People were considered as bad
- 8 elements?
- 9 [14.29.50]
- 10 A. During the meeting they did not refer to New People but they
- 11 referred to the infiltrated elements <of the enemies>, namely the
- 12 KGB and the CIA agents, and <those people from> the East Zone
- 13 <were being> referred to as the KGB. <Thus, those who were
- 14 opposed to the regime were referred to as the KGB or the dogs
- 15 serving the "Yuon".>
- 16 Q. I want to ask you a few questions about Cham people in Krouch
- 17 Chhmar district. During the time you were there, were there many
- 18 Cham people in Krouch Chhmar district and did you know what
- 19 villages or what parts of the district the Cham people were
- 20 located in?
- 21 A. No, I cannot recall that well. <The> Cham people were also
- 22 <working> at the worksites so it <was> very difficult to say from
- 23 which village they came <>.
- 24 Q. When you were assigned to be the secretary of Krouch Chhmar
- 25 district, were you told about the Cham people in that district

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

75

- 1 and specifically were you told about two rebellions of the Cham
- 2 people that had taken place back in 1975?
- 3 [14.31.45]
- 4 A. The upper echelon did not mention anything <regarding the Cham
- 5 people. Considering the situation, <only the issue of unity was
- 6 mentioned. Instead, they started talking about traitors such as>
- 7 Chea Sim and Heng Samrin; <thus, they had to be united and to
- 8 strive to fight against those traitors who> had defected <and
- 9 served as slaves of the "Yuon".>
- 10 Q. Mr. Witness, when you were first interviewed by the Office of
- 11 the Co-Investigating Judges, your very first interview back in
- 12 February 2009. This is document E3/5275: Khmer, ERN 00282921;
- 13 English, 00284493; French, 00339918; in that first interview you
- 14 were asked whether you had ever been the secretary of Krouch
- 15 Chhmar district and this is how you responded at that time --
- 16 quote:
- 17 "I never worked in Krouch Chhmar district, I never had the job of
- 18 secretary of any district and I never visited any security
- 19 offices. I never had any contact with Cham Muslims, Muslims then,
- 20 I never had a conflict with anybody, I never heard about the
- 21 purging of Cham Muslims. I never saw them arrest people to take
- them away to be killed." End of quote.
- 23 In the interest of fairness, Mr. Witness, in your subsequent
- 24 interviews you acknowledged your position in Krouch Chhmar
- 25 district and have talked about some of the things that took place

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

76

- 1 there. But I would like to give you an opportunity to explain to
- 2 the Court why you did not tell the truth about your position in
- 3 Krouch Chhmar district in your very first interview.
- 4 [14.34.15]
- 5 A. At the time I was of the view that I worked at Krouch Chhmar
- 6 district for a short period of time; <thus, I would rather not
- 7 answer the question by describing the execution of my brother and
- 8 the details of my journey to and from that place. In addition,>
- 9 at the time I <was facing both> personal <challenges> and <>
- 10 collective <challenges>.
- 11 Q. Mr. Witness, is one of the reasons that in your very first
- 12 interview you denied that being in Krouch Chhmar that there were
- 13 things that had happened in that district that you didn't really
- 14 want to talk about, bad things that are not pleasant for anyone
- 15 to have to revisit and talk about, is that fair to say?
- 16 A. For now, of course, it is not correct. <I am of the opinion
- 17 that since I held the> position for a short period of time
- 18 <during which my own brother was also purged, I would rather not
- 19 raise the point because it could lead to more issues. Back then,
- 20 my> former colleagues <who had joined the struggle> told me that
- 21 I did not have to disclose <too> much; otherwise I would bring
- 22 trouble to myself so I decided not to tell everything, every
- 23 detail of that.
- 24 [14.36.23]
- 25 MR. LYSAK:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

77

- 1 I want to turn now to a few questions about some of those events
- 2 in Trea village. Mr. President, I can continue, I'm about to
- 3 start a new subject, if this is an appropriate breaking time,
- 4 otherwise I can continue.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you. The time is now appropriate for a recess. Now the
- 7 Chamber adjourns and resume at <five minutes before> 3.00 <p.m.>
- 8 Court officer, please assist the witness during the recess and
- 9 please have the witness and his duty counsel to come here at five
- 10 to 3.00 <p.m.>.
- 11 (Court recesses from 1437H to 1459H)
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Please be seated.
- 14 The Court is now back in session and again the floor is given to
- 15 the Co-Prosecutors to continue putting questions to the witness.
- 16 You may proceed, Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 17 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 19 Q. I want to now talk about some events in Trea village, Mr.
- 20 Witness. Were you aware of an incident in Trea village in which
- 21 many Cham people were killed?
- 22 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 23 A. No, I was not. As I said I was there for a short period of
- 24 time, then I was requested to attend a training session and after
- 25 I returned from the training session, I returned to worksite. <As

