

អត្ថបិនុំប័ម្រះទិសាមញ្ញតូខតុលាការកម្ពបា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះព្យាលាខ្មែងខ្មុំ ។ ខ្មែះព្យាលាខ្មែងខ្ពុំ ។

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អចិន្ទទំនុវិធិះមារមាន្ធតិច

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

13 September 2016 Trial Day 453 ឯកសារជើម

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

ផ្ទុំ ខ្សែ ឆ្នាំ (Date):.................

смs/сғо: Sann Rada

Before the Judges: YA Sokhan, Presiding

Claudia FENZ

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

THOU Mony

YOU Ottara

Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve)

Lawyers for the Accused:

The Accused:

Doreen CHEN Victor KOPPE

NUON Chea

KHIEU Samphan

Victor KOPPE LIV Sovanna KONG Sam Onn Anta GUISSE

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

Maddalena GHEZZI

SE Kolvuthy

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

William SMITH SONG Chorvoin

Marie GUIRAUD

HONG Kimsuon Martine JACQUIN

PICH Ang SIN Soworn VEN Pov

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun

INDEX

Ms. Kasumi NAKAGAWA (2-TCE-82)

Questioning by The President (YA Sokhan)	page 20
Questioning by Ms. SONG Chorvoin	page 38
Questioning by Mr. SMITH	page 57
Questioning by Ms. GUIRAUD	page 101

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Ms. CHEN	English
Judge FENZ	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Ms. GUISSE	French
Mr. KOPPE	English
Judge LAVERGNE	French
Ms. NAKAGAWA (2-TCE-82)	English
Mr. PICH Ang	Khmer
Mr. SMITH	English
The President (YA Sokhan)	Khmer
Ms. SONG Chorvoin	Khmer

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0906H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 For today and tomorrow's proceedings, the Chamber will hear
- 6 testimony of an expert, 2-TCE-82, in relation to the facts on
- 7 regulation of marriage.
- 8 Ms. Se Kolvuthy, please report the attendance of the parties and
- 9 other individuals to today's proceedings.
- 10 [09.08.08]
- 11 THE GREFFIER:
- 12 Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all parties to this case
- 13 are present.
- 14 Mr. Nuon Chea is present in the holding cell downstairs. He has
- 15 waived his right to be present in the courtroom. The waiver has
- 16 been delivered to the greffier.
- 17 And the expert who is to testify today, that is, 2-TCE-82,
- 18 confirms that, to her best knowledge, she has no relationship, by
- 19 blood or by law, to any of the two accused, that is, Nuon Chea
- 20 and Khieu Samphan, or to any of the civil parties admitted in
- 21 this case. The expert is ready to be called by the Chamber.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 [09.08.56]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Thank you, Ms. Se Kolvuthy. The Chamber now decides on the

2

- 1 request by Nuon Chea.
- 2 The Chamber has received a waiver from Nuon Chea, dated 13
- 3 September 2016, which states that, due to his health, that is,
- 4 headache, back pain, he cannot sit or concentrate for long. And
- 5 in order to effectively participate in future hearings, he
- 6 requests to waive his right to be present at the 13 September
- 7 2016 hearing.
- 8 He advises that his counsel advised him about the consequence of
- 9 this waiver, that in no way it can be construed as a waiver of
- 10 his rights to be tried fairly or to challenge evidence presented
- 11 to or admitted by this Court at any time during this trial.
- 12 [09.09.56]
- 13 Having seen the medical report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor
- 14 for the accused at ECCC, dated 13 September 2016, which notes
- 15 that, today, Nuon Chea has back pain and feels dizzy when he sits
- 16 for long and recommends that the Chamber shall grant him his
- 17 request so that he can follow the proceedings remotely from the
- 18 holding cell downstairs.
- 19 Based on the above information and pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the
- 20 ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea his request to
- 21 follow today's proceedings remotely from the holding cell
- 22 downstairs via an audio-visual means.
- 23 The Chamber instructs the AV Unit personnel to link the
- 24 proceedings to the room downstairs so that Nuon Chea can follow.
- 25 That applies for the whole day.

3

- 1 And before we begin hearing testimony of expert 2-TCE-82, the
- 2 Chamber wishes to hear oral submissions and observations by
- 3 parties on two matters.
- 4 First is the request to submit nine documents, that is, the
- 5 disclosure from OCP, document E319/59. Yesterday, Nuon Chea's
- 6 defence sent an email to the Chamber that they wish to make an
- 7 oral observation on the request to admit these nine documents.
- 8 For that reason, the floor will be given to the parties to
- 9 provide oral observation on this; and first, to the defence team
- 10 for Nuon Chea to respond to that request.
- 11 You have the floor.
- 12 [09.12.02]
- 13 MS. CHEN:
- 14 Thank you and good morning, Mr. President, Judges, parties and
- 15 everyone in the courtroom. We'll be quite brief.
- 16 Yesterday afternoon at 15.43, we received notification of nine
- 17 new documents from Cases 003 and 004, which is document E319/59.
- 18 So there were nine documents and, from what we can see, we do not
- 19 have access to the documents themselves yet.
- 20 We understand from the annex describing the documents that
- 21 they're dated from November 2013, November 2014, October 2015 and
- 22 March and June 2016.
- 23 [09.12.40]
- 24 From what we can also see from the summary annex, five of the
- 25 documents are indicated as containing potentially exculpatory

4

- 1 information. This is documents numbered 2 and numbers 6 to 9.
- 2 Two of the documents are disclosed as they are allegedly related
- 3 to the Prosecution's Rule 87 request, E319/58, and these are
- 4 documents 4 and 5, and there is no justification provided for the
- 5 disclosure of the two remaining documents, numbers 1 and 3.
- 6 From what we can see again from the summary annex, as we do not
- 7 have access to the documents, six are related to the Regulation
- 8 of Marriage.
- 9 So we just wanted to make a number of brief submissions with
- 10 respect to these documents.
- 11 The first thing is that, from what we can observe, the
- 12 Prosecution indicates that it sought authorization to disclose
- 13 the documents in June and August 2016, and that the OCIJ
- 14 subsequently provided authorization for disclosure on the 31st of
- 15 August 2016, but two of the documents actually had been
- 16 authorized for disclosure back in December 2015.
- 17 So the submissions we would like to make are as to the timing of
- 18 the Prosecution's disclosure of potentially exculpatory materials
- 19 dating from as early as 2013 only hours before the appearance of
- 20 the expert, 2-TCE-82.
- 21 [09.14.06]
- 22 Our understanding is that the Prosecution has an obligation to
- 23 disclose exculpatory materials at the earliest opportunity. Now,
- 24 as we said, some of the disclosures appear to be dated from 2013.
- 25 From our perspective, this appears to be a violation of the

5

- 1 Prosecution's disclosure obligations, so what we'd like to know
- 2 is: Why is the Prosecution only disclosing these documents now?
- 3 In addition, even though the Prosecution appears to have had
- 4 authorization to disclose the documents on the 31st of August, it
- 5 waited until the day before the appearance of 2-TCE-82 to
- 6 disclose the documents on the Regulation of Marriage. Again, we
- 7 would like to know why this has happened.
- 8 From our perspective, what this means at this stage is that we
- 9 are losing an opportunity to question the Regulation of Marriage
- 10 expert witness on potentially exculpatory evidence. And I will
- 11 note that, according to the summary annex, the evidence in
- 12 question was produced by the same NGO, which produced the two
- 13 reports on the case file, which formed the core basis of the
- 14 decision to appoint the expert witness in such capacity.
- 15 [09.15.24]
- 16 I mean, at this point, I think it's necessary to point out a bit
- 17 of context here. As you know already, but as we should state
- 18 again, we, the Defence, have been bombarded with disclosures and
- 19 requests for the admission of new evidence for nearly two years
- 20 now while also trying to participate full-time in hearings here.
- 21 It's also worth noting, I think, that last week, when we made
- 22 oral submissions on Rule 87 requests and our Rule 93 request
- 23 concerning this expert witness, the Prosecution criticized us
- 24 heavily for allegedly being late in making our Rule 93 request.
- 25 Frankly speaking, I think that's the pot calling the kettle black

6

- 1 here.
- 2 [09.16.06]
- 3 So in summary, what we would like is to know why the Prosecution
- 4 is disclosing these documents, especially in relation to
- 5 documents number 1 and number 3, for which no reason appears to
- 6 have been provided.
- 7 And secondly, we would like to know -- I mean, as we've already
- 8 mentioned, the documents to which the disclosures relate were
- 9 admitted into evidence a long time ago. They failed to explain
- 10 why they are only disclosing them now, and not at a time when we
- 11 could review them and use them to challenge the admissibility of
- 12 the relevant documents.
- 13 And finally, we should point out that, if and when we do finally
- 14 receive access to these documents, we may also wish to recall the
- 15 expert witness at a later date, depending on the documents
- 16 disclosed.
- 17 Thank you very much.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Thank you, counsel for Nuon Chea.
- 20 And now I'd like to hand the floor to the defence team for Khieu
- 21 Samphan to respond to that <request>.
- 22 [09.17.16]
- 23 MS. GUISSE:
- 24 Good morning, Mr. President.
- 25 I think you are not asking me to respond to the Prosecution

7

- 1 request to admit documents to which we don't have access. Perhaps
- 2 all I can do is to provide remarks on what my colleague has just
- 3 said.
- 4 Quite simply, yes, we have, indeed, observed, as the Nuon Chea
- 5 team observed yesterday, the disclosure of these documents. If we
- 6 may add something regarding chronology, <it's true> there's a
- 7 number of documents, two in particular, as my colleague stated,
- 8 that are submitted with a report by someone from the same NGO as
- 9 the expert who is going to appear.
- 10 [09.18.00]
- 11 I would like to simply point out that, not only were these
- 12 documents available on the 17th of December 2015 -- and they had
- 13 already been made available by the Co-Investigating Judges,
- 14 particularly on the 25th of July 2016 motion, E319/52 -- the
- 15 Co-Prosecutors were already referring to documents related to
- 16 that same report. And the same person who was interviewed, even
- 17 though we do not understand why these disclosures were made
- 18 rather tardily since they were already available on the 17th of
- 19 December 2015. And on the 7th of December 2015 <their disclosure
- 20 was authorized>.
- 21 And even the -- when the Prosecution presented motion E319/52,
- 22 they referred to the existence of <these> documents since their
- 23 motion had to do with an application to admit into evidence
- 24 documents that were in the same batch. And I do not understand
- 25 why they've waited for the eve of the appearance of this expert

8

- 1 to make this motion. <That is what I wanted to add, but let> me
- 2 point out that document <27 from annex> E319/52.2 of the motion
- 3 dated July 2016 <made by the Co-Prosecutors>. They are of the
- 4 same batch as the documents that the Prosecution <disclosed to us
- 5 yesterday>.
- 6 [09.19.39]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 And I hand the floor now to the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties
- 10 if you wish to do so.
- 11 MR. PICH ANG:
- 12 Good morning, Mr. President.
- 13 We do not have any observation to make. Thank you.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 I now hand the floor to the Co-Prosecutor to respond to those
- 16 observations.
- 17 [09.20.06]
- 18 MR. SMITH:
- 19 Good morning, Your Honours, Mr. President.
- 20 Firstly, in relation to the disclosure of these documents,
- 21 authorization to disclose most of the documents was given by the
- 22 Co-Investigative Judges on the 31s of August this month, or last
- 23 month. As a result of a review of those documents, there were
- 24 four documents relating to surveys produced by Rochelle Braaf,
- 25 who produced a report on sexual violence against ethnic

9

- 1 minorities, and four of them, that's document 6 to 9, related to
- 2 statements from witnesses stating that they weren't forcibly
- 3 married. That's why they were disclosed.
- 4 They were also disclosed along with another 23 documents from her
- 5 study, which state that 23 of those people were forcibly married,
- 6 and there was an 87.4 application that was put forward on the 1st
- 7 of September. It was felt that it was appropriate if the
- 8 Prosecution were putting forward an 87.4 application of
- 9 inculpatory materials relating to forced marriage from the
- 10 surveys used in this report, not of this witness, but another
- 11 report before the Chamber, that it would be only fair that the
- 12 other four surveys be provided.
- 13 [09.21.50]
- 14 We've asked for those documents to be released to the Defence.
- 15 Your Honours would have seen those documents. None of those
- 16 documents, those four surveys stating that these four people
- 17 weren't forcibly married, would really affect the
- 18 cross-examination of this witness, this expert witness today.
- 19 In relation to the Defence's complaint that documents 1 and 3,
- 20 there's no reason why they are disclosed, if Your Honours look at
- 21 the annex, E319/59.2, you'll see that both of these documents are
- 22 statements from proposed witnesses, and they were proposed by the
- 23 Prosecution at the beginning of the case.
- 24 And Your Honours have advised us on our disclosure obligations.
- 25 We're well aware of our obligations to disclose exculpatory

10

- 1 material on an ongoing basis. And Your Honours have stated in
- 2 E363, which is the disclosure decision of the 22nd of August --
- 3 October, sorry, 2015 that we have an ongoing obligation to
- 4 disclose any exculpatory material right through the end of the
- 5 trial.
- 6 [09.23.12]
- 7 And the Defence would appreciate as documents are placed on the
- 8 case file of Case 003 and 004 and as they're reviewed and as
- 9 authorization is given by the Investigative Judges that there
- 10 will be material that will relate to points in the proceedings
- 11 that will be disclosed afterwards. That's the nature of having a
- 12 trial and investigations running in parallel as long as that
- 13 material is provided with due diligence. And we state it
- 14 definitely was on the basis that authorization was given on the
- 15 31st of August for the majority of the material.
- 16 When authorization is given for the underlying material from
- 17 Rochelle Braaf's report, which resulted in our 87.4 exculpatory
- 18 applications, it was also noted that she had a statement that was
- 19 given to the Investigative Judges with an attached survey form,
- and that's the two documents named 4 and 5.
- 21 Now, there was -- even though authorization to disclose those
- 22 were given in December last year, Your Honours will remember the
- 23 Defence have complained bitterly about being bombarded with
- 24 information, certainly at the beginning of the trial and
- 25 throughout. Both teams have complained that they've been

11

- 1 receiving too much information.
- 2 [09.24.52]
- 3 And as Your Honours are aware, the Prosecution's approach to
- 4 disclosure has been an expansive one from the beginning of the
- 5 trial. It's always been the Prosecution's view that any material
- 6 that relates to incidents or events being heard in this trial
- 7 should be disclosed to the Defence.
- 8 As a result of that wide approach to our exculpatory obligations,
- 9 which we adopted, as Your Honours remember, the Defence
- 10 complained that they were receiving too much material. And Your
- 11 Honours will remember the Nuon Chea team at one stage basically
- 12 saying they just don't want any more material. But regardless, we
- 13 still have our disclosure obligations.
- 14 [09.25.44]
- 15 Then with your decision, Your Honours, on the 22nd of October
- 16 '15, that's E363, Your Honours brought in a more stricter regime,
- 17 one which urged the Prosecution to focus their disclosures,
- 18 particularly in relation to exculpatory, to not everything that
- 19 relates to events and incidents that are on trial, but to
- 20 material that is, on its face, truly, truly exculpatory.
- 21 So once that decision was received, even though the statement of
- 22 Rochelle Braaf was on the case file and we'd received authority
- 23 to disclose it back in 2015, after the narrower disclosure
- 24 obligations that Your Honours placed on the Prosecution, at that
- 25 stage, it was thought that it wouldn't fit within the criteria

12

- 1 that Your Honours set. And certainly, her statement and the
- 2 survey form is not exculpatory. It's information in relation to
- 3 the production of the report. It doesn't contain exculpatory
- 4 information. And so on that narrow regime, it was decided by the
- 5 Prosecution that those documents would not be disclosed, and
- 6 that's documents 4 and 5 in the annex.
- 7 However, when we did the review of the underlying material of
- 8 Rochelle Braaf's report, as we -- as I mentioned a moment ago, of
- 9 the 47 surveys that supported her report, we put forward 23 as a
- 10 Rule 87.4 application as being exculpatory.
- 11 [09.27.44]
- 12 When we did that, we then thought it was appropriate to put
- 13 forward the other four surveys, which stated that these
- 14 interviewees were not forcibly married. It was done on that
- 15 basis.
- 16 And then the decision was made that if we're putting forward the
- 17 surveys, it would be helpful for the Defence that they actually
- 18 have the methodology, and so we decided to put the statement
- 19 forward then. But certainly, that statement of Rochelle Braaf,
- 20 you can see at 4 and 5 they don't contain exculpatory material,
- 21 but it's simply just being helpful to the Defence.
- 22 Your Honours, in relation to today's testimony, you've seen the
- 23 material. I'll wrap up, Your Honours. You've seen the material,
- 24 and I think it's quite clear that this witness will be able to
- 25 testify that material doesn't impact any significantly to her

13

- 1 testimony.
- 2 [09.28.55]
- 3 And I will remind Your Honours when the Defence, Nuon Chea,
- 4 starts talking about due diligence of the Prosecution, they were
- 5 the ones last week that asked for 2,200 documents to be provided
- 6 by the expert within seven days, and yet they knew the expert was
- 7 appearing before this Court on the 3rd of June.
- 8 And one final report -- point. In relation to Nuon Chea's
- 9 submission that it was on the basis of Rochelle Braaf's report,
- 10 or part of the basis that this witness was called to testify,
- 11 that's not correct. This witness was called to testify, as you
- 12 can see from the decision, on the basis of her reports, not on
- 13 the basis of another person that produced a report.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 [09.29.55]
- 16 JUDGE FENZ:
- 17 Can I just try to focus everybody's minds on what I think is the
- 18 most problematic issue here?
- 19 There are documents which are potentially relevant to questioning
- 20 this expert. Now, as a piece of information, it takes us about 48
- 21 hours between disclosure and allowing the parties access, so this
- 22 is clearly not helpful. Getting a disclosure at 4 o'clock the day
- 23 before expert testimony starts is clearly not helpful, to put it
- 24 mildly. And I would have expected submissions or explanations by
- 25 the Prosecution to focus on this part of the, well, complaint.

