

**BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT CHAMBER
EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA**

FILING DETAILS

Case No: 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC **Party Filing:** Co-Prosecutors
Filed to: Supreme Court Chamber **Original Language:** English
Date of document: 20 August 2019

CLASSIFICATION

**Classification of the document
suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC

Classification by Supreme Court Chamber: សាធារណៈ/Public

Classification Status:

Review of Interim Classification:

Records Officer Name:

Signature:



CO-PROSECUTORS' APPEAL AGAINST THE CASE 002/02 TRIAL JUDGMENT

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Filed by:

Co-Prosecutors
CHEA Leang
Brenda J. HOLLIS
(Reserve)

Distributed to:

Supreme Court Chamber
Judge KONG Srim, President
Judge C. N. JAYASINGHE
Judge SOM Sereyvuth
Judge Florence Ndepele MUMBA
Judge MONG Monichariya
Judge Maureen HARDING CLARK
Judge YA Narin

Accused

KHIEU Samphan

Counsel for KHIEU Samphan

KONG Sam Onn
Anta GUISSÉ

Copied to:

Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyer
PICH Ang

I. ECCC LAW

Core Legal Documents

(In ECCC Legal Compendium – not included)

1. Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia, Internal Rules (Rev. 9) as revised 16 January 2015 (“Internal Rule(s)”), Rules 101, 104, 105, 107, 110.

Jurisprudence

Supreme Court Chamber (SCC)

2. **E176/2/1/4** Decision on Nuon Chea’s Appeal Against the Trial Chamber’s Decision on Rule 35 Applications for Summary Action, 14 September 2012, para. 25.
3. Case 001-**F28** Appeal Judgement, 3 February 2012 (“*Duch* AJ”), paras 14, 16-19, 183, 213. *(Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached)* (**Authority 1**)
4. **F36** Case 002/01 Appeal Judgement, 23 November 2016 (“Case 002/01 AJ”), paras 85-86, 88-89, 96, 304, 576, 578, 580, 584.
5. **F43** Decision on Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan’s Requests for Extensions of Time and Page Limits on Notices of Appeal, 26 April 2019, paras 11, 13.

Pre-Trial Chamber (PTC)

6. **D55/1/8** Decision on Nuon Chea’s Appeal Against Order Refusing Request for Annulment, 26 August 2008, para. 21.
7. **D427/1/26** Decision on Ieng Sary’s Appeal Against the Closing Order, 13 January 2011, para. 7(2).
8. **D427/1/30** Decision on Ieng Sary’s Appeal Against the Closing Order, 11 April 2011 (“IS Closing Order Decision”), paras 364-372, 379-385, 398.
9. **D427/2/12** Decision on Ieng Thirith and Nuon Chea’s Appeal Against the Closing Order, 13 January 2011, Disposition para. 1(2).
10. **D427/2/15** Decision on Appeals by Nuon Chea and Ieng Thirith Against the Closing Order, 15 February 2011 (“NC and IT Closing Order Decision”), paras 149-154, 156-158, 165.
11. **D427/4/14** Decision on Khieu Samphan Defence Appeal from the Closing Order, 13 January 2011, Disposition para. 2(2).

Trial Chamber

12. **E50** Decision on the Urgent Applications for Immediate Release of Nuon Chea, Khieu Samphan and Ieng Thirith, 16 February 2011, paras 23-27.
13. Case 001-**E188** Judgement, 26 July 2010 (“*Duch TJ*”), paras 361-362, 367. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 2**)
14. **E306/7/3** Decision on Lead Co-Lawyers’ Rule 92 Submission on the Confirmation of the Scope of Case 002/02 Concerning the Charges of Rape Outside the Context of Forced Marriage, 30 August 2016, para. 16.
15. **E313** Case 002/01 Judgement, 7 August 2014 (“Case 002/01 TJ”), paras 435, 437, 644.
16. **E465** Case 002/02 Judgement, 16 November 2018 (“Case 002/02 TJ”).
17. **E1/529.1** Pronouncement of Judgment in Case 002/02, T. 16 November 2018, 09:34:35-09:36:02.

Office of the Co-Investigating Judges (OCIJ)

18. **D427** Closing Order, 15 September 2010, paras 1314, 1430-1433, 1525(v), 1545, 1548, 1551, 1554, 1613, and fn. 5194.