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

78

- 1 a result, I was not aware of this.>
- 2 [15.01.22]
- 3 Q. Well, did you once see corpses floating in the Mekong River,
- 4 some of whom had been decapitated?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. When did you see that, Mr. Witness?
- 7 A. It was when I was on a motorboat.
- 8 Q. I want to read to you what you said in your OCIJ interview
- 9 E3/375 at Khmer, 00348804; English, 00360763; French, 00369926:
- 10 Question: "While you were Krouch Chhmar district committee, did
- 11 you ever hear of smashing a group of women who were decapitated
- 12 in Trea village, Krouch Chhmar district?"
- 13 Answer: "I knew that such smashing was conducted in Trea village
- 14 but I did not know whether those people were female or male." End
- 15 of quote.
- 16 My question to you about this, Mr. Witness: how did you learn
- 17 about the killings in Trea village that you mentioned in this
- 18 part of your interview?
- 19 [15.03.25]
- 20 A. I learnt it <from> other comrades about the killing of these
- 21 people. In fact, <I was told that rebels were to be killed,
- 22 however>, I did not know how or where they were killed.
- 23 Q. Who was it that ordered the execution of the people in Trea
- 24 village?
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

79

- 1 Witness, please observe the microphone.
- 2 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 3 A. <There was only one order>. When the rebellion was about <to
- 4 take place, it was <reported> to the upper echelon. <After that,
- 5 the upper echelon sent back a letter, and the letter was taken
- 6 to> the soldiers. <As mentioned earlier, whoever got> involved in
- 7 the rebellion were <considered> KGB <>.
- 8 [15.04.41]
- 9 MR. LYSAK:
- 10 Q. You said a few minutes ago that you saw these corpses in the
- 11 river when you were on a boat, can you explain when this was, why
- 12 were you on the boat, what were you doing at the time that you
- 13 saw these corpses floating in the Mekong River?
- 14 A. <When> I was on a motorboat; we didn't dare to come to shore
- 15 as we were concerned of being shot. Actually the militia group
- 16 <had> shot <and injured a district> deputy <secretary>, and for
- 17 that reason we didn't <choose> to travel <on the> road <by
- 18 motorbike, but by> motorboat <on the water route>.
- 19 Q. I want to read to you now, Mr. Witness, something -- some
- 20 testimony from Ke Pauk's son, Ke Pich Vannak. From his OCIJ
- 21 statement E3/35: Khmer, 00340569 to 570; English, 00346155;
- 22 French, 00367727; and in this testimony, Ke Pauk's son is
- 23 describing a period when the Centre division under Son Sen
- 24 arrived to purge the East Zone and his father was called to a
- 25 meeting with Pol Pot. I quote:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

80

- 1 [15.06.55]
- 2 "When my father returned, I asked him what happened; he replied
- 3 there was a strange matter because Brother Pol Pot saw the
- 4 floating corpses were caught up in front of his office so he
- 5 ordered an immediate investigation. After my father returned
- 6 home, he ordered a division chairman named Chhay to lead an
- 7 investigating group over these floating corpses. The
- 8 investigation found that the Cham people had been arrested,
- 9 placed in the boats, and then were beheaded before they were
- 10 dumped into the river. That event took place in Krouch Chhmar
- 11 district opposite Stueng Trang district. The intervention unit of
- 12 the Centre led by Pin was involved in that killing. After
- 13 receiving the result, my father made a report and sent it to
- 14 Office <M-870>."
- 15 And continuing on the next page of the same interview -- quote:
- 16 "The zone sent the report to the upper echelon about the sweeping
- 17 clean of the Islamic people in Krouch Chhmar that was why there
- 18 were floating corpses." End of quote.
- 19 Mr. Witness, did you know about, or hear about the incident that
- 20 is described in this interview by Ke Pauk's son?
- 21 [15.08.49]
- 22 A. Ke Pauk's son is also <my cousin-in-law. To my knowledge, he
- 23 rarely went to battlefields as> he usually <escorted> his father.
- 24 I cannot inform you as to how much he knew about the event. <He
- 25 always escorted his father. He was also a bodyguard of his

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

81

- 1 father. > It <was> his father who knew all the events that
- 2 happened within <both> the <East Zone> and <the Central Zone>. Ke
- 3 Pauk was also in charge of the military in the East Zone <along
- 4 with> Son Sen.
- 5 Q. In the statement I just read, Ke Pauk's son indicates that it
- 6 was an intervention unit of the Centre led by Pin that was
- 7 involved in these killings. Did you know a special intervention
- 8 unit of the Centre commanded by a Comrade Pin?
- 9 A. No, I do not know the <Centre's army> of Pin. I <only knew the
- 10 Centre's army of Ron alias> Kung.
- 11 [15.10.28]
- 12 Q. And one other part of Ke Pauk's son's interview, this is also
- 13 E3/35: Khmer, ERN 00340568; English, 00346154; French, 00367726;
- 14 in this part of his interview, Ke Pauk's son identifies a number
- 15 of the other commanders from this special unit -- quote:
- 16 "Son Sen ordered a special intervention unit led by Ta Pin and Ta
- 17 Vin to come to protect <him> and ordered them to take full
- 18 control of all divisions whose leaders had been purged. Ta Vin
- 19 was a son-in-law of Ta Mok and also Ta Pin's deputy. Ta Nha was a
- 20 brigade chairman under the intervention division of Ta Pin."
- 21 My question for you: did you know either of these other military
- 22 commanders identified by Ke Pauk's son -- that is, the son-in-law
- 23 of Ta Mok whose was named Ta Vin and the brigade commander Ta
- 24 Nha?
- 25 A. I know Vin -- that <was>, after the <defection, rather> after