14

- 1 [09.30.50]
- 2 MR. SMITH:
- 3 Your Honour, the timing is just coincidental. The authorization
- 4 for these documents came on the 31st of August. That was within
- 5 12 days. The 87.4 applications which Your Honours had asked for,
- 6 the final 87.4 applications, perhaps with some exceptions, was
- 7 due on the 1st of September.
- 8 The Prosecution prioritized those documents, those other 23
- 9 surveys, and put them forward as 87.4, and these four extra
- 10 surveys were then attended to and then disclosed.
- 11 If I could just say one last thing: Your Honours, the
- 12 Prosecution's case certainly -- I mean, it's difficult for the
- 13 Defence -- they haven't got the material. The Prosecution's case
- 14 is not that every person that was married in Cambodia during
- 15 Democratic Kampuchea was forcibly married. That's not the case.
- 16 But what the Prosecution's case is, there was a system of
- 17 forcible marriages.
- 18 The fact that these four surveys state that four people said that
- 19 they weren't forcibly married is not directly exculpatory to the
- 20 case, but it's important that that material be disclosed.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Nuon Chea's counsel, you have the floor.
- 23 [09.32.25]
- 24 MS. CHEN:
- 25 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just a few brief remarks in

15

- 1 response.
- 2 From what we heard from the Prosecution's submissions, it's still
- 3 unclear to us why this disclosure has occurred only now when some
- 4 of the documents date back from as early as November 2013.
- 5 One other point is, from what we understood from oral submissions
- 6 made in the Court by the International Co-Prosecutor a few weeks
- 7 ago, the Prosecution had allegedly cleared whatever backlog it
- 8 was that they had in terms of materials that needed to be
- 9 disclosed to us. We understood that to mean all documents that
- 10 were dated earlier than whenever we had that discussion -- I
- 11 think it was August 2016 -- if they were relevant, they had been
- 12 disclosed to us and that, moving forward, any future disclosures
- 13 we would receive would only be documents that had been produced
- 14 after that day.
- 15 [09.33.18]
- 16 It now appears that that is incorrect, so we're just at a loss as
- 17 to what this means in terms of whether we're going to be
- 18 receiving future disclosures relevant to trial segments moving
- 19 forward.
- 20 And just one last point is that, last week, when we made the oral
- 21 submissions with respect to the Rule 83 and Rule 93 requests that
- 22 we were making with respect to this upcoming expert witness, the
- 23 Prosecution was the one to note that this trial tropic had been
- 24 included in Case 002/02 for a long time and that, therefore, we
- 25 should have known what kind of material would be relevant and

16

- 1 ought to be requested. Again, I think that's a principle that
- 2 should apply equally to the Prosecution.
- 3 Thank you very much.
- 4 [09.34.12]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 The Chamber is now well aware of the issues, and the Chamber
- 7 would like to conclude the discussion on the topic now.
- 8 Number 2, the Chamber received an email from the Co-Prosecutor in
- 9 relation to two maps, one of which is intended to be used by the
- 10 Co-Prosecutors when examining the expert, so the Co-Prosecutor
- 11 would like to request to admit the map into evidence.
- 12 And now the Chamber is hearing the submissions on the request to
- 13 admit that map.
- 14 And the floor first is given to the defence team for Mr. Nuon
- 15 Chea. You may now proceed.
- 16 [09.35.02]
- 17 MS. CHEN:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 19 We don't oppose the admission of this map. The only thing that we
- 20 would point out is we were all required to submit lists of
- 21 documents that we intended to use for this expert some time ago.
- 22 We adhered to that and that, obviously, took us a lot of
- 23 resources to be able to do so at that point, so we seem to think
- 24 that this is a rather late request, but we don't oppose the
- 25 admission of the document.

17

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Thank you, Counsel.
- 3 And the defence team for Mr. Khieu Samphan, you may now proceed
- 4 if you want to address the Chamber.
- 5 [09.35.38]
- 6 MS. GUISSE:
- 7 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 8 I must say that I'm not quite sure of the issue of the commented
- 9 map. I understood that the basis of the maps that the Prosecution
- 10 wishes to use <-- please correct me if I'm wrong --> is a
- 11 document with an E3 number, E3/2959. So if I understood the
- 12 principle of the commented map properly, it is the prosecutor
- 13 himself who annotated a map that already existed on the case
- 14 file, so it's not an annotation coming from the expert.
- 15 So if it's an annotation coming from the Prosecutor himself, we
- 16 object to <using> this map because we're not here to create
- 17 evidence. Either we have the expert comment the map and the
- 18 expert will annotate it, but none of the parties should comment
- 19 <themselves on> documents that should be tendered into evidence.
- 20 But if I didn't understand the Prosecution's position properly, I
- 21 stand to be corrected. But if comments are going to be added by
- 22 the Prosecution himself, then we will oppose to tendering this
- 23 into evidence.
- 24 [09.37.00]
- 25 JUDGE FENZ:

18

- 1 I guess we should perhaps give the Prosecution a chance to
- 2 actually make the request in a formal way. But having said that,
- 3 the good news is we have just been informed by staff that the
- 4 documents that have been disclosed are available effective now
- 5 for the parties.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 <Mr. Deputy Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed, but please be --
- 8 make it brief.
- 9 MR. SMITH:
- 10 We don't believe the map is on the case file. E3/2959 is the book
- 11 of the expert.
- 12 The reason why we propose putting the map forward is that will
- 13 assist in helping perhaps the witness to explain the different
- 14 locations that her studies were conducted in in Cambodia at the
- 15 different provinces, and perhaps it would give an easier
- 16 graphical representation of that so we can understand her
- 17 evidence more clearly.
- 18 [09.38.14]
- 19 In relation to the annotations on the map, they are largely from
- 20 -- are from the areas in which this expert and the other expert
- 21 reports that are before Your Honours at the area in which they
- 22 conducted their surveys of -- in relation to forcible -- in
- 23 relation to sexual violence. And so what the proposal would be
- 24 is, when we're discussing that report, any particular report with
- 25 the witness, that that annotated map go up that would visually

19

- 1 represent the results of where those surveys were conducted.
- 2 So it's not a submission by the Prosecution; just interposing the
- 3 -- some of the data from the other expert reports just to help in
- 4 the discussion.
- 5 So I mean, the annotated ones, we're quite happy that are just
- 6 used for demonstration purposes, but the actual core map, the
- 7 Cambodia network map, we would ask that that be entered into
- 8 evidence to assist in understanding the evidence -- this evidence
- 9 and other evidence in the case.
- 10 [09.39.30]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 13 And now the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil party, if you wish to
- 14 respond.
- 15 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 16 Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning to all of you.
- 17 We have no comments regarding the map.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Thank you, Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties.
- 20 (Judges deliberate)
- 21 [09.41.17]
- 22 JUDGE FENZ:
- 23 Just an issue of -- for the Prosecution, short question, short
- 24 question on the organization.
- 25 Do you need the maps until the break, or can we make the decision

20

- 1 after the break?
- 2 MR. SMITH:
- 3 That can wait, Your Honour?
- 4 (Judges deliberate)
- 5 [09.41.51]
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 We now resume the hearing.
- 8 Court officer, please invite 2-TCE-82 into the courtroom.
- 9 (Short pause)
- 10 (Witness enters the courtroom)
- 11 [09.43.25]
- 12 OUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT
- 13 Q. Good morning, the expert. What is your name?
- 14 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 15 A. Good morning. My name is Kasumi Nakagawa.
- 16 Q. When were you born?
- 17 A. I was born in 1972, June 11.
- 18 Q. What is your nationality?
- 19 A. I am Japanese.
- 20 Q. Thank you. Ms. Expert.
- 21 And what is your current place of residence?
- 22 A. My official residence is in Japan, Kobe.
- 23 [09.44.30]
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- 25 And what is your current occupation?

21

- 1 A. I am teaching at Pannasastra University of Cambodia in Phnom
- 2 Penh.
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- 4 What religion do you believe or follow?
- 5 A. I do not follow any religion.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 The greffier made an oral report this morning that, to the best
- 8 of your knowledge, you are not related, by blood or by law, to
- 9 any of the two accused, that is, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or
- 10 to any of the civil parties admitted in this case. Is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes, it's correct.
- 13 [09.45.35]
- 14 Q. Thank you, Ms. Expert.
- 15 Ms. Kasumi, pursuant to Internal Rule 31.2 of the Extraordinary
- 16 Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia and as an expert witness
- 17 before the Chamber, you are required to take an oath or
- 18 affirmation in accordance with your religion first prior to your
- 19 testimony before the Chamber.
- 20 Greffier Maddalena, please lead the oath-taking proceedings of
- 21 the expert before the Chamber.
- 22 THE GREFFIER:
- 23 Good morning, Ms. Nakagawa. Please stand up and please repeat
- 24 after me.
- 25 I solemnly swear that I will assist the Trial Chamber honestly,

22

- 1 confidentially and to the best of my ability.
- 2 [09.46.29]
- 3 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 4 I solemnly swear that I will assist the Trial Chamber honestly,
- 5 confidentially and to the best of my ability.
- 6 THE GREFFIER:
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 BY MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Q. The Chamber and the parties are grateful to you for coming to
- 10 testify in -- before the chamber in the ascertainment of the
- 11 truth for the Cambodian people.
- 12 And the Chamber is now asking you about your academic background,
- 13 and a number of your writings.
- 14 Ms. Kasumi, can you tell the Chamber about your educational --
- 15 education background.
- 16 [09.47.20]
- 17 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 18 A. Thank you.
- 19 All my academic backgrounds are done in Japan. After I completed
- 20 my high school, I entered the Kwansei Gakuin University in 1991,
- 21 and finished in 1995. And I studied about Pol Pot. And my
- 22 Bachelor degree was about Pol Pot, how Pol Pot took power from
- 23 the political point of view and also from the international
- 24 relations perspective at the time.
- 25 And I continued my Master degree at Osaka University from 1996,

23

- 1 which I completed in -- sorry, excuse me, in 2000. And I studied
- 2 and investigated about the Japanese foreign policy towards
- 3 Cambodia, particularly in the field of culture. And I got my
- 4 Master degree in international public policy from Osaka
- 5 University in 2000.
- 6 [09.48.47]
- 7 Q. Thank you, Ms. Expert.
- 8 When did you arrive in Cambodia, and for what purpose?
- 9 A. For the first time, I came as a tourist to Cambodia in 1995,
- 10 but I came to Cambodia to work in 1997 as a special assistant to
- 11 the Japanese Embassy in Phnom Penh employed by the Ministry of
- 12 Foreign Affairs, Japan. And I worked in the Embassy for two
- 13 years, until 1999, March.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- 15 Can you read, write, listen and speak Khmer fluently?
- 16 A. Thank you very much for asking.
- 17 The -- I'm very happy to also speak in Khmer. I read Khmer, but
- 18 my Khmer writing is very bad.
- 19 [09.49.58]
- 20 Q. Thank you, Ms. Expert.
- 21 As of now, what topics have you studied and researched in
- 22 relation to Cambodia and, in particular, the Democratic
- 23 Kampuchea?
- 24 A. Thank you very much.
- 25 As a gender expert, I have done a lot of researches about gender

24

- 1 or women in Cambodia, and have many publications on that. I have
- 2 been working with UN, international and national NGOs, and
- 3 particularly with the government of Cambodia, Ministry of Women's
- 4 Affairs, as a consultant and has studied a lot about Cambodian
- 5 women and men, also not only at the grassroots level, but also to
- 6 engage in the policy formulation of the government.
- 7 In regard to the Democratic Kampuchea, or Khmer Rouge, the -- I
- 8 have done some studies so far which all relate to the topic of
- 9 the day, forced marriage.
- 10 The first one was back in 2006 when I was working at the
- 11 Cambodian NGO called Cambodian Defenders Project; that I was a
- 12 project manager to carry out the study on sexual violence or I
- 13 call it gender-based violence during the Khmer Rouge regime. And
- 14 this research documented many stories of sexual violence against
- 15 women and forced marriages and rape in the forced marriages
- 16 during the Democratic Kampuchea in 2006.
- 17 [09.52.04]
- 18 The second one, I did a research in 2008 on the same topic, but
- 19 it was particularly only for the sexual violence and forced
- 20 marriages. I did with my students, approximately 200 students,
- 21 that I had at Pannasastra University, and we did the research
- 22 into the topic.
- 23 And in 2014, I did another research on gender-based violence
- 24 against sexual minorities during the Pol Pot regime or Khmer
- 25 Rouge regime, and I documented many stories of sexual violence

25

- 1 and forced marriages that attacked the sexual minorities in a
- 2 very different way from many Cambodians.
- 3 From 2014 to 2015, I did another research that I titled
- 4 "Motherhood at War" which I wanted to investigate the pregnancy
- 5 during the Khmer Rouge time. And I also documented many stories
- 6 of marriages and rape or pregnancies in the Khmer Rouge time.
- 7 [09.53.40]
- 8 After this since exactly one year ago until now, I have been
- 9 doing another study on "Childhood at War" which I investigate the
- 10 life of children during the Khmer Rouge time that how the
- 11 children were denied their childhood in the war. And I also
- 12 collected many stories of forced marriages that small girls were
- 13 forced into marriages.
- 14 That so far, I have done those researches on particularly about
- 15 the Democratic Kampuchea.
- 16 Q. Why are you interested in conducting your research on these
- 17 topics?
- 18 A. Thank you so much for asking.
- 19 The simple answer is I want to know why it happened. When I was
- 20 in university, the -- there are a lot of mixed information about
- 21 Democratic Kampuchea, and I couldn't find out why it happened,
- 22 why Khmer Rouge took power and why many people had to be dead or
- 23 killed in the Khmer Rouge time. And I continued on to have this
- 24 curiosity that I want to know why it happened, but because of my
- 25 expertise that I am a gender expert, I was particularly

26

- 1 interested how women were affected by the war, how women were
- 2 disproportionately impacted because of the regime. And I couldn't
- 3 find studies.
- 4 [09.55.43]
- 5 When I was teaching in the class, my students did not know about
- 6 anything. They didn't know anything about sexual violence. They
- 7 didn't know anything about forced marriages in -- during the
- 8 Khmer Rouge. So I thought I could contribute by gathering the
- 9 information and evidences of why it happened, particularly from
- 10 women's point of view, because so far we don't have any
- 11 historical evidence from women's point of view. All histories
- 12 about Khmer Rouge were written by men from male perspectives.
- 13 So that's why I was very motivated to do those researches.
- 14 [09.56.36]
- 15 Q. Thank you, Ms. Expert.
- 16 When did you start conducting your work title "Gender-based
- 17 Violence during the Khmer Rouge Regime: Stories of the survivors
- 18 for the Democratic Kampuchea 1975 through 1979", and when was the
- 19 first publication?
- 20 A. Thank you very much.
- 21 I started the -- to have the idea in 2005, and it was very
- 22 difficult to find a sponsor, so it was only in 2006 that I could
- 23 manage to make a team to do the research within the Cambodian
- 24 Defenders Project. And my -- and after the research -- it was
- 25 very, very painful research for me personally because I

27

- 1 continuously listened to the horrible stories from the survivors
- 2 who experienced the horrific, traumatic experience, so I paused a
- 3 little and I wrote a small piece of research paper by using the
- 4 evidence in Japanese first.
- 5 So the very first publication was actually in Japanese. It was
- 6 like my brainstorming to construct how I can write a book. And
- 7 then I published the first book in July 2007. This is the book,
- 8 the first publications in English that I collected those stories
- 9 that I personally want to use in my publications.
- 10 [09.58.32]
- 11 And the -- if I may add, Your Honour, the -- I used the same body
- 12 of these documents to write this book that maybe you have in your
- 13 hand. This has the additional information from my research in
- 14 2008.
- 15 JUDGE FENZ:
- 16 Sorry for interrupting. The record doesn't see what you are
- 17 doing, so if you are referring to books, please refer to the
- 18 titles.
- 19 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 20 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
- 21 So let me go back that my first publication that Your Honour
- 22 asked me was back in 2007, July, titled "Gender-based violence
- 23 during the Khmer Rouge Regime: Stories of survivors from the
- 24 Democratic Kampuchea 1975-1979". And I used the same information
- 25 from that book and published the book in December 2008 titled

28

- 1 "Gender-based violence during the Khmer Rouge: Stories of
- 2 survivors from the Democratic Kampuchea 1979-1979 (sic)", the
- 3 second edition.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 [09.59.50]
- 6 BY MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Q. Thank you.
- 8 What areas or issues did you conduct your research in producing
- 9 this report, and please make it brief.
- 10 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 11 A. Thank you very much.
- 12 The initial idea was I wanted to collect any stories that were
- 13 linking to sexual violence during the Democratic Kampuchea. I
- 14 didn't have specific idea of what type, but through my baseline
- 15 interviews with people in Phnom Penh, particularly activists and
- 16 academics, I knew that there was rape. So rape was one of the
- 17 primary target of my investigations. And through those
- 18 investigation, we came up to know other forms of sexual violences
- 19 that we also documented.
- 20 [10.00.59]
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- 22 And what research methodology did you use in producing your
- 23 report? What are the sources of information for your report? Did
- 24 you interview people and, if so, who they were and how many?
- 25 A. Yes. Thank you very much.

29

- 1 This research was carried out by the Cambodian Defenders Project
- 2 which I was a project manager for this project, and also the
- 3 Publication and Advocacy Unit. So we mobilized our resources
- 4 within this human rights NGO.
- 5 [10.01.42]
- 6 The NGO had acquired a large number of volunteers, mostly from
- 7 the line of the Provincial Department of Women's Affairs, who are
- 8 helping the women in the villages who are suffering from domestic
- 9 violence or other forms of gender-based violence.
- 10 So we asked their cooperation, and 50 of those people became the
- 11 volunteers from five provinces, and we trained 10 volunteers out
- of 50 in Phnom Penh. We conducted our intensive training for two
- 13 days for those 10 researchers who go into the village to do the
- 14 baseline study.
- 15 So after the two days' training, 10 volunteers who were trained
- 16 went back to their own provinces and trained other remaining 40
- 17 volunteers to do the research; in total, 50 volunteers.
- 18 They collected total 1,500 information -- 1,500 people's evidence
- 19 of their experiences during the Khmer Rouge time. And 300 from
- 20 each province, so five provinces.
- 21 And all the data was collected by handwriting from the
- 22 volunteers. And they were sent back to the head office of the
- 23 Cambodian Defenders Project, and we screened out of those 1,500
- 24 people who could tell the stories of sexual violences with the
- 25 evidence to the researchers, that is, to me.