II. INTERNATIONAL LAW

Treaties and other Instruments

19. Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War, 12 August 1949 (“Geneva Convention IV”), 75 UNTS 287, art. 27. (*Not included – ECCC Legal Compendium*)
20. Instructions for the Government of Armies of the United States in the Field (Lieber Code), 24 April 1863 (“Lieber Code”), art. 44. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 3**)

Jurisprudence

International Criminal Court (ICC)

21. *The Prosecutor v. Bemba Gombo*, ICC-01/05-01/08 A, Appeals Chamber, Judgment on the Appeal of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo Against Trial Chamber III’s “Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute”, 8 June 2018 (“*Bemba AJ*”), para. 52. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 4**)

22. *The Prosecutor v. Katanga and Chui*, ICC-01/04-01/07, Pre-Trial Chamber, Decision on the Confirmation of Charges, 30 September 2008 (“*Katanga & Chui Confirmation of Charges Decision*”), paras 373-376. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 5)**

International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY)

23. *Prosecutor v. Blaškić*, IT-95-14-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 3 March 2000 (“*Blaškić TJ*”), para. 716. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 6)**
24. *Prosecutor v. Brđanin*, IT-99-36-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 1 September 2004 (“*Brđanin TJ*”), paras 1012-1013. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 7)**
25. *Prosecutor v. Delalić et al.*, IT-96-21-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 20 February 2001 (“*Čelebići AJ*”), paras 426-427. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 8)**
26. *Prosecutor v. Delalić et al.*, IT-96-21-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 16 November 1998 (“*Čelebići TJ*”), paras 1024-1026, 1065-1066. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 9)**
27. *Prosecutor v. Đorđević*, IT-05-87/1-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 27 January 2014 (“*Đorđević AJ*,”), paras 850-852. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 10)**
28. *Prosecutor v. Furundžija*, IT-95-17/1-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 10 December 1998 (“*Furundžija TJ*”), paras 177, 183. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 11)**
29. *Prosecutor v. Gotovina and Markač*, IT-06-90-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 16 November 2012 (“*Gotovina & Markač AJ*”), para. 61. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 12)**
30. *Prosecutor v. Gotovina et al.*, IT-06-90-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, Vol. II of II, 15 April 2011 (“*Gotovina TJ*”), para. 1791. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 13)**
31. *Prosecutor v. Haradinaj*, IT-04-84-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 3 April 2008, (“*Haradinaj TJ*”), para. 170. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 14)**
32. *Prosecutor v. Jelisić*, IT-95-10-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 14 December 1999 (“*Jelisić TJ*”), para. 52. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 15)**
33. *Prosecutor v. Karadžić*, IT-95-5/18-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 24 March 2016 (“*Karadžić TJ*”), para. 5641, fn. 1634. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 16)**
34. *Prosecutor v. Kordić and Čerkez*, IT-95-14/2-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 17 December 2004 (“*Kordić & Čerkez AJ*”), paras 117, 385. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 17)**

35. *Prosecutor v. Kordić and Čerkez*, IT-95-14/2-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 26 February 2001 (“Kordić & Čerkez TJ”), para. 265. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 18**)
36. *Prosecutor v. Krnojelac*, IT-97-25-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 15 March 2002 (“Krnojelac TJ”), para. 130. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 19**)
37. *Prosecutor v. Kunarac et al.*, IT-96-23 & IT-96-23/1-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 12 June 2002 (“Kunarac AJ”), paras 41, 127, 163. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 20**)
38. *Prosecutor v. Kunarac et al.*, IT-96-23-T & IT-96-23/1-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 22 February 2001 (“Kunarac TJ”), paras 507, 655, 766-774, 781-782. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 21**)
39. *Prosecutor v. Kupreškić et al.*, IT-95-16-A, Appeals Chamber, Appeal Judgement, 23 October 2001 (“Kupreškić AJ”), para. 29. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 22**)
40. *Prosecutor v. Kupreškić et al.*, IT-95-16-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 14 January 2000 (“Kupreškić TJ”), para. 566. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 23**)
41. *Prosecutor v. Kvočka et al.*, IT-98-30/1-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 2 November 2001 (“Kvočka TJ”), paras 169, 180, 208-209. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 24**)
42. *Prosecutor v. Limaj et al.*, IT-03-66-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 30 November 2005 (“Limaj TJ”), para. 432. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 25**)
43. *Prosecutor v. Lukić and Lukić*, IT-98-32/1-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 20 July 2009 (“Lukić & Lukić TJ”), paras 963-966. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 26**)
44. *Prosecutor v. Milošević (Dragomir)*, IT-98-29/1-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 12 December 2007 (“D. Milošević TJ”), para. 938. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 27**)
45. *Prosecutor v. Milutinović*, IT-99-37-AR65.3, Appeals Chamber Bench, Decision Refusing Milutinović Leave to Appeal, 3 July 2003 (“Decision Refusing Milutinović Leave to Appeal”), para. 22. (**Authority 28**)
46. *Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.*, IT-05-87-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, Volume 1 of 4, 26 February 2009 (“Milutinović TJ”), para. 201. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 29**)