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

82

- 1 the Vietnamese <arrival. I saw Vin and I was told that> he was
- 2 <a> son-in-law of Ta Mok. I did not know <Nha>.
- 3 Q. And did you know what unit or division Ta Vin was part of in
- 4 1978?
- 5 A. <Vin> was the deputy commander of the Centre army, and Pin was
- 6 <the commander of the Centre army>.
- 7 [15.13.21]
- 8 Q. The last thing I want to get your reaction to with regard to
- 9 Trea village is a statement that references you that came from a
- 10 person I mentioned earlier, 2-TCW-904. This was the Cham who
- 11 worked as the sub-district clerk in Trea village and in interview
- 12 E3/5196: Khmer, ERN 00204456; English, 00223088; French,
- 13 00274740; this witness said the following -- quote:
- 14 "A security office was built in the village under the supervision
- 15 of Hor, a Khmer from the other side of the river, the central
- 16 zone. This man was in charge of the arrest of numerous Cham
- 17 people." End of quote. And on the same page he goes on to
- 18 describe a two week period during which Cham people were sent to
- 19 Trea village.
- 20 Mr. Witness, I would like to give you the opportunity to respond
- 21 to what this witness has said and specifically I would like to
- 22 know whether you yourself had authority to decide on the arrests
- 23 of Cham people or whether that was a matter that could only be
- 24 decided at the sector, zone, or centre level?
- 25 A. I was not aware of that issue clearly because<, as I said

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

83

- 1 earlier,> after I <had returned from> the study session, I <went>
- 2 to the worksites <to raise an army. It was> the Centre army <who>
- 3 organised the purges <and villages> and as I said, the situation
- 4 at that time was <ripening>. I also would like to add that <when>
- 5 I was reassigned to the North Zone, <> people were <also being>
- 6 evacuated <from> Krouch Chhmar <district> because the army could
- 7 no <longer keep the situation under their> control <>.
- 8 [15.16.30]
- 9 O. Mr. Witness, I have this two other quick areas I want to ask
- 10 you about. First is in regard to the treatment of Vietnamese
- 11 people during the Democratic Kampuchea regime. Can you tell us
- 12 what you know about the treatment of Vietnamese people, what
- 13 happened to the Vietnamese during the Democratic Kampuchea
- 14 regime?
- 15 A. I did not know <of> the treatment of the Vietnamese. However,
- 16 I heard <that> the Vietnamese, <who were living along Tonle Sap,
- 17 were> purged. <And> the Vietnamese people, <with whom I had
- 18 worked while making fish traps, > were also taken away.
- 19 Q. And when you talk about Vietnamese people on the river and in
- 20 the villages, what area are you talking about?
- 21 A. I was told it was at Samraong Saen -- that <was, all the way>
- 22 along <Tonle Sap to Sang Khay (phonetic) > where the Vietnamese
- 23 people actually <were living> and <made living by> fishing.
- 24 [15.18.23]
- 25 Q. Apologies; my question wasn't specific. What district,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

84

- 1 commune, are we talking about, where was this Samraong area?
- 2 A. Samraong Saen was located in Kampong Chhnang province.
- 3 Q. How did you hear about executions of Vietnamese people in
- 4 Kampong Chhnang province?
- 5 A. I heard it from soldiers who came from the area and who said
- 6 that Vietnamese had been killed and dropped into the river.
- 7 [15.19.30]
- 8 Q. I want to read to you an excerpt from your OCIJ interview
- 9 E3/375 at Khmer, ERN 00348799; English, 00360759; French,
- 10 00369921; you gave the following testimony in that interview:
- 11 Question: "Were there any purges of the Vietnamese?"
- 12 Answer: "All Vietnamese were executed. I did not know for sure if
- 13 this execution was the policy from upper echelon or not; however,
- 14 not only all Vietnamese were executed but also the Khmer in the
- 15 north (Kampuchea Krom), who had been educated by the Yuon and
- 16 returned to work as the Khmer Rouge cadres, were smashed." End of
- 17 quote.
- 18 What I want to clarify you with, Mr. Witness, in this statement
- 19 you referred to, at least in the English translation, "Khmer in
- 20 the north (Kampuchea Krom)", and what I want you to clarify is,
- 21 were you referring here to Khmer Krom people or were you
- 22 referring to Khmer cadres in the Party who had trained in North
- 23 Vietnam?
- 24 A. To my knowledge, at my location there were Khmer cadres who
- 25 had been trained in Vietnam <as previously they> were part of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