30

- 1 [10.03.37]
- 2 And we selected -- if I am not wrong, we selected about 100
- 3 people out of 1,500 whom we thought that we should meet to do the
- 4 interviews. In the questionnaires in baseline, we had a question,
- 5 "Did you witness the sexual violence? Did you experience the
- 6 sexual violence?" And the last question in many, many questions
- 7 on the bottom was, "If there is a foreign researcher coming to
- 8 ask you to interview about sexual violence during the Democratic
- 9 Kampuchea, do you have something to tell?"
- 10 And then if somebody who click this, based on the information
- 11 they gave, we also selected those people to do actual interview.
- 12 So first baseline was for 1,500 people in five provinces, and we
- 13 selected approximately 100 people to meet to actually listen to
- 14 their stories. And my team consisting of me and one -- another
- 15 researcher with a translator, we went to conduct the interviews
- 16 separately in different provinces.
- 17 [10.04.56]
- 18 And my memory is not correct, but I met approximately 50 plus
- 19 people within my capacity as a researcher in this research.
- 20 And after we document -- we tape recorded all the conversations
- 21 with strict confidentiality. And after we brought back all the
- 22 tape recording, the -- we had a person who made a transcript so
- 23 the tape recording interview was transcripted in Khmer first, and
- 24 we hired the translator who translated all those transcripts. And
- 25 then those final products in English were used as a base for the

31

- 1 studies.
- 2 [10.05.50]
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- 4 And you also produced another report entitled "I Want to Tell You
- 5 Stories of Sexual Violence during Democratic Kampuchea". That
- is document $\langle E3/3417 \text{ (sic)} \rangle$.
- 7 Can you tell the Chamber, when did you start your research in
- 8 order to write that report, and when it was first published?
- 9 A. Thank you very much.
- 10 Your Honour, this report was not written by me. It was written by
- 11 Ms. Bridgette, who was a research team member in my team in the
- 12 research. She was my colleague. We conducted the research
- 13 together.
- 14 And she produced this paper based on her background as a lawyer,
- 15 so she has a lot of legal analysis. And I did not touch upon this
- 16 paper.
- 17 [10.07.01]
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 And can you tell the Chamber what issues were the main focus of
- 20 this report, if you can describe it briefly?
- 21 A. The -- I may be wrong, but we share -- Ms. Bridgette and I
- 22 share the common goal that we want to document the evidences of
- 23 sexual violence during the Democratic Kampuchea, so her purpose
- 24 was -- one of the main purpose should have been to gather the
- 25 evidence, but in addition, because she was an intern at Cambodian

32

- 1 Defenders Project, this -- not dispatched, but she came from the
- 2 Harvard University. And it was one of her coursework.
- 3 So I think she wanted to also bring some legal arguments about
- 4 the sexual violence during the Democratic Kampuchea.
- 5 [10.08.12]
- 6 Q. And can you tell the Chamber the sources of reference in
- 7 producing the report and how many people were interviewed?
- 8 A. Excuse me, Your Honour. Is it about "I Want to Tell You"
- 9 report, or--?
- 10 The sources -- the evidences from the survivors are the same. We
- 11 used the same evidences from approximately 100 people's tape
- 12 recording and transcript and the translations. So we used the
- 13 same evidences. But for the interviews with the experts or the
- 14 references -- the literature review references, I think she
- 15 referred many from the international tribunals and other
- 16 documentations that we also shared from Cambodia about the
- 17 Democratic Kampuchea.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 I'd like to hand the floor to Judge Fenz -- rather, to Judge
- 21 Lavergne.
- 22 [10.09.30]
- 23 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 24 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 25 In fact, before we rule on the requests for admissibility

33

- 1 regarding the maps as tabled by the Prosecution, I would request
- 2 some clarifications of the prosecutor.
- 3 Mr. Prosecutor, if I understood correctly, you are asking for six
- 4 maps to be admitted into evidence. Is that the case? And these
- 5 six maps, at least as regards the first, has to do with the road
- 6 network of Cambodia which doesn't have any particular
- 7 annotations, but for the five other maps, we are talking of
- 8 indications of geographical zones.
- 9 [10.10.21]
- 10 These indications are red or orange <points> and, if I understood
- 11 correctly, there are five maps since each of those five maps
- 12 correspond to specific studies conducted, studies that were
- 13 either conducted by the expert here present and who is about to
- 14 testify, or studies that were conducted by other researchers.
- 15 What I didn't quite understand in your submissions is the
- 16 following: what do the indications of geographical zones
- 17 correspond to? Are these indications of geographical zones with
- 18 regard to zones in which the <research was conducted, > or are
- 19 these zones in which sexual violence was committed and reported,
- 20 and do all these studies that served as a basis for the
- 21 production of these annotated maps -- <were> all these studies
- 22 admitted into evidence, are <they> on the record?
- 23 So we should know the precise purpose of those maps. And <which
- 24 exactly are> the maps that you intend to use in examining the
- 25 expert here present.

34

- 1 [10.11.55]
- 2 MR. SMITH:
- 3 Thank you, Your Honour.
- 4 The core basis of the application is that the underlying map, the
- 5 Cambodia road network map, be admitted into evidence. That's
- 6 unannotated. That's the core application.
- 7 The annotated maps, which show the areas, the geographical zones,
- 8 as you mentioned, in fact, provinces, where the authors of the
- 9 reports sought their information as the basis of the report and
- 10 it's not clear in some cases whether or not the provinces in
- 11 which they sought their information, conducted their surveys,
- 12 whether, in fact, in all of those reports, they received reports
- 13 of forced marriage within those particular provinces.
- 14 [10.13.00]
- 15 All the reports are on the case file, and I do have copies of
- 16 these maps for Your Honours. And in the annotated maps, we
- 17 provide the E3 number of the report to which the annotations
- 18 relate.
- 19 But the main purpose was to be able to use a map with the witness
- 20 when we discuss the particular reports and the locations in which
- 21 these surveys were done in relation to sexual violence, and
- 22 particularly in relation to forced marriage.
- 23 We could show that on the screen, and I would take the expert to
- 24 the appropriate page in the report where they state that they
- 25 conducted their surveys so at least the public can see -- follow

35

- 1 the -- follow the evidence. But the primary purpose is to put the
- 2 underlying map into evidence, and secondly, at the very least, be
- 3 able to show the annotations on the screen to the witness to have
- 4 a discussion.
- 5 [10.14.04]
- 6 But that could be either done in terms of demonstration -- for
- 7 demonstration purposes or, secondly, if Your Honours wish them to
- 8 be entered into evidence after her testimony, we would certainly
- 9 be pleased to do that. But it's largely the underlying map and to
- 10 be able to use the annotated ones on the screen when discussing
- 11 the particular reports with the expert.
- 12 And I do have copies if Your Honours would like copies to take
- 13 with you to the break. I have them here.
- 14 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 15 Counsel for the Prosecution, in the email we received, there were
- 16 six maps <attached>. I do not understand whether you're asking
- 17 for four maps to be admitted into evidence.
- 18 Can you clearly indicate what your request is? Is it for six maps
- 19 to be admitted into evidence, or for four maps to be admitted
- 20 into evidence?
- 21 [10.15.17]
- 22 MR. SMITH:
- 23 Your Honour, we are asking for one map to be admitted into
- 24 evidence at this stage. It's the Cambodia road network map
- 25 without any annotations.

36

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Counsel for Khieu Samphan, you have the floor.
- 3 MS. GUISSE:
- 4 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 5 Quite simply, taking into account the clarifications made by the
- 6 Prosecution, if the only objective is to have one map without
- 7 annotations admitted into evidence, the Khieu Samphan defence
- 8 team doesn't object to the map that is not annotated to be used.
- 9 As to the background knowledge on the annotations, we will rely
- 10 on the decision that you delivered in a similar case. That was on
- 11 the 21st of January 2015. It was at the hearing PV E1/249.1 at
- 12 about 9.12.58.
- 13 [10.16.32]
- 14 It was a Civil Party Co-Lawyer who wanted to tender into evidence
- 15 a document, a map that he, himself, had annotated in line with
- 16 the testimony of the civil party who was testifying, and you
- 17 rejected that application, saying that a map produced by a lawyer
- 18 could not be admitted into evidence. So I request you to follow
- 19 your jurisprudence.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Thank you, Counsel.
- 22 It is now time for the morning break. We'll take a 20-minute
- 23 break from now.
- 24 (Court recesses from 1017H to 1037H)
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

37

- 1 Please be seated. The Chamber now is back in session.
- 2 And the floor is given to Judge Fenz to issue the rulings on the
- 3 request by the Co-Prosecutors.
- 4 You may now proceed, Judge Fenz.
- 5 JUDGE FENZ:
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 The Chamber admits into evidence the one map identified by the
- 8 prosecutor, which is the Cambodian road network map without any
- 9 annotations.
- 10 As to the other maps, the Chamber permits the use of those
- 11 annotated maps during the expertise or during the time the expert
- 12 is heard. The way we understand it is, these are, basically,
- 13 visualization tools that are here to show the representativeness
- 14 of samples taken in various studies.
- 15 There is -- this is something -- the accuracy of that is easily
- 16 to be checked by Chambers and parties, and this is an expert, so
- 17 there is no danger that she will be unduly influenced. If she's
- 18 shown this map and she says, "No, it's not true", she can tell us
- 19 immediately.
- 20 So as to further requests to admit them into evidence, the
- 21 Chamber is waiting for requests should they come.
- 22 [10.39.00]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Thank you, Judge Fenz.
- 25 In questioning the expert in accordance with Rule 91bis of the

38

- 1 Internal Rule of the ECCC, the floor is first given to the
- 2 Co-Prosecutors before other parties. The combined time for the
- 3 Co-Prosecutors and Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties is three
- 4 sessions.
- 5 You may now proceed.
- 6 [10.39.32]
- 7 QUESTIONING BY MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Your -- good morning, Your
- 9 Honours, everyone in and around the courtroom. And good morning,
- 10 the expert.
- 11 Q. My name is Song Chorvoin. I am the Deputy National
- 12 Co-Prosecutor, and I have some questions to discuss with you, Ms.
- 13 Expert.
- 14 Earlier, the Chamber asked you about your academic background.
- 15 Before I put some questions to you, I would like to inform you
- 16 that I will first ask you about the marriage before the Khmer
- 17 Rouge time because I want to -- I want the Chamber to understand
- 18 the differences between the marriages in -- before the Khmer
- 19 Rouge and those <during> the Khmer Rouge.
- 20 I would like to ask you, the expert, to describe about the
- 21 marriages before the -- Pol Pot's time or Khmer Rouge time.
- 22 Before Pol Pot came to power, were there traditions and customs
- 23 applied in relation to marriage before that period? <Who attended
- 24 the marriage? For example, did> parents <and relatives> of both
- 25 sides attend the marriage at the time?

39

- 1 [10.41.16]
- 2 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 3 A. Thank you very much.
- 4 To answer to your question about the traditional marriage before
- 5 the Khmer Rouge time, I don't have any account from the French
- 6 colonial time or the Japanese occupation time, so perhaps what I
- 7 can explain is only from 1950s or 1960s, after the Sihanouk
- 8 regime (sic), which I would call tradition, and immediately
- 9 before the Khmer Rouge.
- 10 The traditional marriages in Cambodian society was arranged by
- 11 the parents and agreed upon by the parents. In regard to the
- 12 women's decision-making power, there was almost zero, so a
- 13 daughter was given the instruction or order to marry with
- 14 somebody by her parents. And mostly, the daughters were expecting
- 15 their parents to make a decision for her.
- 16 [10.42.29]
- 17 On the other hand, the boys had more freedom, and boy could
- 18 initiate their own marriages by proposing to his parents or
- 19 guardians or relatives, who could approach to the parents of a
- 20 girl that he wants to marry.
- 21 At any case, mostly the weddings were arranged by the parents and
- 22 decided by the parents, so even though a boy wanted to marry with
- 23 a girl that he loves, if parents disapproved, it could have been
- 24 extremely difficult for him to proceed to seek for the approval
- 25 from the marriage.

40

- 1 Once the agreement was done among both parties, meaning the
- 2 parents of the groom and parents of the bride, then the -- if the
- 3 family follows a very traditional way, they would have an
- 4 engagement ceremony, but immediately before the war, after,
- 5 especially, the coup, this ceremony was not done because of the
- 6 very difficult situation and the bombing.
- 7 [10.43.46]
- 8 But anyway, the process after the approval of the both parents
- 9 would be to set the date for the wedding ceremony. And the
- 10 traditional, the fortune teller might be called to decide on the
- 11 date or the parents may decide, and then the date is set. And
- 12 it's a huge -- traditionally, it's a huge ceremony, normally done
- 13 for three days, including many ceremonies with a lot of Buddhist
- 14 monks participating in the ceremonies. And a lot of people in the
- 15 villages were invited to participate.
- 16 So it's not a personal matter. It's a family matter between the
- 17 two parties, two families, and also, it's a communal matters,
- 18 that the people in the village were invited to authorize such a
- 19 marriage.
- 20 They -- unfortunately, immediately before the Khmer Rouge,
- 21 because the war was becoming very tough, a lot of marriages were
- 22 very simplified, and many people could marry only within one day
- 23 and no lightning and no big sounds. But it's only for like three,
- 24 four years immediately before the Khmer Rouge.
- 25 Thank you very much.

41

- 1 [10.45.11]
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- 3 To clarify the issue, I would like you to make a further
- 4 clarification about the traditional marriage before the Khmer
- 5 Rouge.
- 6 Were marriages involved by parents? And did parents decide on
- 7 marriages? Or were <the> decisions <and arrangement> involved
- 8 from the authorities <or the district authority> in the local
- 9 areas as well?
- 10 A. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 11 The -- to be accurate, mostly for the parents of the bride, they
- 12 decide the marriage. And for the groom's side, the parents of the
- 13 groom might decide or they may approve the proposal from the
- 14 groom.
- 15 And how it was authorized was that it could have been registered
- 16 in the local authorities, but mostly, that local authorities such
- 17 as village chief was invited to join the wedding ceremony. And
- 18 this is a process to authorize the marriage.
- 19 [10.46.37]
- 20 Q. Were the chiefs of communes and villages attending as the
- 21 witnesses, or <as the decision makers about the marriage>?
- 22 A. Excuse me. I do not have answer to this question.
- 23 Q. Another question is about the customs to select the partners
- 24 before the Khmer Rouge time.
- 25 You have briefly explained that, but I want to -- you to expand

42

- 1 further.
- 2 Concerning the selection of partners, did the older people
- 3 consult with one another before allowing the would-be husband and
- 4 wife to consider and discuss as well?
- 5 What was the process of selection? Could you expand on the
- 6 matter, and who was involved in the selection process and also
- 7 decision-making process?
- 8 [10.48.18]
- 9 A. Excuse me. So this is question about the marriage before the
- 10 Khmer Rouge. Is it correct?
- 11 Then let me continue.
- 12 The -- your question is about the selections of the partners in
- 13 the marriage, who is involved in selecting the partner to a groom
- 14 and the bride; right?
- 15 The -- let me rephrase. For the single girls who are not yet
- 16 married, their parents decide. But many of the girls lost their
- 17 parent or parents before the war, according to my study. In that
- 18 case, her sisters, her brothers, her relatives, whoever take care
- 19 of this girl made a decision, and the girl did not have any
- 20 decision-making power to say yes or no. It was decided upon her.
- 21 [10.49.20]
- 22 For the groom, a boy, single boy who has not yet married, he
- 23 could propose to his parents or relatives, whoever who has the
- 24 authority, to arrange his marriages. So it could be from the boy
- 25 to the elders, and then it is now the elder's hand to approve or

43

- 1 to allow and then to decide and to start negotiation with the
- 2 parents of the groom -- to the bride, sorry.
- 3 So decision-making power lies on -- basically on the parents. And
- 4 the selection in that matter also, the parents selected who is a
- 5 nice match for their daughters or for their son.
- 6 [10.50.25]
- 7 Q. Before the Khmer Rouge time, why did parents involve in the
- 8 decision to marry their children?
- 9 A. Thank you so much.
- 10 Traditionally, in Cambodian culture, as in many other cultures,
- 11 children were not understood as a person who has the full rights.
- 12 Parents (sic) were understood as not properties, but belongings
- 13 to the parents. So parents thought they have to make a decision
- 14 for everything about their children, including from the education
- 15 to the marriage, which is the most important issues for many
- 16 Cambodians. So that's why parents had to engage in the
- 17 decision-making of the decision for the marriage of the children.
- 18 It's not only for the marriage. Children's life were decided by
- 19 the parents.
- 20 Q. <Before the Khmer Rouge regime, > could men or women refuse
- 21 the marriage proposed by the senior people?
- 22 A. As far as I understand from my research, almost all females
- 23 before the Khmer Rouge time, they expected and hoped their
- 24 mothers, fathers to make a decision for them. So there was no
- 25 option to say no because they are waiting for their spouse to be

44

- 1 decided by the parents.
- 2 And for the boy, I would say technically he could say no, but I
- 3 don't have any accounts that the boys refused, to say no to the
- 4 decision by the parents.
- 5 [10.52.55]
- 6 Q. Could you bring up examples in relation to the reasons that
- 7 men or women refused to get married? So what were the
- 8 circumstances make a man or woman refuse to get married?
- 9 A. The one woman that I met in my study, she refused to marry
- 10 before the Khmer Rouge, but consequently, she was forced to marry
- 11 in the Khmer Rouge, which was very unfortunate. She could refuse
- 12 to marry before the Khmer Rouge because she wanted to be a
- 13 medical doctor and she was studying in the medical school. And
- 14 her parents decided on her marriage and brought a very nice man
- 15 to marry with her, and she said no.
- 16 She could escape because her younger sister was forced to marry
- 17 with that man. That's one example I can recall.
- 18 [10.54.10]
- 19 Q. Were men or women punished for refusing to marry before the
- 20 Khmer Rouge time?
- 21 <For example, earlier> you brought <a case> about the woman who
- 22 refused to get married.<>
- 23 A. It's interesting question. I've never thought about it, but I
- 24 don't recall any case that a boy or a girl was sanctioned or
- 25 punished for not agreeing to the marriage decided by the parents.