47. *Prosecutor v. Mladić*, IT-09-92-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, Vol. III of V, 22 November 2017 (“*Mladić TJ*”), paras 3293-3294. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 30**)
48. *Prosecutor v. Naletilić and Martinović*, IT-98-34-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 31 March 2003 (“*Naletilić & Martinović TJ*”), paras 246, 271, 289, 303. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 31**)
49. *Prosecutor v. Perišić*, IT-04-81-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 28 February 2013 (“*Perišić AJ*”), paras 92, 95. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 32**)
50. *Prosecutor v. Popović et al.*, IT-05-88-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, Vol. I, 10 June 2010 (“*Popović TJ*”), para. 975. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 33**)
51. *Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.*, IT-04-74-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, Vol. 3 of 6, 29 May 2013 (“*Prlić TJ*”), paras 1121, 1211. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 34**)
52. *Prosecutor v. Simić et al.*, IT-95-9-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 17 October 2003 (“*Simić TJ*”), para. 74. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 35**)
53. *Prosecutor v. Stakić*, IT-97-24-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 22 March 2006 (“*Stakić AJ*”), paras 315-316, fn. 649. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 36**)
54. *Prosecutor v. Tolimir*, IT-05-88/2-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 12 December 2012 (“*Tolimir TJ*”), para. 853. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 37**)
55. *Prosecutor v. Vasiljević*, IT-98-32-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 29 November 2002 (“*Vasiljević TJ*”), para. 234. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 38**)

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR)

56. *The Prosecutor v. Akayesu*, ICTR-96-4-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 2 September 1998 (“*Akayesu TJ*”), para. 688. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 39**)
57. *Bagosora & Nsengiyumva v. The Prosecutor*, ICTR-98-41-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 14 December 2011 (“*Bagosora AJ*”), paras 727-729. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 40**)
58. *The Prosecutor v. Bagosora et al.*, ICTR-98-41-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement and Sentence, 18 December 2008 (“*Bagosora TJ*”), paras 705, 717-718, 2219-2222, 2224. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 41**)
59. *The Prosecutor v. Kajelijeli*, ICTR-98-44A-T, Trial Chamber, Judgment and Sentence, 1 December 2003 (“*Kajelijeli TJ*”), para. 916. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 42**)

60. *The Prosecutor v. Kamuhanda*, ICTR-99-54A-T, Trial Chamber, Judgment and Sentence, 22 January 2003 (“*Kamuhanda TJ*”), para. 710. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 43)**
61. *Muvunyi v. The Prosecutor*, ICTR-200-55A-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgement, 29 August 2008 (“*Muvunyi I AJ*”), paras 144, 147. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 44)**
62. *The Prosecutor v. Muvunyi*, ICTR-2000-55A-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement and Sentence, 12 September 2006 (“*Muvunyi TJ*”), para. 528. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 45)**
63. *The Prosecutor v. Niyitegeka*, ICTR-96-14-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement and Sentence, 16 May 2003 (“*Niyitegeka TJ*”), paras 465-467. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 46)**
64. *The Prosecutor v. Ntagerura et al.*, ICTR-99-46-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement and Sentence, 25 February 2004 (“*Ntagerura TJ*”), para. 800. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 47)**
65. *The Prosecutor v. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana*, ICTR-96-10 & ICTR-96-17-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement and Sentence, 21 February 2003 (“*Ntakirutimana TJ*”), para. 745. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 48)**
66. *The Prosecutor v. Nyiramasuhuko et al.*, ICTR-98-42-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement and Sentence, 24 June 2011 (“*Nyiramasuhuko TJ*”), paras 6134-6135. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 49)**
67. *The Prosecutor v. Semanza*, ICTR-97-20-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement and Sentence, 15 May 2003 (“*Semanza TJ*”), paras 344-345. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 50)**

Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL)

68. *Prosecutor v. Brima et al.*, SCSL-2004-16-A, Appeals Chamber, Judgment, 22 February 2008 (“*Brima AJ*”), paras 183, 198. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 51)**
69. *Prosecutor v. Sesay et al.*, SCSL-04-15-T, Trial Chamber, Judgement, 2 March 2009 (“*Sesay TJ*”), paras 144-146, 1302-1305. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 52)**