85

- 1 <the so-called> Labour Party. And when the Vietnamese entered
- 2 Cambodia, they <became cadres and helped with establishing>
- 3 forces. <By 1973 or 1974, those cadres were being gradually
- 4 purged.>
- 5 [15.22.06]
- 6 Q. And when was it that these cadres who had trained in Vietnam,
- 7 when was it that these people were purged?
- 8 A. To my recollection, it was in <either 1973 or> 1974 -- <not>
- 9 1975. <At that time, after> the Vietnamese <had> returned,
- 10 <those> cadres were purged. <I heard that all cadres from the
- 11 north, > were subject to be purged.
- 12 MR. LYSAK:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. Witness, for answering my questions today. We have
- 14 no further questions, Mr. President.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Thank you. The floor is now given to the Lead Co-Lawyers for
- 17 civil parties to put questions to this witness. You may proceed,
- 18 Counsel.
- 19 [15.23.38]
- 20 QUESTIONING BY MR. PICH ANG:
- 21 Good afternoon, Mr. President, Your Honours. Good afternoon,
- 22 Parties, and everyone in and around the courtroom, particularly
- 23 the civil parties; and good afternoon, Mr. Witness. My name is
- 24 Pich Ang, I am National Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties. I have
- 25 some supplementary questions to put to you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

86

- 1 Q. I refer to one of your interviews -- that is, E3/375 at Khmer,
- 2 ERN 00348800; English, 00360759; and French, 00369921; you were
- 3 asked a question and allow me to quote:
- 4 "Were the Cham people considered as the enemy to the Communist
- 5 Party of Kampuchea?"
- 6 And your answer is: "I did not think they were the enemy to the
- 7 Communist Party. However, the Muslim Chams were not allowed to
- 8 pray; even the Buddhist monks were not allowed to chant. At that
- 9 time, all religions were abolished, only the Communist Party of
- 10 Kampuchea was to be paid respect for. The Communist Party loathe
- 11 Buddhist monks and monarch the most." End of quote.
- 12 In relation to the last sentence that the Communist Party loathed
- 13 the Buddhist monks and the monarch the most, what is the reason
- 14 for you to make that statement?
- 15 [15.25.39]
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Witness, please hold on; and Counsel Koppe, you have the floor.
- 18 MR. KOPPE:
- 19 I think, Mr. President, we have now firmly established a practice
- 20 that first questions in general should be asked and subsequently
- 21 the witness can be confronted with his own WRI. However, the
- 22 Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyer goes straight to his WRI and read
- 23 something and asks then subsequently to confirm. I don't think
- 24 this is the practice anymore here.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

87

- 1 (No interpretation)
- 2 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. I object this question as the witness
- 4 is not an expert. For that reason, he cannot provide explanation
- 5 as to the reasons that the Communist Party loathed Buddhist monks
- 6 or the Cham people. Thank you.
- 7 [15.26.50]
- 8 MR. PICH ANG:
- 9 Mr. President, allow me to respond to what has been said by
- 10 Counsel Koppe. In fact there are two forms that we can use in
- 11 reference to an excerpt from a WRI: one is for the witness to
- 12 confirm his <> statement and that is a point related to what has
- 13 been raised by Counsel Koppe. However, my question is related to
- 14 the second form that is to seek the reason from the witness from
- 15 his own experience <and his knowledge> why he made <such>
- 16 statements in the first place.
- 17 And regarding the objection raised by Counsel Kong Sam Onn, is
- 18 that my question is in a form to get the experience from the
- 19 witness and it is not related to his status as an expert at all.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 The objections raised by the two defence teams sustained; and
- 22 Witness, you do not need to respond to that question.
- 23 [15.28.16]
- 24 BY MR. PICH ANG:
- 25 Allow me to move on then.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

88

- 1 Q. Mr. Witness, from your personal experience and your knowledge,
- 2 what and how did they treat Buddhist monks and those who were
- 3 related to the monarch?
- 4 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 5 A. Yes, I know little bit about that<. The> monarch and the
- 6 Buddhist monks <> were considered enemies of the <Khmer Rouge>.
- 7 As they said, the monks were lazy, did not do any labour and only
- 8 begged for food. <And the same thing applied to the monarch.
- 9 However, > they were <also required to work in the fields like>
- 10 peasants <and workers> who engaged in the resistance.
- 11 Q. Did you attend any study session where you obtained the
- 12 information that is on the very topic that you spoke about?
- 13 A. No, I did not. However, at that time my wife was pregnant so I
- 14 went to Kampong Cham and I was told that Sihanouk and his wife
- 15 were placed at Kampong Cham. And as to why the King was detained
- 16 there, I was told that <> they wanted the King <and his family
- 17 members > to work in the rice field. <As for monks, they were
- 18 defrocked. My brother> who <had been a> chief monk <was also
- 19 defrocked, and killed. < He was drafted into the army, and then
- 20 killed.>
- 21 [15.30.16]
- 22 Q. About your

 who was killed, what was his name?
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Mr. Witness, please observe the microphone.
- 25 MR. BAN SEAK:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