45

- 1 No.
- 2 Q. In relation to the traditional marriage before the Khmer Rouge
- 3 and usually the marriages were known to the couples, I would like
- 4 to refer you to the October 2014 study of De Langis, Strasser,
- 5 Kim and Taing entitled "Like Ghost Changes Body (A Study on the
- 6 Impact of Forced Marriages under the Khmer Rouge Regime)",
- 7 document <E3/9614> at ERN in -- ERN in Khmer <01037040>. <Sorry
- 8 that was ERN in English, the ERN in Khmer is 01212343> . There is
- 9 no French translation.
- 10 The author quotes from Ebihara <who conducted a comprehensive
- 11 anthropological study on the life of the villagers in Khmer Rouge
- 12 regime -->
- 13 [10.56.47]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 You may now proceed, counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan.
- 16 MS. GUISSE:
- 17 My apologies for interrupting, Mr. President.
- 18 It's simply we did not get the English ERN. I know that there's
- 19 no French ERN, but <we also did not get one in English, so> could
- 20 you please repeat the English ERN?
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Please repeat the ERN.
- 23 [10.57.16]
- 24 BY MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 25 Thank you. The document is E3/9614, 01037040. That is English

46

- 1 ERN.
- 2 And I seek permission from the President to display the document
- 3 on the screen so that the expert can follow.
- 4 Q. In the study by De Langis, the book that is about the forced
- 5 marriage in the Khmer Rouge time. And he refers to the work of
- 6 Ebihara, <a comprehensive anthropological study> on villagers
- 7 <before> the Khmer Rouge time.
- 8 And it <described> about the <marriage, for example,> days of
- 9 marriage<, activities on the wedding day in> Svay village.
- 10 And <the study> describes about the customs and tradition of
- 11 marriage from 1959 through 1960. Ebihara described about a
- 12 traditional marriage, and I would like to quote:
- 13 "According to ideal custom, a young man makes his own choice as
- 14 to whom to marry and, once having decided, asks his parents to
- 15 begin negotiations with the girl's family. When the latter
- 16 receives a marriage proposal, the young woman herself is
- 17 consulted and, again according to tradition, is free to accept or
- 18 reject the offer."
- 19 [10.59.18]
- 20 Further in this study by De Langis and others, the same document,
- 21 and the Khmer is two pages -- two pages below, after reviewing
- 22 the available research on traditional Cambodian marriages on the
- 23 issue of the consent between men and women to the marriage
- 24 concluded the following quote:
- 25 "In summary, traditional weddings before the Khmer Rouge time

47

- 1 were largely arranged and it's very important for the family,
- 2 <the whole community> and also for the <spirit of the> ancestor.
- 3 The marriages <> were largely arranged and most often with the
- 4 consent of the intended and rarely coerced according to <the
- 5 existing> research."
- 6 Based on the research, which I have just read to you, do these
- 7 opinions accord with your findings; namely, <the study on> the
- 8 traditional Cambodian marriage <before the Khmer Rouge regime?>
- 9 [11.00.54]
- 10 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 11 A. Thank you very much. Yes, I think it's largely the same as to
- 12 my opinion, but from my studies, even though the single girls
- 13 were consulted by her parents about her marriage, they blindly
- 14 agreed to the marriage. So I don't think so it was a genuine
- 15 consent that she really wanted to marry with that man from her
- 16 own choice.
- 17 Q. In order to clarify this point, <was> the woman who has to
- 18 marry contacted <or> consulted? And did she receive information
- 19 from the man that she's supposed to get married before she made
- 20 her decision whether to marry him or to refuse the proposal?
- 21 A. It depends on the parents as to how much information they give
- 22 to their daughters. The many women that I interviewed, the
- 23 wedding day was the first time that they met with their husband,
- 24 so they knew very little about their husband before the marriage.
- 25 But again, they don't regard -- the women, they don't regard as

48

- 1 unfortunate marriage, but they were happy to accept and follow
- 2 the decision by their parents.
- 3 [11.03.01]
- 4 Q. And regarding the decision to get married, was it a family
- 5 choice or was it done through the participations of the
- 6 authorities?
- 7 A. If I understand the question correctly, it is 100 per cent
- 8 family matter. Authority is informed after everything was decided
- 9 among the families.
- 10 Q. Thank you. You just briefly described about the traditional
- 11 marriage that in -- details the participation of certain people
- 12 and now, I'd like to ask you about the timing of the wedding
- 13 itself. When there was a proposal from a man to the <> woman's
- 14 side, what was the gap between the actual proposal and the
- 15 wedding day itself? For example, <if> there is a proposal from
- 16 the man's side to the bride's parents; how long would it take
- 17 before the approval was made and before the wedding, itself, took
- 18 place?
- 19 [11.04.37]
- 20 A. I cannot generalize how much time was required from the very
- 21 first initiation of the marriage until the marriage ceremonies.
- 22 But I don't recall any marriages that was arranged in a short
- 23 manner, meaning it may take months; it may take a half year,
- 24 except some marriages in 1973 or 1974, when parents of the
- 25 daughters were very worried about the security situation of the

49

- 1 daughters, I have some accounts that they were rushed into the
- 2 marriage. So they were not ready to marry, but parents wanted to
- 3 arrange the marriage for their daughters because the bombardment
- 4 was so terrifying over their daily lives and parents thought it's
- 5 very important that their daughters should have immediate
- 6 protection.
- 7 Besides this time period -- and it's not nationwide; it's only
- 8 some areas -- besides this, the wedding process, starting from
- 9 initiation from mostly male side and approval from the female
- 10 side, it takes months and there are a lot of negotiations between
- 11 the two families.
- 12 Q. In the cases that the engagement took place before the
- 13 marriage, what was the waiting period between the engagement and
- 14 the marriage; can you give an estimate period, for example, the
- 15 shortest and the longest?
- 16 A. I'm sorry; I don't have answer to this question.
- 17 [11.06.55]
- 18 Q. Thank you. And based on your study and research, <before> the
- 19 Khmer Rouge regime, what were the main factors that led the men
- 20 and the women and the parents of both sides to consider <about>
- 21 marriage and <it> should be held according to the tradition?
- 22 A. The marriages in the Khmer Rouge was completely different from
- 23 the traditional marriages.
- 24 Q. Were there <any> main factors that <made> Cambodian people,
- 25 prior to the Khmer Rouge regime, believed <that> their

50

- 1 traditional marriage was important to them?
- 2 A. The traditional marriage should include the participation of
- 3 the parents and family members who celebrate the weddings and
- 4 this was missing in the Khmer Rouge time.
- 5 Q. And about the men and the women who had to marry one another,
- 6 was there any process of thinking about their social status; for
- 7 example, the poor could marry the wealthy family or those who had
- 8 higher education could marry with the one with lower education,
- 9 that is, for those marriages that took place prior to the Khmer
- 10 Rouge regime?
- 11 [11.09.14]
- 12 A. The -- it was the marriages before the Khmer Rouge was very
- 13 much a family issues, so two families involved in the marriage
- 14 should agree upon the marriage. So from this view, it would be
- 15 very difficult to match a girl from completely different from
- 16 social background to marry to a man who is living in another
- 17 world.
- 18 So mostly, for example, the farmers were married among with the
- 19 farmers, merchants were married among with the merchants.
- 20 Educated, at that time, living only in the cities, they were
- 21 marrying -- married among with the educated. So, for example,
- 22 educated daughters, at that time, was very rare because girls
- 23 could not go to school. So girls who had education would expect
- 24 that her parents would arrange the marriages of her to a man who
- 25 has higher education.

51

- 1 [11.10.40]
- 2 Q. Thank you. Regarding the day of the marriage itself <before>
- 3 the Khmer Rouge regime, how <did it> start and who participated
- 4 in the wedding? < How important was the participation of > the
- 5 elders or the family <members> and relatives of both sides <for
- 6 the traditional wedding ceremony?>
- 7 A. Excuse me, so it's in the Khmer Rouge time, before. The --
- 8 before the Khmer Rouge time, at the wedding, it was a time when
- 9 the community, itself, welcome and authorize the wedding. So it's
- 10 not only the people marrying, but also the siblings, parents,
- 11 relatives; even from far away, and also people in the villages.
- 12 That include the neighbours, maybe sellers; the local
- 13 authorities, such as village chief etc.; they were all expected
- 14 to join.
- 15 Q. Thank you. Also touching upon the same topic and in relation
- 16 to the period before the Khmer Rouge regime, after the couples
- 17 <got married>, did they have to live together? And if they <could
- 18 not get along> with one another, did they have the right to
- 19 proceed with a divorce or <a separation>?
- 20 [11.12.43]
- 21 A. Technically, it was possible that the married couple seek for
- 22 divorce, but it was very, very rare because, at that time,
- 23 Cambodia was still following the polygamy system, so men could
- 24 keep several wives. There is no reason that he may divorce a
- 25 wife; he could just keep several, multiple wives.

52

- 1 And for women's side, it's a very shameful conduct if she is
- 2 divorced, at any cost, so it was extremely rare from both male
- 3 side and female side the reasons that they had a divorce.
- 4 But there was a divorce and people -- I have an account that
- 5 woman divorced and I could imagine that it was extremely tough
- 6 decision for a woman to decide on the decision from her side, but
- 7 it was possible to divorce somebody.
- 8 Q. Thank you. And after the wedding <day>, were <the> couples
- 9 expressly ordered or told that they should consummate the
- 10 marriage? Or did they have the rights to make their own decision?
- 11 [11.14.24]
- 12 A. To my understanding, it's a taboo to talk about it, so parents
- 13 would not tell the daughters or son how they should behave to --
- in the night of the weddings etc.
- 15 They -- I remember some woman were told to be obedient to the
- 16 husband in the night of the wedding, but it's against Cambodian
- 17 tradition to talk about sexualities among the women, even between
- 18 the mother and the daughters.
- 19 I met a lot of women who were not educated about their
- 20 reproductive health, such as menstruation, so in the society
- 21 where even the mother or sisters could not teach about even the
- 22 menstruation issue, I don't thinks so that the parents educated
- 23 anything about sexualities after the marriage.
- 24 And for men's side, I don't think so that parents -- or I didn't
- 25 hear any stories that men were educated in that way. But perhaps

53

- 1 one of the common or casual conversations that people before the
- 2 Khmer Rouge or even now have in Cambodian society is people
- 3 tended to ask about a child: "Do you have a child? How many
- 4 children do they have?" It's a very common conversation, so it
- 5 could be assumed that after the marriage, parents were inquiring
- 6 about their daughters or son that: "Are you expecting a child? Do
- 7 you have child?, " etc., but I think that's the maximum of their
- 8 inquiry level in regard to the reproductive health issues.
- 9 [11.16.34]
- 10 Q. And based again on your research, were there cases where the
- 11 elders or the parents of the bride or the groom ever instructed
- 12 them that they had to produce children in order to carry on their
- 13 family e?> And if there were such cases, did you know whether
- 14 any of the men or women had the right to refuse to such
- 15 instructions? <Or did they have rights to decide on this matter?>
- 16 A. I do not recall any accounts that the parents made explicit
- 17 instructions to their children, before the Khmer Rouge, that they
- 18 should have a child in order keep the family line, but I may be
- 19 wrong because even nowadays, in Cambodia, parents are asking this
- 20 and I don't know if it's called instructions.
- 21 [11.18.04]
- 22 Q. Whether there were instructions or advice that they should
- 23 produce children, <did> the <married> couple have the right to
- 24 refuse to such advice or instruction or did they have to follow
- 25 it?

54

- 1 A. I'm sorry; I forgot to answer to the second question. The --
- 2 in English it says that the parents instructed that they should
- 3 have a child and if I understand it correctly, I don't think so
- 4 that parents said they should have a child, but they would
- 5 suggest that they want a grandchild to be born. So it's directing
- 6 the same thing that the married couple should have a child, but I
- 7 don't think the parents would instruct their daughters or son
- 8 that you should have a child.
- 9 But on the other hand, as I said, there is a social pressure, not
- 10 only from the parents or siblings, but from the community that
- 11 once you are married in Cambodian societies, you should have a
- 12 child. This is a social pressure that we still have now and while
- 13 women or men had the right to say no, before the Khmer Rouge
- 14 time; technically, it was possible because there was an abortion
- 15 possible for women.
- 16 [11.19.56]
- 17 But if I imagine whether women or men said no to their parents
- 18 that they choose not to have a child; it's revolutionary and it's
- 19 -- it's unimaginable. I cannot imagine that family, before the
- 20 Khmer Rouge, had such a conversation.
- 21 So to rephrase it, I don't think so, from my research, that
- 22 parents instructed a child that they should have a child. There
- 23 was not such instruction by the parents, but they would ask them
- 24 that they want to have a grandchild etc., so it's not an
- 25 instruction per se. And if the parents ask a daughter if you are

55

- 1 marry -- as you are married now, "Are you expecting a baby?," I
- 2 cannot imagine the situation the daughter would reply to the
- 3 mother or father that, "I decided not to have a child." I don't
- 4 think so it was possible for a woman to say that and on the same
- 5 token men; they not say that.
- 6 [11.21.28]
- 7 Q. This may be my last question to conclude the area that I
- 8 cover. <Prior to> the Khmer Rouge regime, <marriage was held in a
- 9 traditional Khmer way and> after the couples got <married>, did
- 10 the couple have the right to choose to consummate the marriage by
- 11 themselves or <>was the decision made by the local authority, for
- 12 example, from the village commune or district authority level?
- 13 A. The -- during the Khmer Rouge time, some--
- 14 Q. My question is for the period prior to the Khmer Rouge regime.
- 15 A. Excuse me; could you rephrase the question again in brief?
- 16 Q. In order to make it brief, before the Khmer Rouge regime,
- 17 after the couples got married, did they have the right to decide
- 18 on the issue of consummation
 themselves> or was there a
- 19 <policy> from the local <authorities>; for example, village or
- 20 commune or district levels to order them <> to consummate the
- 21 marriage?
- 22 [11.23.15]
- 23 A. It was a very personal matter, so the outsiders, except
- 24 husband and wife, could deal with it. Even orally, they were not
- 25 instructed to consummate a marriage.

56

- 1 I remember that I met a woman who said -- who was married before
- 2 the Khmer Rouge, but she didn't consummate her marriage in the
- 3 beginning for three months or so because she was very shy and her
- 4 husband also very shy because they were assigned or instructed to
- 5 marry by their parents and it was just fine. And I think that was
- 6 the case with many couples because many couples met for the first
- 7 time on the arranged wedding day and maybe they were very shy and
- 8 that's a very honest feeling.
- 9 [11.24.14]
- 10 MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 11 Thank you, Expert, and Mr. President, I finish my part and I'd
- 12 like to hand the floor to Mr. William Smith.
- 13 MR. SMITH:
- 14 Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours, counsel, and expert.
- 15 Mr. President, if I can just begin by providing the expert with
- 16 some documents which were notified to the Defence a few weeks ago
- 17 and a set of the maps that we just talked about earlier.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Court officer, could you hand over the documents to the expert?
- 20 [11.25.00]
- 21 MR. SMITH:
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 Good morning, Ms. Expert.
- 24 Those documents look a bit daunting, but we may just refer to a
- 25 few pages in that book and you'll see -- often at the top of the

57

- 1 page, you'll see a large number or on the side of the page,
- 2 depending on how it printed out.
- 3 But at the same time, I would be asking the President to allow us
- 4 to show some of the excerpts on the screen, as well, so that may
- 5 be easier for you to follow along.
- 6 And perhaps, in the few minutes that we have before lunch, if I
- 7 can just explain the purpose of what I will like to ask you about
- 8 today. Following on from my colleague, Ms. Song, I would like to
- 9 talk to you about marriages during the Khmer Rouge period and the
- 10 reason, of course, we discussed, earlier, marriages before the
- 11 Khmer Rouge period was to get a sense of was there any contrast,
- 12 was there any difference, was there any change to the marriage
- 13 process during the Khmer Rouge period.
- 14 [11.26.16]
- 15 OUESTIONING BY MR. SMITH:
- 16 Q. And so my first question to you: During the Khmer Rouge
- 17 period, where they took power in Cambodia, did the process of
- 18 marriage fundamentally change? And if you can just answer in
- 19 brief and then I'll follow up with some specific questions
- 20 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 21 A. Thank you so much. When the Khmer Rouge took power, they
- 22 fundamentally changed all the system of the marriages; they
- 23 changed everything.
- 24 [11.27.10]
- 25 Q. So, in terms of your evidence earlier, you said that marriage

58

- 1 before the Khmer Rouge period was a family matter -- a hundred
- 2 per cent family matter between the bride and groom-to-be, between
- 3 the parents. In the Khmer Rouge period, was the authorization or
- 4 the marriage process, was that a family matter or was it a state
- 5 matter, a Khmer Rouge matter, if you can explain?
- 6 A. The process of the marriages varied in many ways, but Khmer
- 7 Rouge had absolute right to authorize the marriage.
- 8 [11.28.17]
- 9 Q. And just going on and I want to follow your book and
- 10 particularly your book in relation to "Motherhood at War -
- 11 Pregnancy during the Khmer Rouge Regime", and that's at E3/10655
- 12 and at -- that's at ER -- English ERN 01322862 and in Chapter 5
- 13 of this book, you discuss marriages during the Khmer Rouge
- 14 period. And in a section of that book, you discuss two ways in
- 15 which a man and woman would become married primarily: One, where
- 16 the marriage was authorized by the Khmer Rouge as a result of a
- 17 proposal and another, where people were forced to marry and when
- 18 there was no proposal. Is it -- is that fair to say, from the way
- 19 that your chapter is written, that that's your opinion that
- 20 largely marriages fell into those two categories; one that was
- 21 authorized and others that were forced without a proposal being
- 22 put forward?
- 23 And I think we have an objection so -- or perhaps two.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Ms. Expert, please hold on and counsel for Nuon Chea, you have

59

- 1 the floor.
- 2 [11.29.49]
- 3 MR. KOPPE:
- 4 Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 5 morning, counsel.
- 6 I'm revisiting a very old objection in terms of terminology used
- 7 in questions. In the question from the prosecutor, I heard the
- 8 words "Khmer Rouge". Now, we're not dealing today with a witness;
- 9 we're dealing with an expert. The words "Khmer Rouge", as such,
- 10 in terms of state power, be it local or on a national level,
- 11 doesn't really mean anything. I think we should be very specific
- 12 when it comes to asking questions to the expert as to on what
- 13 local -- on what level we are talking about. Khmer Rouge, as
- 14 such, is a handy phrase, but doesn't really mean anything.
- 15 So when the Prosecution ask the question, he should be very
- 16 specific in terms of what kind of state level is he speaking
- 17 about. Is he speaking about commune, village level? Is he
- 18 speaking about state level? Is he talking about CPK policy, which
- 19 is also, of course, a possibility?
- 20 In other words, we should be very specific because it matters
- 21 whether we're dealing with local, grassroots events or policy or
- 22 whatever you want to call it or rather nationwide or statewide.
- 23 [11.31.35]
- 24 MR. SMITH:
- 25 Mr. President, perhaps the reason why that terminology was used