III. REGIONAL AND FOREIGN DOMESTIC LAW

Jurisprudence

70. *Iwańczuk v. Poland*, No. 25196/94, Judgment, 15 November 2001 (“*Iwańczuk v. Poland Judgment*”), paras 59-60. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 53**)

Foreign Domestic Law

Australia (Victoria)

71. Crimes Act 1958, amended 1 March 2019, s. 39. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 54**)

Croatia

72. The Criminal Code, 21 October 2011, arts 152-153. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 55**)

Czech Republic

73. Criminal Code of The Czech Republic, 2009, amended 2011, pt. 2, ch. 3, s. 185. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 56**)

Denmark

74. The Criminal Code, 27 September 2005, amended 21 December 2005, ch. 24, s. 216. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 57**)

Finland

75. The Criminal Code of Finland, 1889, amended 2015, ch. 20, s. 1. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 58**)

Germany

76. German Criminal Code, 13 November 1998, amended 10 October 2013, s. 177. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 59**)

Norway

77. The General Civil Penal Code, 22 May 1902, amended 21 December 2005, ch. 19, s. 192. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 60**)

Russia

78. The Criminal Code of The Russian Federation, 13 June 1996, amended 1 March 2012, art. 133. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 61)**

Singapore

79. Penal Code of The Republic of Singapore, enacted 1871, revised 30 November 2008, cap. 224, s. 376. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 62)**

South Africa

80. Criminal Law (Sexual Offences and Related Matters) Amendment Act 32 of 2007, amended 2013, ch. 2, pt. 1, s. 4. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 63)**

United Kingdom (applicable in England and Wales)

81. Sexual Offences Act 2003, ch. 2, pt. 1, s. 4. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 64)**

IV. OTHER DOCUMENTS**Documents of the International Law Commission (ILC)**

82. ILC Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind with Commentaries *Yearbook of the International Law Commission*, Vol. II, Part Two, 1996, p. 50. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 65)**

Books

83. Charlene L. Muehlenhard, “The Importance and Danger of Studying Sexually Aggressive Women”, in Peter B. Anderson and Cindy Struckman-Johnson, eds, *Sexually Aggressive Women: Current Perspectives and Controversies*, 1st ed. (1998), New York: The Guilford Press, 19-48 at p. 29. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) **(Authority 66)**
84. Cindy Struckman-Johnson and Peter B. Anderson, “‘Men Do and Women Don’t’: Difficulties in Researching Sexually Aggressive Women”, in Peter B. Anderson and Cindy Struckman-Johnson, eds, *Sexually Aggressive Women: Current Perspectives and Controversies*, 1st ed. (1998), New York: The Guilford Press, 9-18 at pp. 11-12. **(Authority 67)**

Reports

85. Amrita Kapur and Kelli Muddell, “When No One Calls it Rape: Addressing Sexual Violence Against Men and Boys in Transitional Contexts”, International Center for Transitional Justice, December 2016, pp. 11-12. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 68**)
86. Siobhan Weare, “Forced-to-Penetrated Cases: Lived Experiences of Men”, Baseline Research Findings, Lancaster University Law School, June 2017, p. 13. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 69**)

Academic Articles

87. Michelle Davies and Paul Rogers, “Perceptions of male victims in depicted sexual assaults: A review of the literature”, *Aggression and Violent Behavior* 11 (2006), 367-377 at p. 372. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 70**)
88. Nicola L. Fisher and Afroditi Pina, “An Overview of the literature on female-perpetrated adult male sexual victimization” (2013), *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 18(1), 54-61 available at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/33378/>, at pp. 5, 12-14, 18. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 71**)
89. Philip Rumney and Martin Morgan-Taylor, “Recognizing the Male Victim: Gender-Neutrality and the Law of Rape: Part Two” (1997), 26 *Anglo-American Law Review*, 330-356 at pp. 330-334, 339, 341, 346. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 72**)
90. Philip M. Sarrel and William H. Masters, “Sexual Molestation of Men by Women”, *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1982, 117-131 at pp. 118, 127, 130. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 73**)
91. Siobhan Weare, ““Oh you’re a guy, how could you be raped by a woman, that makes no sense’: towards a case for legally recognising and labelling ‘forced-to-penetrate’ cases as rape”, *International Journal of Law in Context*, 14(1), 2018, 110-131 at pp. 122-123. (*Exceeds 10 pages – excerpt attached*) (**Authority 74**)