89

- 1 A. His name was Hang Hong alias <Kok> (phonetic).
- 2 BY MR. PICH ANG:
- 3 And which year was he killed?
- 4 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 5 A. It was during the purging year -- that is, at the end of 1978.
- 6 He was smashed. <It was very close to the Vietnamese arrival.>
- 7 And soon after that, Son Sen called me to attend <a> study
- 8 session <during which I was required> to provide my detailed
- 9 biography. And later on, he said that I <was telling> him a lie
- 10 as one of my relatives <had already been> smashed by the <Party.
- 11 Having heard that I became so frightened, and I almost fell over.
- 12 I could see through his glasses that he was staring at me, but he
- 13 told me to calm down, and go <> to work for <Comrade > Oeun <and
- 14 Comrade Poch instead>. However, he said that cadres should not be
- 15 concerned as the <Party> would not smash <anyone> anymore. <There
- 16 used to be the case that after biographies were collected, people
- 17 got smashed by the Party>. And that's how I learned about the
- 18 smashing of my relative. <After that, I was reassigned to go back
- 19 to work in Sector 42.>
- 20 [15.31.37]
- 21 Q. Thank you for your explanation. And allow me to now go to the
- 22 event that took place in Krouch Chhmar district. While you were
- 23 in Krouch Chhmar, can you inform the Chamber of the duties and
- 24 functions that you held?
- 25 A. In Krouch Chhmar district, upon my arrival as I testified

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

90

- 1 earlier, <when I arrived,> the event of rebellion took place --
- 2 that is, concerning those who worked in the mobile unit. And
- 3 after that, I was called by the upper echelon to attend a study
- 4 session. And upon my return, I was assigned to gather people to
- 5 work at a worksite <so-called Tuol Snuol where the land was high
- 6 enough for cultivation, and to raise an army to fight at> the
- 7 front <>.
- 8 Q. Earlier you said that about the worksite, can you tell the
- 9 Court the precise location of that worksite?
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Witness, please look at the microphone before you speak.
- 12 [15.32.59]
- 13 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 14 A. It was in Tuol Snuol <commune, and> it was in <Chhuk> rubber
- 15 plantation <where the land was high enough for> a plantation <to
- 16 be made> over there, and we grew <crops> there.
- 17 BY MR. PICH ANG:
- 18 Q. Can you tell the Court about the people you had gathered to
- 19 work at that plantation, what were the representation of
- 20 ethnicity? Were there any Cham ethnicity or Khmer ethnicity or
- 21 Vietnamese ethnicity work there?
- 22 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 23 A. I, at that time, asked the commune committee to gather all
- 24 peoples <including the Khmer and the Cham people> regardless of
- 25 their ethnicity to <go to the worksites to cultivate.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

91

- 1 Particularly, as indicated by the upper echelon, we had to focus
- 2 on gathering the people in order to get control of them, and to
- 3 recruit soldiers among them for the frontline battlefields.>
- 4 [15.34.13]
- 5 Q. Could you tell the Court how many people you gathered at the
- 6 time?
- 7 A. Actually at that time, we gathered people from the entire
- 8 district, from all sub-districts.
- 9 Q. So, this plantation was not -- I mean that was the only
- 10 location in your locality that people were gathered; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes, that is correct. <Regarding> gathering the people, Son
- 13 Sen <had> instructed that once <people were> gathered, <all the
- 14 communes had to recruit their own soldiers in order to have them
- 15 sent to> the frontline <battlefields>.
- 16 Q. So you say that you gathered the people from all <> districts,
- 17 but you did not mention specifically as to how many. To your
- 18 estimation, how many people did you gather at that time?
- 19 A. No, I did not know the exact number. <I could not grasp this
- 20 number. I just went there to give instructions regarding
- 21 recruiting soldiers, motivating people to cultivate, and explain
- 22 to them about the betrayal of> Heng Samrin and Chea Sim <who had
- 23 defected to join with the "Yuon", and serve the "Yuon" in order
- 24 to swallow Cambodia. That was how I had been trained to educate
- 25 people. Those who had defected were all considered the KGB.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