60

- 1 is largely because this expert uses the terminology of the Khmer
- 2 Rouge regime in her book, so largely, I'm tracking the evidence
- 3 of the expert.
- 4 And I suppose I understand what my friend is saying, but
- 5 initially, we're trying to discuss some concepts and we need to
- 6 speak in broader terms. But I think my friend will be happy to
- 7 hear that we'll be going into more detail and then we'll get a
- 8 sense of was the leaders -- were the leaders ordering forced
- 9 marriages or was it done at the local level, but we'll get to
- 10 that, but I just had to introduce the topic.
- 11 [11.32.42]
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The objection is overruled.<> Counsel, you will have your chance
- 14 <to ask for a clarification. Mr.> Prosecutor, you may continue.
- 15 BY MR. SMITH:
- 16 Thank you, Your Honour. I'm happy to continue. I do note the
- 17 time.
- 18 Q. But Ms. Expert, I would like to -- or perhaps perhaps, I
- 19 will stop.
- 20 JUDGE FENZ:
- 21 Just a second.
- 22 MR. SMITH:
- 23 Yes.
- 24 [11.33.16]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

61

- 1 Thank you, Co-Prosecutor.
- 2 It is now time for lunch break and the Chamber will take a break
- 3 from now and resume at 1.30 this afternoon to continue our
- 4 proceedings.
- 5 And Ms. Expert, we will take a break now and please return to the
- 6 courtroom at 1.30 this afternoon.
- 7 Security personnel, you are instructed to take Khieu Samphan to
- 8 the room downstairs and have him returned to attend the
- 9 proceedings this afternoon before 1.30.
- 10 The Court is now in recess.
- 11 (Court recesses from 1133H to 1329H)
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Please be seated.
- 14 The Court is now in session and Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you may resume
- 15 your questioning.
- 16 BY MR. SMITH:
- 17 Thank you and good afternoon, Mr. President, Your Honours,
- 18 counsel, and Ms. Expert.
- 19 Before we commenced lunch, you stated that during the Khmer Rouge
- 20 period, there were two main ways in which people became married
- 21 and one was where a couple were authorized to be married and
- 22 another way was when a couple were forced to be married.
- 23 Q. I want to briefly talk about how authorized marriages worked;
- 24 can you explain that process, particularly with an eye to
- 25 contrasting any differences that may have occurred with the

62

- 1 pre-DK marriages?
- 2 [13.31.15]
- 3 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 4 A. Thank you very much and good afternoon.
- 5 The authorized marriage by the Khmer Rouge was very different
- 6 from the way that people would marry before the Khmer Rouge. The
- 7 -- during the Khmer Rouge, if anybody who wanted to marry had to
- 8 ask permissions from the Khmer Rouge and this was not the process
- 9 before the Khmer Rouge time; meaning, before the Khmer Rouge
- 10 time, those who were marrying did not have to ask permission from
- 11 the local authority, which represented the state or the
- 12 government.
- 13 But during the Khmer Rouge time, in order to have a permission to
- 14 marry, which legalized their relationship, they had to ask
- 15 permission and approval from the Khmer Rouge. That will be also
- 16 endorsed by the mass wedding.
- 17 [13.32.29]
- 18 Q. And for permission to be requested, did the normal process
- 19 that you referred to in the pre-Khmer Rouge period of proposals
- 20 where, perhaps, the groom may have wanted to marry a certain
- 21 woman and he would approach his parents and those parents would
- 22 approach their parents, the woman's parents and there would be a
- 23 negotiation process and then a proposal would be put forward; was
- 24 it similar to the pre-DK period, that arrangement process or that
- 25 proposal process?

63

- 1 A. The evidence that I have, that I gathered from the people, may
- 2 not represent all those marriages which were proposed, but what I
- 3 have known from my -- the informants or from my conversation is
- 4 that the process to arrange the marriage was the similar to prior
- 5 to Khmer Rouge time, so that the parents of both parties had
- 6 discussions and they agreed upon and then they jointly approached
- 7 to the Khmer Rouge for the permission.
- 8 But I also heard the stories that individual woman tried to
- 9 approach the Khmer Rouge asking for permission to marry, so in
- 10 that sense, I don't have the -- all the evidences about this
- 11 process.
- 12 [13.34.20]
- 13 Q. Thank you. And when you say "approach the Khmer Rouge", are
- 14 you talking about central authorities in Phnom Penh or are you
- 15 talking about local Khmer Rouge authorities in a particular
- 16 village or district?
- 17 A. The -- my research -- my researches were all at the village
- 18 level and the people who are referring to the authority, the
- 19 Khmer Rouge, is at the village level.
- 20 Q. And through your research, are you aware of what
- 21 considerations the Khmer Rouge authorities at the village level
- 22 took into account in whether or not they would approve a marriage
- 23 or authorize it, sorry?
- 24 [13.35.23]
- 25 A. It's very diverse and I cannot say it was uniformed. For

64

- 1 example, some people were allowed if they were at the certain age
- 2 range; if they were over 20 or 25, and those people who were
- 3 young, who were not married, to marry. So that's one of the
- 4 restrictions imposed by some authorities.
- 5 Whereas, in -- at least in Kampong Cham, they didn't allow
- 6 anybody to marry until certain time, so a lot of people tried to
- 7 apply for marriage, but the authority denied and told them to
- 8 wait until certain time. That was in 1978, if I'm not wrong. So
- 9 it's very diverse.
- 10 Q. And just with the Kampong Cham example, do you know the
- 11 reasons why they didn't authorize marriages until a later date?
- 12 A. I do not know and my informants, they also did not know, so we
- 13 want to know why.
- 14 Q. And from your interviews and the research that you have done,
- 15 can you tell the Court what the main reason was, during the Khmer
- 16 Rouge period, why some men and women proposed a marriage?
- 17 [13.37.14]
- 18 A. There's a clear difference between men and women for the
- 19 motivation to propose a marriage. For the women, one of the main
- 20 driving factor was that the Khmer Rouge allowed injured Khmer
- 21 Rouge soldiers to select the wife and it was -- I think, from my
- 22 knowledge, it was nationwide, so many young, single women were
- 23 very scared. If she was selected by a former injured Khmer Rouge
- 24 soldier, unfortunately, who became paralyzed or handicapped, then
- 25 she had to marry with that soldier and many single, young women

65

- 1 were afraid to be selected for this. And this didn't apply for
- 2 the man.
- 3 And also another important factor for the single, young women who
- 4 tried to rush to marry was that, there were certain privileges
- 5 that they believed that they could enjoy if they were married.
- 6 That is, for example, any married women could stay close to their
- 7 parents, which was and is a very important obligation of
- 8 Cambodian daughters to take care of their parents and the second
- 9 one is, that many believed that a status as a wife could enable
- 10 them to reduce their burden of work. So there are several
- 11 motivations for the young women to propose for the marriage.
- 12 For the male, I have no information about this, what drives the
- 13 men to apply for the marriages.
- 14 [13.39.18]
- 15 Q. You referred to the ability of a disabled soldier perhaps to
- 16 choose a marriage -- a marriage partner and in your study at
- 17 E3/2959 and English 00421894 to 95; in Khmer, 00738350; and
- 18 French, 00701497; you spoke to a female regarding being forced to
- 19 marry a disabled man and she stated, "My husband was a disabled
- 20 person. He had a missing leg. They asked him to build a dam and
- 21 he stepped on a landmine. Angkar gave him the right to choose a
- 22 wife and he suggested to the Angkar that he'd like to marry me.
- 23 He lost a leg during the Pol Pot time, so he had the right to
- 24 choose."
- 25 So my question is: If disabled Khmer Rouge soldiers had the right

66

- 1 to choose, did the woman have a right to refuse; could the woman
- 2 refuse that?
- 3 A. To my knowledge, no, she has to follow the decision without
- 4 any questioning.
- 5 [13.41.00]
- 6 Q. And you also, in another account, you spoke to a former child
- 7 soldier who discussed the privileges of a -- the Khmer Rouge
- 8 soldier to choose a wife and this is in the -- your book, page
- 9 33, and it's E3/2959; English, 00421894; in Khmer, 00738349; and
- 10 French, 00701497; and this child soldier said, "One good thing
- 11 about the Khmer Rouge was that if you wanted to marry someone,
- 12 you could suggest it to the Angkar, but raping was absolutely
- 13 prohibited. You can marry anyone you love, but you have to ask
- 14 her and then suggest to Angkar. If she did not agree, then the
- 15 Angkar would force her."
- 16 Was that a common account that you -- you've heard in the
- 17 research, in the interviews done, where Khmer Rouge soldiers had
- 18 more of a privilege to or a privilege to choose a wife and the
- 19 wife or the woman would be unable to refuse? Was that a common
- 20 situation in your research?
- 21 [13.42.44]
- 22 A. The -- my understandings from the interview is this statement
- 23 of a former child soldier is very rare that he inquired the
- 24 opinion of a girl. The -- most commonly, what I heard is that if
- 25 a woman -- a young, single woman was selected to marry a former

67

- 1 injured soldier, she has to marry with that man.
- 2 And I interviewed one injured soldier, earlier this year in
- 3 Ratanakiri who was a Khmer Rouge soldier. He also confirmed this.
- 4 It's not very easy for me to meet with the former Khmer Rouge
- 5 soldier, but I was lucky to meet with him.
- 6 He told me that he was married in 1975 after he was hospitalized
- 7 for three months. He was lucky he didn't die. After he came back
- 8 to Kampong Cham, he could not walk anymore, so he asked the --
- 9 his leader in the Khmer Rouge that he wants to have a wife now
- 10 and the leader asked him, "With whom do you want to marry?" And
- 11 then he just pointed out that girl.
- 12 And I asked him, "How did you see his -- her face if she's away?"
- 13 And he said, "Anyway, I thought it's nice to marry with her," and
- 14 he married with her.
- 15 And apparently, many others confirmed that many young women were
- 16 very, very scared if she was selected to marry with the injured
- 17 soldiers.
- 18 [13.44.35]
- 19 Q. You also mentioned, in relation to these authorized marriages,
- 20 that some -- I think some women decided to accept the proposal to
- 21 marry because it would improve their living conditions; is that
- 22 correct? What -- did they explain to you what type of living
- 23 conditions that they were under that they felt so -- that they
- 24 felt was so difficult and what did they perceive in terms of an
- 25 improvement of living conditions if they married?

68

- 1 A. The mostly young women, single women, who are up to the
- 2 reproductive age, was in the youth group or the mobile unit --
- 3 "kang chalat" -- and their lives were very difficult because they
- 4 had to wake up at 3 o'clock in the morning or sometimes, 2
- 5 o'clock in the morning and they were sent very far away from
- 6 their family; particularly, the parents, the mothers. Their lives
- 7 were miserable, but they believed if they married, at least they
- 8 were not in this group and they could escape from a really tough
- 9 labour assigned for the young, single girls and then they could
- 10 stay close to their parents and then, potentially, they could
- 11 take care of their parents in case they need the daughter.
- 12 [13.46.24]
- 13 Q. Thank you. And did you ask this question, specifically, of
- 14 them -- of these women that wanted to get married to improve
- 15 their living conditions; did you ask them if it wasn't for those
- 16 living and working conditions that was imposed upon them that
- 17 they wouldn't have got married? Did you ask them that directly or
- 18 was that an inference that you drew from the circumstances of
- 19 these interviews?
- 20 A. No, I didn't ask in that way, so the information I collected
- 21 was from what they have told me.
- 22 Q. But it's certainly your opinion that it was because of those
- 23 harsh living conditions that provided a strong motivation to them
- 24 to accept a marriage proposal; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct. I would say that they would prefer to get

69

- 1 married and they would propose to marry. They were not waiting
- 2 for the Khmer Rouge to approach them, but they were waiting to
- 3 marry with somebody.
- 4 [13.47.50]
- 5 Q. Thank you. And can you explain very briefly a wedding ceremony
- 6 -- a typical wedding ceremony, and we understand that not every
- 7 situation can be exactly the same, but the common features of a
- 8 -- an authorized marriage wedding ceremony in contrast to pre-DK
- 9 period?
- 10 A. The wedding ceremony was very different from the weddings
- 11 before the Khmer Rouge. To put it simple, it was just like a
- 12 meeting. People were mobilized to have a meeting and, indeed,
- 13 many men and women were called for meeting and it was a wedding.
- 14 There was no participants from the family members, relatives, or
- 15 friends, but only those who were marrying because it was mass
- 16 ceremony. There was always more than two or three couples
- 17 presenting and the Khmer Rouge or the group of the leaders or the
- 18 village chief, who had the authority during the time, were also
- 19 presenting and somebody presided the meeting which was the
- 20 wedding.
- 21 The wedding was very short, most probably to save time, and every
- 22 couple were not all; sometimes, their representative, but
- 23 basically, every couple was required to make an oath that they
- 24 were voluntarily marrying for Angkar and then the meeting was
- 25 finished.

70

- 1 [13.49.55]
- 2 Q. Was there any Buddhist rituals at the wedding ceremony; was
- 3 there any religious element as was present in the pre-DK period?
- 4 A. The Khmer Rouge abolished the religion, so there was no
- 5 religious ceremony at all and no monks present at the meeting --
- 6 the wedding.
- 7 Q. And I think you mentioned this, but how short was the ceremony
- 8 or how long?
- 9 A. I didn't specifically ask how long it was for each individual,
- 10 but I would assume that, maximum one hour, because when they said
- 11 there were 100 couples who were marrying in one wedding, they
- 12 told me there were representatives who were making an oath
- 13 because if every 100 couples were making oath, it is more than
- 14 one hour already and everybody said the wedding ceremony was very
- 15 short.
- 16 [13.51.17]
- 17 Q. Now, still just speaking about the authorized marriages,
- 18 before we move into the forced marriages that you've spoken
- 19 about, were the parents generally present, parents and family, at
- 20 the authorized marriages?
- 21 A. No, only some rare occasions. Some women and men told me that
- 22 their parents were there, but it was very, very rare.
- 23 Q. Thank you. And the celebratory element; the -- perhaps the
- 24 music, the food, the drinks, the dancing, the fashion; all of
- 25 those aspects, were they common features at these authorized

71

- 1 wedding ceremonies or were they rare and uncommon?
- 2 A. All what you have mentioned were uncommon. The -- it's very
- 3 diverse, so some people reported that they celebrated the wedding
- 4 by killing the cow or there were some special meals, but it was
- 5 very, very rare. So commonly, there was no ceremony, no dance;
- 6 nothing, but it was just like a meeting.
- 7 [13.53.00]
- 8 Q. Thank you. After these authorized marriages had been
- 9 officiated in that meeting, was the husband and wife, were they
- 10 allowed to live together from that point forward?
- 11 A. Yes and Khmer Rouge provided a small hut for the newlywed
- 12 couples to stay for, my research shows, maybe maximum one day --
- 13 one week, so it depends like maybe three days to one week. The
- 14 newlyweds were allowed to stay in that hut for the evening, but
- 15 during the daytime, they had to work somewhere separately and
- 16 after, perhaps, one week, they were already removed meaning most
- 17 often husbands were taken away or husbands were forced to move
- 18 very far to engage in the hard labour and after, maybe, three
- 19 months or if he's lucky, one month, he came back to see the wife.
- 20 [13.54.26]
- 21 Q. And can you explain: What was the purpose of providing the hut
- 22 or the house for the night of the -- after the marriage or for
- 23 the week; why did the Khmer Rouge authorities provide that
- 24 accommodation for that short time period?
- 25 A. It's a very interesting question, but I have no answer to

72

- 1 that. I want to think about it.
- 2 O. And is it fair to say that your research in relation to
- 3 marriages in the -- during the Khmer Rouge period, alongside of
- 4 your research into sexual violence more broadly, it's really an
- 5 approach from the victim-witness approach as opposed to
- 6 analyzing, say, contemporaneous documents from the Party centre
- 7 or statements from, you know, former leaders and leaders of the
- 8 Khmer Rouge; would that be correct, that's your -- that was your
- 9 focus?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct. I want to collect the voices of the women
- 11 whose stories were never heard. I want those women to feel that
- 12 their stories are important and that there are people who are
- 13 listening to their stories, so I didn't take account of those
- 14 policy documents or whatever that you may have here in the Court.
- 15 [13.55.56]
- 16 Q. And you mentioned there's two ways that people were married;
- 17 authorized and forced, and we talked -- we talked a bit about the
- 18 authorized marriages.
- 19 Now, can we talk about the forced marriages and my first question
- 20 is: What do you mean by the forced marriages in contrast to the
- 21 authorized ones; particularly, bearing in mind, with the
- 22 authorized ones, you state that many women would accept a
- 23 proposal because of the conditions that was imposed upon them to
- 24 help improve their living condition? So understanding -- we
- 25 understand that within that authorized marriage way that you were

73

- 1 -- have been discussing, but can you tell us what you mean by
- 2 this forced marriage -- this forced marriage process? Can you
- 3 describe it briefly, how that occurred?
- 4 [13.57.32]
- 5 A. The forced marriage that I meant is women simply did not want
- 6 to marry for whatever reasons. The -- there were women who were
- 7 willing to have husbands chosen by either the parents or Khmer
- 8 Rouge and they would have accepted those marriages. I called that
- 9 authorized marriage. They were ready to marry with somebody.
- 10 But the forced marriage is, regardless of age or social status;
- 11 if a person did not want to marry, but forced or instructed by
- 12 the Khmer Rouge, I call it forced marriage.
- 13 [13.58.25]
- 14 Q. And do you call it forced marriage if only one of the partners
- 15 didn't want to marry or are you calling it forced marriage only
- 16 when both partners didn't want to marry?
- 17 A. I am looking at individual, so for example, if any man where I
- 18 met with man who were forced into marriage against his will. He
- 19 was forced to marry even though his wife requested a marriage to
- 20 the Khmer Rouge.
- 21 And for example, other good examples are those former Khmer Rouge
- 22 soldiers who became paralyzed. They were willing to marry with a
- 23 woman that they have chosen or any woman that the Khmer Rouge may
- 24 have chosen. But in these marriages with the former soldiers, in
- 25 most cases, women were forced. They didn't want to marry.

74

- 1 [13.5.36]
- 2 O. You described the authorized marriages and the way those
- 3 ceremonies were conducted and how that process was initiated. In
- 4 terms of the ceremony, the marriage ceremony or the meeting, were
- 5 the forced marriage ceremonies different to the authorized
- 6 marriage ceremonies or is it a case that they were often mixed?
- 7 A. Again, I cannot generalize but my impression is that it's
- 8 mixed. It's mixed and some were forced and some were not forced.
- 9 But my impression, though I don't have accurate figure, is at
- 10 least in 1970, late 1977-1978, many mass weddings were organized
- 11 among only forced marriage couples.
- 12 Q. And perhaps if you can give us an example of how one of those
- 13 forced marriage ceremonies occurred. From the time that the man
- 14 or woman was told they would be married to the time of the
- 15 wedding, can you just briefly describe it again in relation to
- 16 those forced marriage ceremonies?
- 17 [14.01.21]
- 18 A. There are many patterns but most commonly both men and women
- 19 they were informed that they were going to marry early in the
- 20 morning or one day before, afternoon or one day before. And the
- 21 marriage ceremony was conducted by the evening of that day. So it
- 22 was very short, within 24 hours.
- 23 Q. And perhaps if I can read a brief account from one of your
- 24 books in relation to one of these forced marriage ceremonies, and
- 25 it's at page 277.

75

- 1 Mr. President, if I can ask that perhaps the quote that I am
- 2 about to read could be shown on the screen so others can follow?
- 3 And in fact, there is a few excerpts that I would like to read
- 4 out to the witness. I'm just asking if we can call those up on
- 5 the screen as they are being read out, if Your Honour pleases.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Yes, please proceed.
- 8 [14.02.40]
- 9 BY MR. SMITH:
- 10 Thank you. This is at bookmark 277 and it's E3/10655 and English
- 11 ERN 01322865; no French and no Khmer.
- 12 Q. And this is an interview that you state was from--
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, please repeat the ERN numbers once again to
- 15 facilitate the interpretation.
- 16 [14.03.12]
- 17 BY MR. SMITH:
- 18 I apologize, Your Honour; 01322865 in English only.
- 19 Q. And this person was from a forced marriage in Preah Vihear,
- 20 and she states:
- 21 "I was forced to marry a man who lived in another village. I
- 22 never knew him before the marriage. I felt so bad, and I really
- 23 did not want to marry him. The ceremony was held inside a house
- 24 with a roof, a kind of Khmer Rouge meeting place. There was no
- 25 music. My parents were not there with me. The only people present

76

- 1 were those who were going to marry, so there were hundreds of men
- 2 and women who had never seen nor known each other before. There
- 3 were both Base People and New People there. Suddenly, a Khmer
- 4 Rouge leader of the male group ordered those men in the room to
- 5 choose any woman who they wanted to have as a partner. One man
- 6 pointed at me, and the Khmer Rouge soldier instructed us to make
- 7 an oath for Angkar by saying that we were marrying. It was in
- 8 June or July 1976. We then became a couple from that day on."
- 9 [14.04.43]
- 10 "At that time hundreds of couples had to marry and ate together
- 11 after the ceremony. No one could refuse the marriage order. If
- 12 anyone refused, they would be killed. "It was unfortunate that my
- 13 mother was not there with me when I was forced to marry."
- 14 Is that account from the woman that you spoke to, is that an
- 15 account that's perhaps typical to some of the other people that
- 16 you spoke to in relation to forced marriages, the way in which
- 17 the meeting occurred and the fact that there was lack of notice
- 18 and the fact that there was large numbers of people all being
- 19 married at the same time? Is that a common account?
- 20 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 21 A. The way how the wedding ceremony was arranged was common, but
- 22 this situation where -- my notes say "Mae Krom Pros" (phonetic)
- 23 -- so the male group leaders ordered the people to select either
- 24 the man to select the wife. This is not very common.
- 25 So normally before the wedding, they are instructed and the

77

- 1 partner was decided already and then they were brought to the
- 2 wedding. And I think this is very unique case.
- 3 [14.06.25]
- 4 Q. So in these forced marriage situations of the people you have
- 5 spoken to, was it when they arrived at the ceremony and the Khmer
- 6 Rouge authorities had already selected who would marry who; is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. That is correct. So even though the women did not know who to
- 9 be her husband beforehand, when they were brought to the wedding
- 10 ceremony place, they were ordered to sit in one line, females in
- 11 one line and males in one line. And normally, the person that you
- 12 are marrying is in front. They were matched together already or
- 13 sometimes they were given the numbers, so that if there are too
- 14 many people, you cannot see who is the husband. So the Khmer
- 15 Rouge already prepared the match in the wedding.
- 16 Q. And did you hear many accounts from witnesses that there were
- 17 these forced weddings or forced marriages were in large numbers?
- 18 I mean here the woman said there was hundreds of people there to
- 19 be married. Have you heard other accounts where there were
- 20 hundreds or certainly large numbers of people that were forced
- 21 together?
- 22 [14.08.09]
- 23 A. The largest number I heard was 300 but it's very rare. It is
- 24 mostly less than 50.
- 25 And this woman, the same woman that you are quoting, she

78

- 1 explained the typical wedding in the Khmer Rouge is two to eight
- 2 couples per day and they married at "angkapheap", but her wedding
- 3 was different.
- 4 Q. And was a man or a woman able to refuse an order to get
- 5 married?
- 6 A. It is again very diverse. There are a lot of opportunities
- 7 when both men and women could refuse. Not only one time but
- 8 multiple times they could refuse and they escaped the marriage.
- 9 But a lot of times, particularly in 1977-1978 when people were
- 10 already terrorized by the Khmer Rouge, by saying no, by refusing,
- 11 it might mean their death, that they would be killed. So many
- 12 people could not even say no even they didn't want to say no.
- 13 But the answer is that there was possibility that the people
- 14 refused to marry.
- 15 [14.09.50]
- 16 Q. And was that possibility to refuse to marry; was that -- did
- 17 that exist in all areas, all parts of the country from where you
- 18 interviewed your witnesses or would that appear or that option
- 19 appeared in one location or a number of locations?
- 20 A. If I am not wrong, I hear those possibilities everywhere that
- 21 I visited.
- 22 Q. And did you also hear accounts from witnesses that they were
- 23 unable to refuse to marry? So you were hearing two different
- 24 accounts?
- 25 If I can read you a passage from your book and it's E3/10655;

79

- 1 English, 01322864; no Khmer or no French. And this is a passage
- 2 from a widow who was threatened to be killed for refusing a
- 3 marriage arranged by the Khmer Rouge.
- 4 [14.11.12]
- 5 And she states -- and this is the large book, page 276:
- 6 "When my son was one year old, I was ordered to marry by the
- 7 Khmer Rouge. I did not want to marry. I was scared that my future
- 8 husband might abuse my baby from the previous husband. Therefore,
- 9 I refused. It was in the morning when I was told to marry. Then
- 10 the village chief came to talk with me and said, 'If you do not
- 11 agree to marry that man, you will be killed.' Therefore, there
- 12 was no choice and I had to marry that man.
- 13 "I married him in the evening of the same day when I was ordered
- 14 to marry. I was never happy with that husband and we did not talk
- 15 to each other at all. I had no feelings for him. After our
- 16 marriage, he was sent to somewhere else and we did not stay or
- 17 live together. Maybe only one time in three months he came back
- 18 to see me."
- 19 This -- these threats of being killed that this woman refers to,
- 20 was that a common feature that you heard from other witnesses in
- 21 relation to their choice to go through with the marriage because
- 22 of these threats or was that a rare example?
- 23 [14.12.51]
- 24 A. There are two patterns. One was that the Khmer Rouge or the
- 25 village chief explicitly said so that they would be killed or a

80

- 1 family member was killed if they refused, but it is not very
- 2 common even though I cannot minimize the size.
- 3 The -- more importantly, the second pattern is that people are
- 4 already terrified. They were living under the extreme fear if
- 5 they were against the Khmer Rouge they would be killed.
- 6 So there was a silent pressure in the society where they should
- 7 not say no.
- 8 Q. Did you hear any accounts or you referred to some in your
- 9 reports of women that were raped because they refused to marry;
- 10 is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, I think in my first research I heard that story. And I
- 12 also heard a woman who had to witness another woman's rape
- 13 because she refused to marry.
- 14 [14.14.14]
- 15 Q. And perhaps if I can just read one of those accounts out, and
- 16 this is in relation to your first book at E3/2959; English,
- 17 00421893; and Khmer, 00738347 to 48; and French, 00701496.
- 18 This woman states her husband had died and then she was ordered
- 19 to marry, and she states: "My husband was a French soldier. They
- 20 hanged my husband. Five months later they told me I had to marry
- 21 but I refused. They took me to the forest and raped me. After
- 22 they raped me, I said to them, 'Kill me'. I said, 'Six of my
- 23 children have already died, so please dig a hole and bury me
- 24 together with my four remaining children. I won't agree to marry.
- 25 Now, I'm almost mad.'"

81

- 1 Do you remember speaking to that woman?
- 2 A. I'm sorry; I don't recall my conversation with her.
- 3 [14.15.45]
- 4 Q. And with -- with all of the interviews or the excerpts from
- 5 interviews in your three different reports, were all of those
- 6 interviews conducted by you or were some of them conducted by
- 7 other researchers that were working with you?
- 8 A. The -- my first research as I informed the President of the
- 9 Court this morning, the interviews were conducted by me and my
- 10 colleague, so there were two.
- 11 And the -- another book, "Motherhood at War" which you are
- 12 referring, many interviews were conducted by me but some were
- 13 conducted by my students.
- 14 And if I may, you may have another evidence -- another book on
- 15 gender-based violence against sexual minorities in the Khmer
- 16 Rouge time which I also documented many forced marriages. All the
- 17 accounts are taken by me. I did all the interviews.
- 18 [14.16.57]
- 19 Q. Did you also hear accounts of people that were forced to --
- 20 ordered to marry but refused and were sent to hard labour or sent
- 21 to prison, and if you can explain a little?
- 22 A. Yes. I heard many stories of the punishment of refusal to the
- 23 marriage. One of the recent ones I heard was from Ratanakiri. She
- 24 was in the child group "Kong Koh Chhmar" (phonetic) and she was
- 25 15 years old when she was forced to marry. That was the end of

82

- 1 1978. No -- yes, around 1978.
- 2 And she was too small. She was only 15 years old and she refused
- 3 to marry and she was sent to the re-education camp for three
- 4 months. Then the Vietnamese came.
- 5 And also in my research in "Motherhood at War", I interviewed one
- 6 woman who was also sent to -- well, maybe not one but I recall
- 7 now one woman in Siem Reap that I interviewed. She told me she
- 8 was sent to the re-education camp because she refused the
- 9 marriage.
- 10 [14.18.16]
- 11 Q. In relation to these accounts that you refer to, do you think
- 12 that when a Khmer Rouge authority approached a man or a woman and
- 13 instructed or ordered them to marry, do you think, and from the
- 14 information you have received from the people you have spoken to
- 15 that they were in -- had an ability to exercise a full and frank
- 16 consent to that marriage or not?
- 17 A. It may depend on the location, the governance system of the
- 18 location and the year, in my opinion.
- 19 In some areas, apparently the village chiefs were very
- 20 sympathetic and very nice. So even though the people are forced
- 21 to engage in the very tough labour, still the village chief was
- 22 sympathetic and very nice. And under those conditions, people
- 23 dared to question or refuse their proposals.
- 24 But in other areas where Khmer Rouge at the village level imposed
- 25 a strict level -- strict regulation, people's lives were filled

83

- 1 with terror. They were terrified and they were scared all the
- 2 time. So it could have been impossible to raise their voices to
- 3 say no.
- 4 [14.20.09]
- 5 Q. And just briefly, when we discuss where your interviews were
- 6 conducted and where people gave you evidence of reports of these
- 7 forced marriages, was -- were the people that said they were in
- 8 terror, in fear from refusing if they refused to accept to follow
- 9 the marriage proposal or the marriage instructions, were they
- 10 people living in different areas around the country?
- 11 A. My research was not only focusing on the forced marriage, it
- 12 was broader in scope. So it was the lives of mostly women in the
- 13 Khmer Rouge time.
- 14 And most commonly, women were scared, women were afraid and women
- 15 were very worried during the Khmer Rouge time. And the degree of
- 16 those feelings differs from one place to another and also from
- 17 individual to another, so I cannot generalize.
- 18 But it was reported from all divisions that I visited, women were
- 19 living under the terror, continuous terror.
- 20 [14.21.43]
- 21 Q. Thank you. And perhaps now after the -- we can move to what
- 22 happened after the marriage ceremony.
- 23 You said in the authorized marriages that the Khmer Rouge
- 24 authorities provided a hut or a place for the men and women to
- 25 stay together for a day or a week. For the people that were

84

- 1 forced to marry, did you receive any accounts from witnesses that
- 2 they were also forced to consummate that marriage or not?
- 3 A. For those men and women who were authorized to marriage, I
- 4 don't have a clear picture whether they were instructed.
- 5 But for those people who were forced against their will to marry,
- 6 the same with the others. They were prepared a hut to stay a
- 7 night or several nights together. So they were instructed to stay
- 8 together overnight in those places and mostly they were
- 9 instructed to consummate the marriage.
- 10 [14.23.15]
- 11 Q. From speaking to witnesses, did you come across accounts of
- 12 witnesses that didn't want to consummate the marriage but were in
- 13 fear not to do so?
- 14 A. Yes, both men and women. They said that they didn't want to.
- 15 Not all but some explicitly said that they didn't want to and
- 16 they had to for their survival.
- 17 Q. If I can read an account from your first book, E3/2959;
- 18 English, 00421892; Khmer, 00738345; and French, 00701495; and
- 19 it's in the book at page 89.
- 20 An interview was conducted with a female who states she was
- 21 beaten by her husband after refusing to have sex after the
- 22 wedding.
- 23 And she states: "My second husband was a New Person. At the first
- 24 day after the wedding, he beat me because I did not love him and
- 25 refused to have sex. He hit me on the thighs with his hands so I

85

- 1 couldn't struggle and it made it easier for him to have sex with
- 2 me."
- 3 [14.24.57]
- 4 My question is, is that type of account common or isolated where
- 5 a husband would beat his new wife if she didn't consummate the
- 6 marriage? Is that a common story or an isolated one?
- 7 A. It's very difficult to answer to your question because it
- 8 depends on the individual. Apparently, some men used violence. It
- 9 could be because he was also scared that he has to consummate the
- 10 marriage and that drove him to use the violence against his wife.
- 11 But I also met men who were very scared and who could not do it
- 12 because a lot of men, they were deprived. If they were forced to
- 13 marry, they were deprived of their masculinity to initiate the
- 14 marriage, to start controlling the marriage life. And on the
- 15 first day, he was forced to see a wife that he has to be a
- 16 provider and a protector according to the Cambodian tradition.
- 17 Khmer Rouge could not get rid of those stereotypes of masculinity
- 18 among the Cambodian men.
- 19 [14.26.47]
- 20 Then those men also wanted to treat their wives nice. They wanted
- 21 their wife to like him and then they were at a loss. They didn't
- 22 know how to do in front of the wife who was so scared and who was
- 23 apparently refusing him.
- 24 So this account -- there were some accounts like this but not all
- 25 men who were forced into marriage behaved in this way. And I

86

- 1 cannot say it was rather common or rare.
- 2 Q. Did the couples that were forcibly married -- did you hear
- 3 accounts that said they were being monitored by the Khmer Rouge
- 4 local authorities to ensure that the marriage was consummated?
- 5 Did you hear accounts of that nature?
- 6 A. Yes, I heard those stories, particularly by the people who
- 7 were forced to marry against their will.
- 8 Q. And were those accounts of being monitored to ensure that
- 9 their marriage was consummated, were they -- were they common
- 10 accounts?
- 11 A. I heard many stories but I cannot say it was common. It could
- 12 have been common.
- 13 [14.28.40]
- 14 Q. As a result of a couple being forced to marry, were you -- did
- 15 you hear any accounts of women that had become pregnant because
- 16 of that forced marriage and particularly any thoughts that those
- 17 women had in relation to wanting to abort the child?
- 18 A. Many women got pregnant in the Khmer Rouge time and some were
- 19 as the result of those forced marriages. In principle, those
- 20 women that I met, all women did not want to get pregnant in the
- 21 Khmer Rouge time. So there is no difference between the women who
- 22 were forced to marry or who were already married or who were
- 23 voluntarily married and authorized in the Khmer Rouge time.
- 24 But what I heard was those women who were forced to marry against
- 25 their will and who became pregnant as a consequence of those

87

- 1 marriages, their suffering was more severe than the others. The
- 2 depression level was higher than the other women.
- 3 [14.30.10]
- 4 Q. And perhaps if I can read a brief account from your book, your
- 5 motherhood book, and it's book page number 289. It's E3/10655;
- 6 English, 01322877; and this woman talks about having an abortion
- 7 after being forced to marry a man when her dreams of being a
- 8 doctor died. Now, this may be the woman you referred to earlier
- 9 and she states:
- 10 "When I was pregnant, I thought about having an abortion. It was
- 11 really tough for me to be pregnant during that time. Even after
- 12 some babies were born, their lives were so tragic. They could
- 13 live with old grandmothers who fed the babies by chewing betel
- 14 nut and rice together. I could not stand to see that. Those
- 15 babies were sick a lot. It was so miserable, and I felt so sorry
- 16 for my future baby. I thought it was better for a baby not to be
- 17 born. It was better for me not to have a baby. However, there was
- 18 nothing I could do to stop my pregnancy. We did not have the
- 19 means to have an abortion during the war."
- 20 That account of a woman wanting to have an abortion but was
- 21 physically unable to, have you heard other accounts from other
- 22 women that were forcibly married that had that thinking as well?
- 23 [14.32.04]
- 24 A. Because abortion is a taboo issue to talk among Cambodians, it
- 25 is against their religion as they believe and it's against their