92

- 1 [15.36.25]
- 2 Q. When you responded to the question by the prosecutor, you said
- 3 that you <were on> the motorboat and then you saw the corpse
- 4 floating. Can you tell the Court whether <> it was the <same>
- 5 time <or was it at a different time> when you were travelling to
- 6 <the> island where people <were being detained that> you saw the
- 7 corpses floating in the water?
- 8 A. No. <They> were two different times. That time, the situation
- 9 was chaotic. At that time, <09 who had established a> militia
- 10 <unit also came>; I did not know who was who at that time. <I had
- 11 no idea whether or not these corpses may have been those in the
- 12 military units. I just saw swollen> corpses <with no heads
- 13 floating through the reeds.>
- 14 Q. Just now you said that the 09 militiamen came down. Could you
- 15 please expand on that a bit? Who was 09 militia group you were
- 16 talking about?
- 17 A. They were the soldiers from the <army of the United Front for
- 18 the> National Salvation.
- 19 Q. <Regarding the> corpses that you <saw> when you were
- 20 travelling on the motorboat at that time, <were they fresh
- 21 corpses? Or were they old corpses that had been left there for a
- 22 long time, or over a week or so?>
- 23 A. I did not know <whether a week or so the corpses had been left
- 24 there. > I only saw the <floating > corpses <with the limbs
- 25 springing out. I did not know how many days already those swollen

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

93

- 1 corpses were floating in the river.>
- 2 [15.38.40]
- 3 Q. I would like to now talk about <another> time when you were
- 4 taking the water boat to the island where people were <detained>.
- 5 At that time, how many boats went to the island? Was there only
- 6 one boat that you were taking or <were> there <> other boats
- 7 going together on that day?
- 8 A. It was not a boat, but a motorboat <as it was kind of big>.
- 9 Q. Yes, I know that. Were there any other motorboats going
- 10 together with your motorboat at the time?
- 11 A. No, there was none.
- 12 Q. Thank you. When you arrived at the island which you mentioned
- 13 earlier, did you see any other motorboat or marine boat of the
- 14 soldiers, did you see any of them at the island?
- 15 A. <Through> my observation, <> the <soldiers who were based just
- 16 down the island also came to the island by their own motorboats.
- 17 I did not know those soldiers. They did not actually swim to the
- 18 island. Those soldiers came by their own motorboats.>
- 19 [15.40.25]
- 20 Q. So can you tell the Court <whether there> were <> many <or a
- 21 few> motorboats there? And did you know <whether those
- 22 motorboats> had <arrived on the island long> before you got
- 23 there?
- 24 A. Yes, they had <arrived on the island> before I <did>.
- 25 Q. When you and others got <out of> your boat and you went to the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

94

- 1 island, could you tell the Court who <was> accompanying you from
- 2 the <motorboat> to the <hall where people were being detained>?
- 3 A. All of us got out of the boat and then we went onto the
- 4 island. Around 10 of us altogether.
- 5 Q. Can you recall the names of those who were joining the trip
- 6 with you? Can you recall any of the names?
- 7 A. No, I do not recall all the names, but only two or three who
- 8 were the members of the district committee.
- 9 [15.42.02]
- 10 Q. Can you tell the Court who they are, whom you can recall?
- 11 A. Ao, Oeun, and Siem.
- 12 O. How about the soldiers who had arrived <on> the island before
- 13 you? <To whom> did the army belong?
- 14 A. They were the soldiers of the Centre. They were <members of>
- 15 the special <unit> under <Uncle> Khieu.
- 16 O. Were there any soldiers from the district among them?
- 17 A. Yes, there were.
- 18 Q. Did you meet any <> representative of the army <> when you
- 19 <arrived> on the island?
- 20 A. Yes, I met <some of them> but we did not <have any contact. I
- 21 just went there to see what was going on, and then I returned.> I
- 22 did not even ask <any of them what was happening as the issue had
- 23 already been dealt with.>
- 24 Q. But my question to you <was> whether or not you <had> met
- 25 <any> representative of the military personnel who was stationed

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

95

- 1 on the island, and did you <talk to them or> present <anything
- 2 to> them <> at all when you met them?
- 3 A. Yes, <> the letter from the upper echelon <was presented> to
- 4 <him>.
- 5 [15.43.47]
- 6 Q. Who handed over the letter? Or <did> you, yourself, <> hand
- 7 over the letter? And what did you say to the representative of
- 8 the army <who> stationed on the island?
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Mr. Witness, please hold on.
- 11 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 12 A. At that time, a man by the name of Ao, <who> was <carrying>
- 13 the letter <> handed over that letter to the military personnel
- 14 over there. <I just went there to see what was happening, and
- 15 then I returned to the boat.>
- 16 BY MR. PICH ANG:
- 17 Q. So when you and your colleagues got off the boat and you went
- 18 to the island, so can you tell the Court if there were any
- 19 members of your <group> holding the writing pad or book or pen in
- 20 order to take note the minutes of the discussion or your visit to
- 21 the island?
- 22 [15.44.50]
- 23 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 24 A. No, none. We did not bring any book or pen with us.
- 25 Q. When you got to that sala (phonetic) -- you said it was like a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

96

- 1 school -- could you tell the Court <> the <size> of the school?
- 2 Was it a multi-storey building or it was only one-storey
- 3 building? Could you tell the overall structure of the building
- 4 you saw?
- 5 A. It was only one-storey building. As for the length and the
- 6 width of the building, I do not recall.
- 7 Q. Thank you. But can you tell the Court the approximate size of
- 8 the building, how many, for example, square metres to your
- 9 estimation?
- 10 A. No, I cannot come up with any estimation. I did not even see
- 11 the length of this building. It <looked> like <either a school
- 12 building or a monastery <>. It was just <a> one-storey building.
- 13 Q. So you said that it was an island but you cannot recall the
- 14 name of that island. So my question to you is whether or not
- 15 there were houses like residential houses or trees or anything
- 16 around the buildings that you went to.
- 17 A. When I got there, I did not see any houses. I only saw
- 18 sugarcane plantation, trees, and potato plantations. But I did
- 19 not see any houses there.
- 20 [15.47.08]
- 21 Q. When you talk about sugarcane, was it big plantation or only a
- 22 few sugarcane trees?
- 23 A. On that island, there were different kinds of crops.
- 24 Q. So you said that it was an island, was it called an island --
- 25 people over there call it an island, or you observe it by

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

97

- 1 yourself because water surrounded this location?
- 2 A. We could see by our own eyes that it was an island.
- 3 Q. Is that location surrounded by water or from all over the
- 4 directions or only just one side?
- 5 A. I only saw it from one side.
- 6 Q. So you only saw it from one side? As for the other side, you
- 7 did not see it whether or not it is surrounded by water; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 [15.48.39]
- 11 Q. I would like to now ask you on the people who were in the
- 12 building or in that sala (phonetic). You said that you saw people
- 13 in that building. Can you tell the Court whether or not they were
- 14 only male or female or combination of male and female staying in
- 15 that building?
- 16 A. There were male and females staying there together.
- 17 Q. As for the men who were staying there, could you tell the
- 18 Court how many of them were there?
- 19 A. I was not sure. I did not know how many of them exactly. In my
- 20 estimation, there could have been around 20 to 30 men.
- 21 Q. Did anyone of your delegation <actually go into> the building
- 22 <and talk to any of> the <detainees> in that building?
- 23 A. That I do not know.
- 24 Q. Can you clarify it a little further, when you got to that sala
- 25 (phonetic), what did you do precisely over there?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

98

- 1 A. I went to only look at it and then I came back.
- 2 [15.51.10]
- 3 Q. There was one portion of your record of interview which you
- 4 said that you went there to look at the building and some of your
- 5 colleagues went inside the building. And Mr. President, I would
- 6 like to apologize, I do not recall the exact location in his
- 7 record of interview, <I will give the ERN next time,> but I just
- 8 would like him to confirm whether or not his colleagues did go
- 9 inside the building.
- 10 A. Yes, they could have been inside. <Since> they <were military
- 11 personnel who went there, they could have been inside to see.>
- 12 Q. I would like to quote one civil party by the name of No Sates.
- 13 She says and I quote -- in transcript 29 September 2015 at 11.12
- 14 in the morning, she says -- quote: "She was asked by the district
- 15 chief by the name of Hor in that room." So what is the reaction
- 16 -- what is your reaction toward this statement which she said
- 17 that you <went> inside the room and then you asked her whether or
- 18 not she was Cambodian or a Cham?
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Witness, please hold on. Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you may proceed.
- 21 [15.53.14]
- 22 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. President. I do not object to these questions, but
- 24 I would like to make it precisely clear, the name is No Sates.
- 25 MR. PICH ANG:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

99

- 1 Thank you. Yes, the name is No Sates.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Counsel Koppe, you may proceed.
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 I might be mistaken, but I do not recall No Sates speaking about
- 6 being detained on an island. I remember her speaking about being
- 7 detained in Trea village rather than on an island. But correct me
- 8 please if I'm wrong. So I don't see the relevance of her
- 9 testimony in relation to the questions that have just been asked.
- 10 [15.54.00]
- 11 MR. PICH ANG:
- 12 Mr. President, just now I asked the witness about an island which
- 13 the witness mentioned. Actually he said it was <not> an island,
- 14 but actually, he only saw one side of that location which was
- 15 surrounded by water, <while on the other side>, he saw trees and
- 16 plantations over there. So my question was for him to react on
- 17 the statement made by the civil party. So <regarding the issue>
- 18 whether or not it was an island, <> is not my main focus. I just
- 19 <> would like <the witness> to <tell the Chamber whether> the
- 20 statement by the civil party <was true or not> that the witness
- 21 in question <had> actually <been> inside the building and
- 22 interviewed or asked one of the <detainees> over there.
- 23 [15.55.02]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 You can verify your questions because this fact needs to be