88

- 1 tradition, so I don't think so -- women openly talk about it with
- 2 me.
- 3 But in my research I always asked the women: Was there any time
- 4 when they thought about abortion when they were pregnant in the
- 5 Khmer Rouge time? And many explicitly said they wanted to have
- 6 abortion regardless of the reason of marriage.
- 7 And I think this woman, Ms. Phom was really sad when she was
- 8 pregnant because she didn't like the husband.
- 9 Q. And did you meet many people that were forced to be married
- 10 but stayed together after the Khmer Rouge period and if they did,
- 11 did they give you any reason why they stayed together if their
- 12 marriage in fact wasn't their own choice?
- 13 [14.33.28]
- 14 A. Yes, I did ask this question and the majority of the people
- 15 thought that they were married by whatever reason they should
- 16 stay together. That's the bottom line.
- 17 But if I listen to the voices of women, many women said that they
- 18 decided to stay with the husband or they decided or they liked
- 19 their husband because their husband brought food. And it was an
- 20 expectation, stereotypical expectation of Cambodian women that
- 21 man should be a protector and provider.
- 22 During the Khmer Rouge time those masculinities or gender roles
- 23 were deprived from the man. The husband could not provide any
- 24 protection for their wives.
- 25 After the Khmer Rouge, the traditional stereotypical ideas came

89

- 1 back and men were expected to support the family, to protect, to
- 2 provide and if men could fulfil those masculinities, the wives
- 3 started to like the husband.
- 4 And that was the major reason that I heard.
- 5 [14.34.52]
- 6 O. And what about the issue with forced marriage generally? When
- 7 you spoke to these men and women that were forced, what was --
- 8 was there a common view about it that they were unhappy that they
- 9 were forced to be married or they were happy about it? Can you
- 10 explain how they felt about the forced marriage itself?
- 11 A. Because it's already 40 years after they were forced to marry
- 12 the idea would be identified as of now. Those who were forced and
- 13 separated already by their decision or husband's decision, they
- 14 regret that the marriage happened at all. They didn't welcome it
- 15 at all.
- 16 But those who continued their marriage, they may not deny all the
- 17 journeys they travelled together until now because they started
- 18 up from the scratch after the Khmer Rouge, their marriage life
- 19 with the children for survival.
- 20 But when I asked them about the specific ceremony, wedding
- 21 ceremony and how they were married, mostly women said that they
- 22 regret that they didn't have a proper wedding, particularly they
- 23 regret they didn't have nice clothes, nice makeup and parents
- 24 were not there.
- 25 For the man, those that I interviewed, they didn't show much

90

- 1 emotions about their forced marriages. They accept it as it was
- 2 and they didn't have great remorse.
- 3 [14.36.55]
- 4 Q. When -- just going back with the consummation of the marriage,
- 5 in your opinion when the woman or man was instructed or ordered
- 6 to be married, were they able to exercise full and free consent
- 7 in terms of having sexual intercourse with their partners in that
- 8 DK period?
- 9 A. No, I don't think so. The consent to the marriage, the forced
- 10 marriage, was of course not their own free will and the decision
- 11 to sexuality was also not their own. They were terrified and they
- 12 were scared. So it was not from their genuine decision, both men
- 13 and women.
- 14 [14.38.06]
- 15 MR. SMITH:
- 16 All right. Thank you.
- 17 Mr. President, it's 20 to 3.00. I can keep going but if you would
- 18 like to break, I leave it in your hands.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. Deputy Co-Prosecutor. The Chamber will take a
- 21 20-minute break.
- 22 The Chamber is now in recess.
- 23 (Court recesses from 1438H to 1500H)
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Please be seated.

91

- 1 And the prosecutor, you may resume your questioning.
- 2 [15.00.49]
- 3 BY MR. SMITH:
- 4 Good afternoon, Mr. President, counsel and Ms. Expert.
- 5 Q. I just have a few more questions for you.
- 6 You've said today that you've done some significant research in
- 7 relation to sexual violence in Democratic Kampuchea and, in
- 8 particular as well, in relation to the regulation of marriage,
- 9 authorized marriages and forced marriages. You have material on
- 10 that in your first book in 2008. You have material on that in
- 11 your second book in relation to sexual violence against
- 12 minorities; sexual minorities. You have information about forced
- 13 marriages in your third book "Motherhood at War".
- 14 You said today that you've also received information reports of
- 15 forced marriage in relation to your current work dealing with
- 16 child soldiers during Democratic Kampuchea.
- 17 You refer in your report to the report of De Langis and others.
- 18 It's entitled, "Like Ghost Changes Body (Study on the Impact of
- 19 Forced Marriage Under the Khmer Rouge Regime) which was produced
- 20 in 2014.
- 21 And I assume you've also read the study of Rochelle Braaf,
- 22 "Sexual Violence Against Ethnic Minorities During the Khmer Rouge
- 23 Regime" in 2014.
- 24 So my question is, in light of what you've told us today, in
- 25 light of the studies you have done and the studies you are doing,

92

- 1 the studies of these others, other authors, in your opinion, were
- 2 forced marriages occurring in Democratic Kampuchea in most
- 3 provinces during that period of time?
- 4 [15.03.03]
- 5 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 6 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 7 Q. Also you mentioned earlier that another woman who did a -- who
- 8 made a report who was working with you at the time, I think at
- 9 the Cambodian Defenders Project, her name was Ms. Bridgette
- 10 Toy-Cronin and she wrote a report based on the same evidence that
- 11 you collected as a team. And I would just like to put to you an
- 12 opinion she had on that evidence and I would ask you if you could
- 13 comment on it.
- 14 The book is "I Want to Tell You" Stories of Sexual Violence
- during Democratic Kampuchea" and it's E3/3416; English, 00449489;
- 16 Khmer, 00721382; and French, 00630485. And it appears in book 87,
- 17 book number 87 and if I can ask that it be shown on the screen?
- 18 But if I just read -- if I just read her quote:
- 19 [15.04.24]
- 20 "The consistency of the description of the ceremonies across
- 21 geographical areas indicates the top level policy of forced
- 22 marriage. There were some variations in how strictly the local
- 23 administration applied the policy. As Sophon explained: I
- 24 declined to marry two times on the pretext that I lacked a dress
- 25 or a scarf but I decided to marry when they asked the third time.

93

- 1 In that area people were not forced to marry as they did at
- 2 others. Some areas were very strict that people could not deny
- 3 their orders, but Kampong Trach district where I lived was not so
- 4 strict, that is why I could reject their order." End of quote.
- 5 And then Ms. Toy-Cronin goes on to state, "Even in this area, it
- 6 is clear that policy existed. The variation was only in the
- 7 implementation."
- 8 I assume you've read her report. Can you comment on her opinion
- 9 as to the consistency of descriptions in the geographical areas
- 10 indicates a top-level policy and the variation of forced
- 11 marriages in different areas was only in the implementation? Do
- 12 you agree with that?
- 13 [15.06.10]
- 14 A. I think there was a policy from top level to organize mass
- 15 weddings. But I don't have enough evidence to say that there was
- 16 a policy from the top level to organize forced marriages.
- 17 Q. Thank you. And nonetheless, your evidence is that forced
- 18 marriages occurred in most provinces in Cambodia. Is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. That was correct.
- 21 [15.06.51]
- 22 Q. Okay. If I can put a document to you and it is a policy
- 23 document from the Communist Party of Kampuchea, and it relates to
- 24 a "Revolutionary Flag" and it's entitled "Revolutionary and
- 25 Non-Revolutionary World Views Regarding the Matter of Family

94

- 1 Building". It's from the 2nd of June 1975 but was reprinted from
- 2 the 2nd of February 1974. And we show it on the screen. It's book
- 3 number 487 and the E3 number is E3/775; English, 00417943; Khmer,
- 4 00407101; and French, 00593930.
- 5 And it reads, "How should we, the revolutionary youth, choose a
- 6 spouse?"
- 7 It goes on and says: "Organizational discipline must be
- 8 absolutely respected. In the matter of family building, no matter
- 9 the outcome of the organizations and the collectives' assessments
- 10 and decisions, they must be absolutely respected. Do not have
- 11 hard feelings. Do not be disappointed. This is because only the
- 12 organization and the collective are able to make a thorough
- 13 assessment from every aspect. Therefore, do subjectively and
- 14 follow your personal emotions."
- 15 Now, that's a magazine that's directed towards Communist Party of
- 16 Kampuchea cadre, high-level Khmer Rouge during the period.
- 17 [15.08.54]
- 18 And I'll just put one more quote and then I'll just ask you a
- 19 question.
- 20 Now, this is a statement from Nuon Chea, which was recorded by
- 21 his biographers, Gina Chon and Thet Sambath in their book,
- 22 "Behind the Killing Fields". This is book number 455 and it's
- 23 E3/4202, 00757496 and Khmer 0085--
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Co-Prosecutor, please repeat the ERN numbers again and do it

95

- 1 slower.
- 2 BY MR. SMITH:
- 3 I apologize again, Your Honour, 00858253 in Khmer; in French,
- 4 00849377 to 78.
- 5 Q. And the authors quote Nuon Chea when he states, "The man
- 6 always wants to choose a beautiful girl. So that's why we forced
- 7 them to get married and Angkar choose his wife."
- 8 With these statements in mind -- we have an objection.
- 9 [15.10.26]
- 10 MR. KOPPE:
- 11 We do have an objection, indeed.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Yes, counsel for Nuon Chea, you have the floor.
- 14 MR. KOPPE:
- 15 Yes, Mr. President, I do have an objection. Let me start with a
- 16 minor one. I'm not quite sure as to why Thet Sambath and Gina
- 17 Chon have now been promoted to the biographers of Nuon Chea. So
- 18 that is a very small objection to that phrasing of that
- 19 particular question.
- 20 The other objection goes to the selectivity of the documents the
- 21 Prosecution is quoting from. It's quoting from a "Revolutionary
- 22 Flag" whereas, at the same time, the Prosecution is well aware of
- 23 the existence of the 12 revolutionary principles, specifically
- 24 principle 6, which is much more concrete as to marriages.
- 25 [15.11.34]

96

- 1 More importantly, the expert has just testified earlier that she
- 2 has not studied any documents, policy documents, revolutionary
- 3 documents, contemporaneous documents. So by her own admission,
- 4 she is not an expert as to those particular documents, policy
- 5 documents so--
- 6 JUDGE FENZ:
- 7 I'm sorry, Counsel. Wouldn't it make sense to wait for the
- 8 question until you object? You object to -- I haven't heard the
- 9 question yet. I have just heard documents that were presented.
- 10 You seem to make assumptions as to what the question will be.
- 11 Doesn't it make more sense to wait for the question until you
- 12 object?
- 13 MR. KOPPE:
- 14 We can all anticipate the question but if the Prosecution would
- 15 be so kind and stand up and ask the question, I am sure I will
- 16 object on the exact same grounds.
- 17 [15.12.32]
- 18 BY MR. SMITH:
- 19 Thank you, Your Honour.
- 20 Q. These statements that I have just read out to you where the
- 21 authors are indicating that the CPK, Communist Party of Kampuchea
- 22 have control over the marriage process, is that -- are those
- 23 statements consistent with the field studies that you have done
- 24 about the control of Khmer Rouge authorities at the local level
- 25 on a nationwide basis?

97

- 1 MR. KOPPE:
- 2 So now the question has been posed, I maintain my objections. It
- 3 is a selective quotation, selective citation from the existing
- 4 contemporaneous documents from the CPK. And in addition, as I
- 5 said earlier, according to the admission of the expert, she
- 6 herself is not familiar with policy documents. She hasn't studied
- 7 them. So asking her for an expert opinion on this particular
- 8 issue is going beyond her expertise.
- 9 And the minor objection as to how Thet Sambath and Gina Chon
- 10 should be qualified, I, of course, also maintain.
- 11 [15.14.11]
- 12 JUDGE FENZ:
- 13 Counsel, do you so far get the impression that this expert isn't
- 14 able to say, "I don't know" or "I don't have an answer" if she
- 15 doesn't have an answer? I have heard that, I think, two or three
- 16 times.
- 17 So this is not a witness which can be easily misled and obviously
- 18 you are open or free to use all the evidence you want to use in
- 19 support of a question to the expert. Again, that's an expert.
- 20 That's not a witness to be misled.
- 21 MR. KOPPE:
- 22 I do absolutely agree with you that this expert other than most
- 23 experts is perfectly capable of acknowledging as to what she is
- 24 an expert in or not. That doesn't change the fact that I do
- 25 object to the selection of the contemporaneous document. That is

98

- 1 something that is a very common objection in this courtroom. I
- 2 get objected to selective use of materials all the time. So I
- 3 wouldn't have any problem with the question than if the
- 4 Prosecution would add in the mix the sixth revolutionary
- 5 principle.
- 6 And I take your guidance as to the capability of this particular
- 7 expert, other than others, acknowledge what is indeed her
- 8 knowledge and what isn't.
- 9 [15.15.39]
- 10 BY MR. SMITH:
- 11 Yes Your Honour, in fact, that's my last point. I will get to the
- 12 12 precepts or certainly, code six.
- 13 Your Honour, certainly the witness -- the expert wasn't being
- 14 misled. It was just she has expertise in terms of the widespread
- 15 practice of forced marriage, it is reasonable for her to comment
- 16 on whether or not those documents are credible in the position
- 17 that they are putting forward.
- 18 Q. But perhaps if we move forward, did you answer my question?
- 19 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 20 A. No.
- 21 [15.16.16]
- 22 Q. No, all right.
- 23 So are those -- are the observations that you had made in
- 24 relation to the widespread practice of forced marriage across
- 25 Cambodia from yours and other research, is that consistent with

99

- 1 the level of control that is being indicated in relation to this
- 2 magazine and the statement of Nuon Chea as to the level of
- 3 control over marriages in Democratic Kampuchea? Is it consistent?
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Then I need to have a ruling. I object, Mr. President, because
- 6 it's a very smooth way of moving away from it but I need to have
- 7 the Prosecution read out that sixth principle. Otherwise, I
- 8 object to the question.
- 9 [15.17.10]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 The objection is overruled.
- 12 And Ms. Expert, please respond to the question.
- 13 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 14 A. Thank you very much for the evidence from "Behind the Killing
- 15 Fields".
- 16 The reasons for marriages seems to me very weird and I do not
- 17 recall those reasons to be raised that men were choosing the
- 18 beautiful girls and etc. But on the bottom that Nuon Chea thought
- 19 the purpose of marriage should be to serve the movement as he --
- 20 to have married -- the purpose of marriage should be to serve the
- 21 movement, exactly much what I have seen.
- 22 And for another document also, I don't think so I can link this
- 23 to forced marriage but I can link this to the marriage life that
- 24 the marriage life per se did not exist after people were married
- or people were forced to marry. That's what I can say.

100

- 1 [15.18.32]
- 2 BY MR. SMITH:
- 3 Q. Thank you, Ms. Expert.
- 4 I just have two last, two very quick last questions. I want to
- 5 refer you to a "Revolutionary Flag" magazine. It's produced by
- 6 the Communist Party of Kampuchea in October 1978 and it states --
- 7 it was entitled, "Concentrate on Further Indoctrination of the 12
- 8 Precepts of the Revolutionary" and it's at E3/765; English,
- 9 00539994; Khmer, 00376493 to 97; and French, 00540024 to 25. And
- 10 that's the book number, 514, effectively showing on the screen.
- 11 I want to just go to a small passage where it states:
- 12 "And as for the current issue of setting up a family, there is no
- 13 obstacle; this is just based on two principles of the Party.
- 14 First, both parties agree and, second, the collective agrees, and
- 15 then it is done. Why should this impact on male-female morality."
- 16 This is dated October 1978.
- 17 My question to you: Does this reflect what was happening in
- 18 Cambodia in relation to the practice of forced marriage or not?
- 19 [15.20.31]
- 20 A. These two principles to some who are married, they could have
- 21 applied, that I mean two principles was applied, but those who
- 22 are forced to married, they were forced to make an oath that they
- 23 agreed to marriage. So it was not from their genuine consensus.
- 24 And for the collective agrees under the fear of the violence,
- 25 nobody could make any objections to other people's marriage. So

101

- 1 from the Party side, it could have been said that both party
- 2 agreed in the wedding ceremony, and collective agreed in the
- 3 ceremony, but from the women and men's view, some, yes, they
- 4 agreed, but some they didn't. So those two principles were not
- 5 applied to all the marriages.
- 6 MR. SMITH:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. We have no further questions.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Thank you, Co-Prosecutor. And now I hand the floor to the Lead
- 10 Co-Lawyers for civil parties.
- 11 [15.22.15]
- 12 OUESTIONING BY MS. GUIRAUD:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, everyone.
- 14 Good afternoon, Madam Expert, my name is Marie Guiraud and with
- 15 my colleague, Ang Pich, I will be representing the civil parties
- 16 in this case.
- 17 Q. I have several questions to ask of you, principally on the
- 18 impact of these forced marriages on men and women during the
- 19 Democratic Kampuchea regime and then afterwards after the end of
- 20 the regime.
- 21 I would like to begin by what you spoke about this morning when
- 22 you indicated that for the parents before the regime of
- 23 Democratic Kampuchea, the decisions concerning education and
- 24 marriages were probably the most important ones for Cambodians.
- 25 So, I wanted to know if marriages at that time, before the DK

102

- 1 regime, were an important event in the life of a woman or a man
- 2 in Cambodia. What was the importance of the event of marriage in
- 3 someone's life?
- 4 [15.23.40]
- 5 MS. NAKAGAWA:
- 6 A. Thank you so much.
- 7 The importance of the marriage before the Khmer Rouge time for
- 8 women was, they had to fulfil the duties as daughters to follow
- 9 their parents' decision to marry them and to pay the respect,
- 10 gratitude to the parents. So it's a very important symbolic
- 11 process for the daughters.
- 12 And for men, it was a very important start that men can fulfil
- 13 their hegemonic masculinities to be a main protector and main
- 14 providers for his own family away from his parents.
- 15 Q. Thank you. You have explained how women, in particular, viewed
- 16 marriage as a way to honour and respect their parents. I wanted
- 17 to know if, on the other hand, marriage also offered some type of
- 18 protection to the woman who accepted to get married?
- 19 [15.25.14]
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct. Women are under the protection at all
- 21 stages of their lives. So they were under the protection of their
- 22 parents and then this switched to their husband.
- 23 Q. In a document that has already been submitted to you this
- 24 morning, Theresa De Langis's work E3/9614, ERN in English
- 25 01037063, she mentions a "safety net" which is said to have

103

- 1 disappeared during the DK regime. Do you agree or what is your
- 2 opinion on this term "safety net" which existed before and which
- 3 is said to have disappeared after?
- 4 A. I agree. There was no safety net at all for both men and women
- 5 during the Khmer Rouge time. An individual was separated from
- 6 their families. Daughters and sons were removed by their parents.
- 7 Husband was removed from the wife, wife was removed from the
- 8 husband.
- 9 All social, fundamental structure was destructed and destroyed by
- 10 the Khmer Rouge, so there was no safety net.
- 11 [15.27.00]
- 12 Q. And can you describe more precisely to the Chamber what the
- 13 impact was on the women that you interviewed of the disappearance
- of the safety net?
- 15 A. For the young single women who were not yet married, they lost
- 16 the protection from their parents and, of course, they lost the
- 17 opportunity to provide protection for their parents that they
- 18 were supposed to do. And the emotional impact from such should
- 19 have been huge that daughters could not protect their parents.
- 20 It depends on the age, but if a girl at that time started already
- 21 to help some housework, she was already internalized the idea
- 22 that she has to also provide some protection to their parents.
- 23 It's vice versa. So the daughters would have impacted to be
- 24 deprived of such an important duty in her life, to protect their
- 25 parents, which is a part of paying back their respect, gratitude

104

- 1 to their parents.
- 2 [15.28.38]
- 3 Q. And for the men, were you able to draw a conclusion on the
- 4 impact that it had on them, the disappearance of the safety net?
- 5 A. For the single man, single boys, who are not married in the
- 6 Khmer Rouge, they -- again, it depends -- but for the elder one,
- 7 let's say between 10 to 20 who are single, they were -- the
- 8 younger ones missed their mothers so much. They needed a lot of
- 9 protection and love from their mothers.
- 10 I was very much moved when I met with elder man who repeated that
- 11 he told me they missed their mother. It was very moving to me.
- 12 And how they tried to see their mothers at the risk of their
- 13 life. But I don't think so that the man, in the same way as
- 14 women, they thought that they have to provide protection for
- 15 their parents.
- 16 [15.29.57]
- 17 Q. Thank you. Now, I would like to quote to you a very short
- 18 excerpt from testimony we heard here before the Court. This is
- 19 2-TCCP-232, that is the pseudonym that was assigned to this civil
- 20 party who was heard on 25 August 2016, and who indicated at 10.05
- 21 approximately -- he was describing the differences between the
- 22 marriages before the DK regime and after the DK regime, <and> he
- 23 said: "Before <the> family had to take part in order to bless the
- 24 future husband and wife." So I wanted to question you about this
- 25 blessing.