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

100

- 1 verified. <This witness is not familiar with that location, that
- 2 is the reason that this fact is not clear. > Was it the school
- 3 building or it was the monastery? And as for the statement made
- 4 by No Sates, it was on a house -- a house which was lifted above
- 5 the ground, which was far from that place. So when you ask the
- 6 questions directly, probably you fail to verify it with the fact
- 7 because you made mention on the statement made by No Sates but it
- 8 seems that it is not consistent with what No Sates said in <her>
- 9 statement.
- 10 MR. PICH ANG:
- 11 Well, I know that there might be some discrepancy on the
- 12 descriptions of the building whether or not it was a house or it
- 13 was a different building. But what I would like to focus is on
- 14 the name of the person, by the name of Hor who interviewed her.
- 15 And I'd like the witness now to react to this statement. And as
- 16 for the location, the house or an island, that is secondary to my
- 17 question, Mr. President.
- 18 [15.56.35]
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Yes, Witness, you may now proceed to answering the question put
- 21 by the counsel.
- 22 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 23 A. I'm afraid I don't know any name Sates. <I did not even
- 24 mention that.>
- 25 BY MR. PICH ANG:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

101

- 1 Q. Mr. Witness, I am not saying that you know Madam No Sates. I
- 2 would like to read. At 11.12.50, she said and I quote: "Ta Hor
- 3 came onto the house for a brief period. I took a nap and then
- 4 they came and they told us that they would tie our hand up to our
- 5 back because it was difficult without doing so. So at that time,
- 6 they ask us to do that." And she said that there were people who
- 7 were from different places, they were Cham people. And those who
- 8 said they were Cham, they could go. And those who accompany them
- 9 were soldiers with one rifle -- AK rifle and a knife. And they
- 10 ask. And then once it was all -- they -- I apologize if it was
- 11 not very clear when I actually quoted this portion of statement.
- 12 But what I'd like to tell the witness that Comrade Hor went onto
- 13 the house. So I would like you to confirm whether or not you
- 14 actually went up to the house.
- 15 [15.58.49]
- 16 MR. BAN SEAK:
- 17 A. To the best of my recollection, I did not enter the house. And
- 18 I did not ask anybody <any> question either.
- 19 Q. And you said you did not know anything about that. Could you
- 20 clarify it, what do you mean by that?
- 21 A. I did not know because I was not there. I went to oversee the
- 22 worksite. I did not oversee the interrogation. And <Ao who> was
- 23 the deputy chief <stayed there>. He was at almost parallel level
- 24 with me. <And it could be because of that the person knew my
- 25 name. I was not known by that name at that time. While living in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

102

- 1 the East Zone, there was no such name. > I think that this name
- 2 was the one I met when I was <working in> the fishing lot.
- 3 [15.59.58]
- 4 Q. I do not want to ask you to confirm on the names because the
- 5 prosecutor has already asked you at length on this issue. I have
- 6 only two more questions for you.
- 7 Besides the two groups who were detained on the house, were there
- 8 any other groups who were being detained in other places at the
- 9 same time?
- 10 A. On this issue, I do not recall. <Only after> they <had been
- 11 taken to> Kaoh Phal <did> I <know of> that incident. <As a matter
- 12 of fact, after> I <had returned from the> study session, <I went
- 13 straight to the worksite. Thus, if I had had to return, I would
- 14 have returned either by water route or walking through the rubber
- 15 plantation in order to go to the worksite.>
- 16 Q. So just now you mention about Kaoh Phal; is that correct?
- 17 A. No, I did not mention <about> Kaoh Phal. During that period,
- 18 the upper echelon called me to attend the study session.
- 19 <According to the plan of the upper echelon, people were to be
- 20 gathered and sent to worksites, and soldiers were to be
- 21 recruited, and sent> to the frontlines. <Thus,> I did not
- 22 actually have time to focus on that issue that you are talking
- 23 about.
- 24 [16.01.31]
- 25 MR. PICH ANG:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 334 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 5 October 2015

103

- 1 Well, I do not have any more questions for you, Mr. Witness. And
- 2 thank you, Mr. President and Your Honours, for the opportunity to
- 3 ask question to the witness.
- 4 [16.01.43]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 The time is now appropriate for the adjournment. The Chamber
- 7 shall adjourn the hearing now and resume tomorrow <6 October
- 8 2015, > at 9 a.m. Tomorrow, the Chamber will resume hearing this
- 9 witness, Ban Seak, and there will be one reserve witness,
- 10 2-TCW-904.
- 11 Mr. Ban Seak, your testimony has not yet come to an end. The
- 12 Chamber wishes to invite you to come to the Court again at 9 a.m.
- 13 tomorrow. And we thank you, Madam Socheata, duty counsel, for
- 14 assisting the witness throughout the testimony today.
- 15 And Court officer is now instructed to coordinate the transport
- 16 for the witness and have him back in this courtroom before 9 a.m.
- 17 Security guards are instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan and
- 18 Nuon Chea to the detention facility, and have them back to
- 19 participate in the hearing tomorrow before 9 a.m.
- 20 The Court is now adjourned.
- 21 (Court adjourns at 1602H)

22

23

24

25