105

- 1 Can you explain to the Court how the family used to bless or
- 2 provide blessings to the spouses when they were married before
- 3 the regime and if this possibility of blessing marriages existed
- 4 during the DK regime?
- 5 A. The -- first of all, during the Khmer Rouge time, DK time as
- 6 you say, there was no blessing. The parents were deprived of the
- 7 opportunity to fulfil the obligations to bless or to congratulate
- 8 or to welcome the weddings of their children.
- 9 Before the DK period, in the process of the marriage, the parents
- 10 of both parties engaged actively more than their son or daughter
- 11 because it's a very important duty of the parents to proceed to
- 12 arrange and to proceed and to manage, materialize the marriage.
- 13 [15.32.22]
- 14 This process may be old -- already called a blessing and in the
- 15 ceremony itself, in the weddings, the parents had to attend the
- 16 whole ceremony with the monks, with the community people and the
- 17 relatives, and I think this is already a sign for blessing and to
- 18 welcome the weddings.
- 19 For example, I remember one woman that I met, she told me her
- 20 father could not join her wedding -- before the Khmer Rouge time
- 21 -- her father could not join her wedding for half a morning
- 22 because he had a government meeting and she thought it's a shame
- 23 that her father was not presenting at the meeting.
- 24 So the simple participation or attendance to the event of the
- 25 wedding showing the attitude that they welcome the wedding, they

106

- 1 are happy to -- they are accepting and they are also giving some
- 2 words to congratulate, I think that's already called blessing.
- 3 [15.33.50]
- 4 Q. Did you note that people who were married during the DK regime
- 5 without their family being able to participate in the ceremony,
- 6 did you note that they suffered from the absence of their family?
- 7 And, if that was the case, what can you say about this? Can you
- 8 provide us with details on the impact of the absence of the
- 9 family during the wedding ceremony?
- 10 A. It impacted absolutely a lot over the people who were married
- in the DK regime, whatever ways they were married.
- 12 I don't have many accounts from men who were married in the Khmer
- 13 Rouge, how they felt about the wedding that their parents were
- 14 not there, but I have many accounts from the women who were
- 15 married and whose parents were not there.
- 16 They were very sad. They regretted that their parents were not
- 17 there to stay with them and they still carry on this legacy or
- 18 remorse until now.
- 19 [15.35.32]
- 20 Q. Thank you. You also said this morning that religious blessings
- 21 had been abolished during the DK regime and that there were no
- 22 religious ceremonies during weddings. So which impact did this
- 23 absence of religious ceremonies, of religious presence, have on
- 24 the people that you interviewed?
- 25 A. To my memory -- because the way that I interviewed was not

107

- 1 very much focusing on the religious part in the wedding ceremony
- 2 because I, myself, do not believe any religion, so I do not
- 3 recall any impacts of missing the -- any sort of religious
- 4 ceremony that they practised before the Khmer Rouge were present.
- 5 But it's more about the absence of their parents and the family
- 6 members and they wanted the community people to also celebrate
- 7 but it was not possible. And also the clothing that they were
- 8 forced to wear, the black clothes with only the krama which was
- 9 completely against what they were expecting their wedding to be.
- 10 And also the food because Khmer weddings were filled with a lot
- 11 of pigs, with chickens, special food with snacks and everything.
- 12 That was all -- not ignored, but that was not all prepared in the
- 13 wedding, and women missed those parts also.
- 14 [15.37.40]
- 15 Q. Thank you. So you were speaking about the issue of <female>
- 16 virginity in your book, E3/2959; English, ERN 00421889, and you
- 17 are speaking -- or you spoke about the importance of virginity
- 18 for Cambodian women, or for Cambodians in general, men as well,
- 19 by referring to a Cambodian proverb which I'm going to quote in
- 20 English because the document only exists in English: "Men are
- 21 golds while women are white cloths."
- 22 Can you therefore explain to the Chamber how or why virginity is
- 23 so important in Cambodia?
- 24 A. I'll try to make it simple. The -- according to Cambodian
- 25 traditions, children are the properties of the parents and,

108

- 1 particularly, the daughters were regarded as important
- 2 properties, which should be kept pure until the marriage. This is
- 3 for the purpose of maintaining the family reputation and also to
- 4 ensure that the daughters could have a good, decent marriage as
- 5 the parents wish so.
- 6 [15.39.43]
- 7 So from generation to generation, the parents are enforcing this
- 8 idea that their daughters should be pure, to keep their virginity
- 9 until the marriage. And the daughters internalize this idea that
- 10 they should be away from any boys until the marriage date.
- 11 So virginity is not only about virginity in the reproductive
- 12 health sense, but before the Khmer Rouge time, the women that I
- 13 met many told me that they tried to avoid any contacts with men,
- 14 to keep them as pure. Having any contacts with boys could provoke
- 15 the rumour that she was a bad girl meeting with the boys. So it
- 16 is not about only the physical issues.
- 17 Q. Thank you. In the course of your research, were you able to
- 18 conclude that certain women had suffered from having lost their
- 19 virginity in the context of a forced marriage during the DK
- 20 regime? Is that part of the suffering that was expressed in the
- 21 interviews that you conducted?
- 22 A. Yes, it was. The -- it depends of the women, how they
- 23 described, but, first of all, they regarded a forced sex in the
- 24 forced marriage as an attack over their body, that the husbands
- 25 tried to attack their body and, as a consequence, they lost their

109

- 1 virginity and they feel regret about it.
- 2 [15.42.03]
- 3 Q. Thank you. In your book, the same ERN and indexed E3/2959;
- 4 English, ERN 00421892; Khmer, 00738345; you indicate -- and I'm
- 5 going to quote this in English: "The private sphere for
- 6 newly-weds was completely ignored."
- 7 Can you explain to the Chamber what you mean by this assertion?
- 8 In which way was the private sphere of the newly-weds ignored
- 9 under the DK regime and can you tell the Chamber, if the men and
- 10 women you interviewed suffered from this disappearance of their
- 11 private sphere?
- 12 [15.43.13]
- 13 A. When I refer to the private affairs was deprived, I was
- 14 specifically referring to those couples who are forced to marry
- 15 and who are monitored by the spies. There are a lot of accounts
- 16 by both men and women who were forced to get into the marriage
- 17 against their will by the Khmer Rouge.
- 18 In the evenings, or after the wedding days, the spy that were
- 19 most probably the small children that was sent by the Khmer
- 20 Rouge, came around their houses -- but it's not the house,
- 21 concrete house, it's a hut made by the leaves of bananas or
- 22 something that -- it already lacked the privacy that people may
- 23 -- people might could have seen inside from the hole. But in
- 24 addition to those lack of privacy, the spies came to monitor what
- 25 the husband and wife was talking about and husband and wife also

110

- 1 assumed that the spies came to check whether they were completing
- 2 the marriage. So that is what I refer to.
- 3 Q. Thank you. You said this morning -- or maybe at the beginning
- 4 of this afternoon -- that the issue of sex was particularly taboo
- 5 in Cambodia and that people did not speak about it. So the fact
- 6 of having been monitored with the aim of checking if marriages
- 7 were consummated, did you note that this is something that caused
- 8 harm or suffering to the people you interviewed?
- 9 A. Yes, yes, and it impacted extremely and disproportionately
- 10 impacted over the man because men were tasked and forced to rape
- 11 a wife.
- 12 [15.45.55]
- 13 Q. So what conclusion can you draw from what you said? That is to
- 14 say that men were obliged to rape their wives? What was the
- 15 impact of this on men and on women; let's start with the men?
- 16 A. Thank you. Yes, let's start with the man. The -- forcing a man
- 17 to rape somebody is inhuman act. Not all men could do it, first
- 18 of all, but they were forced to do that. And the fear is
- 19 unmeasurable how he was scared if he failed to do it.
- 20 And many women apparently were very scared or they were showing
- 21 the emotion that they don't want to accept the husband.
- 22 And under such terrible circumstances, men had to complete it.
- 23 It's an inhuman act and I cannot imagine how some men could have
- 24 done that, and maybe that's why many men were sent to
- 25 re-education for failing to rape the wife.

111

- 1 And I'm sure that it impacted over the marriage life, that the
- 2 husband might have been feel guilty to the wife or he worried or
- 3 scared that his wife would never love him and that might have
- 4 remained as a scar or a trauma for a long period of time until he
- 5 could recover his masculinity and to ensure that -- he could
- 6 ensure that his wife actually accepted him.
- 7 So the impact was huge and it's really inhuman act against the
- 8 man.
- 9 [15.48.27]
- 10 For the women, I would continue? For the women, the -- first of
- 11 all, the impact was already huge when she was forced to marry
- 12 against her will and her -- without her parents' consent. So she
- 13 was already after the stage that she was deprived of almost all
- 14 the hopes. And those forced married couples, mostly they knew
- 15 that they have to consummate the marriage because of the
- 16 instruction at the marriage ceremony or from the village chief.
- 17 So she knew what would be happening in the evening of the
- 18 wedding. And, again, on one hand she has to accept his her
- 19 husband because it's an absolute order. She cannot say no. If she
- 20 could say no, then the result might be -- the worst scenario is
- 21 death. So she has to accept the husband.
- 22 And this is a huge terror imposed on a woman who may not have
- 23 been most probably exposed to any sexuality issues and, of
- 24 course, after the rape it happens, I think, in many ways. Some
- 25 rape happened in a very violent way as I have documented. The

112

- 1 husband used violence, but some rapes were not violent as I
- 2 documented, but the men were forced to rape their wife and the
- 3 wife had to be raped by the husband.
- 4 [15.50.21]
- 5 This leaves a lot of traumatic experience for the wife. It
- 6 depends on how they could have lived afterwards, but a loss of
- 7 parental consent to the husband was a big traumatic experience
- 8 and now that she was forced to actually have the relationship,
- 9 sexual relationship, with the man by breaking her virginity
- 10 without her parents' consent is another step to enter her -- to
- 11 put her into another traumatic experience.
- 12 And, of course, some women had their reproductive health problem
- 13 because of the violence rape. That may remain until now, for some
- 14 women became pregnant as a consequences of such a rape.
- 15 [15.51.20]
- 16 Q. Thank you. In order to understand your position clearly
- 17 regarding this issue, do you believe that with regard to all of
- 18 the forced marriages which you spoke about -- and you made a
- 19 clear distinction between authorized and forced marriages -- so
- 20 when we're speaking about a forced marriage, do you believe that
- 21 the consummation of this marriage necessarily led to rape or not?
- 22 Did this depend on the circumstances or on the cases, or do you
- 23 believe that in the case of forced marriages, as of the moment
- 24 that this marriage was consummated, this was, in your eyes, a
- 25 rape?

113

- 1 A. Yes. In my opinion, it was legalized rape. The rape had to be
- 2 done in order to follow the instruction of the Khmer Rouge.
- 3 [15.52.35]
- 4 Q. Thank you. So you therefore clearly explained to the
- 5 International Co-Prosecutor the difference between authorized and
- 6 forced marriages and you also, upon several occasions, spoke
- 7 about the climate of extreme fear or of continuous terror that
- 8 was rife during the DK regime.
- 9 So I wanted to know if this climate of extreme <fear and> terror
- 10 was also the same one in which the people whose marriages were
- 11 authorized lived in?
- 12 A. Yes, that's correct. So women -- both man and women, were
- 13 living under the multiple layers of terrors and horrors, and they
- 14 were subjected to the multiple types of human rights violations
- 15 that include the rape.
- 16 Q. Let me please insist upon this -- so this climate of fear
- 17 prevailed whether the marriages were authorized or forced. Did I
- 18 understand your testimony properly?
- 19 A. Excuse me, could you please rephrase the question?
- 20 [15.54.23]
- 21 Q. Of course. I'm trying to go fast because I don't have much
- 22 time, so maybe this leads me to take shortcuts.
- 23 You explained this morning the difference between authorized and
- 24 forced marriages, and you described upon several occasions the
- 25 climate of fear <and terror> that existed under the DK regime.

114

- 1 So in your eyes, the people whose marriages were authorized, were
- 2 they also living under this same climate of fear and terror that
- 3 you described <throughout your testimony>?
- 4 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 5 Q. Thank you. You spoke about maternity and about women who
- 6 became pregnant during the DK regime following a forced marriage.
- 7 So I would like you to explain to the Chamber which kind of
- 8 impact, which kind of harm these women suffered because they
- 9 became pregnant during the DK regime? That's my first question.
- 10 And then, if they became pregnant following a forced marriage?
- 11 [15.55.57]
- 12 A. I'm sorry, I think I couldn't catch that second question
- 13 correctly, but let me reply to you the first question, the impact
- 14 of the pregnancy from the forced marriages over the women and
- 15 maybe men.
- 16 For the women, she was forced into the unwanted -- the marriage
- 17 -- the unwanted marriage, meaning that she was forced to marry
- 18 with a man that she was not intending to marry by the instruction
- 19 of the parents or by the suggestion by the parents.
- 20 So from the beginning, she has -- she had no love or affection to
- 21 the husband and she was not obliged at all to love him because
- 22 there was no parental consent to the marriage. And then if you --
- 23 or if she finds that she's pregnant with such a man, a lot of
- 24 women get angry because they could not escape from the pregnancy.
- 25 They didn't love the husband. They didn't want that child. They

115

- 1 didn't want that child with her husband, but she has to carry on
- 2 the pregnancy and during the Khmer Rouge time DK period, that
- 3 pregnant women were not provided any special care as expectant
- 4 mothers so being pregnant is added burden for any pregnant women.
- 5 [15.57.45]
- 6 But if she is carrying a child of a man that raped her or the
- 7 husband whom she didn't want, she also fears that she cannot --
- 8 not all women -- but she cannot have affection to a child at all
- 9 in addition to the terrible living circumstances. She was at a
- 10 loss of how she could manage her pregnancy and also many women
- 11 thought about after the child birth if she could love her child.
- 12 How -- from the beginning, how the child can survive. Many women
- 13 thought about it. If the pregnancy continued, can the baby
- 14 survive? That's a big concern of a woman. And if a child is born,
- 15 can she love a child as a child that was born from the parental
- 16 consent marriage from the husband. So there is a huge traumatic
- 17 experience for the women.
- 18 And for man, I would imagine -- I didn't interview a man whose
- 19 wife was pregnant because of the forced marriage, but I would
- 20 easily imagine that man would also suffer by knowing that as a
- 21 consequences of rape, even though he didn't want to, his wife,
- 22 his partner became pregnant, and this should have a huge impact
- 23 over the manhood; that he might have accepted and wanted the
- 24 child as his responsibility.
- 25 [15.59.40]

116

- 1 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 2 Thank you, Madam Expert.
- 3 Mr. President, I have 10 to 15 minutes of additional questions
- 4 that I would like to pose. I would like to ask the Chamber 10 to
- 5 15 additional minutes tomorrow morning, if that's possible?
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Yes, your request is granted.
- 8 It is now an appropriate time for the adjournment.
- 9 The Chamber will resume its hearing on Wednesday, 14 September
- 10 2016 at 9 a.m.
- 11 Tomorrow, the Chamber will continue hearing the testimony of the
- 12 expert, Kasumi, and then proceeds to hear the witness 2-TCW-954
- 13 in relation to Security Centres and Internal Purges. Please be
- 14 informed and please be on time.
- 15 I'm grateful to you, Ms. Expert Kasumi. The hearing of your
- 16 testimony has not come to an end yet. You are therefore invited
- 17 to come and testify once again tomorrow, starting from 9 a.m.
- 18 [16.01.04]
- 19 Court officer with WESU, please send Kasumi to the place where
- 20 she is staying at the moment and invite her into the courtroom
- 21 tomorrow on 14 September 2016 at 9 a.m.
- 22 Security personnel are instructed to bring the two accused, Nuon
- 23 Chea and Khieu Samphan, back to the ECCC's detention facility and
- 24 have them returned into the courtroom tomorrow before 9.a.m.
- 25 The Court is now adjourned.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 453 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 13 September 2016