

BEFORE THE TRIAL CHAMBER**EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA****FILING DETAILS****Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC**Party Filing:** The Defence for IENG Sary**Filed to:** The Trial Chamber**Original language:** ENGLISH**Date of document:** 18 January 2013**CLASSIFICATION****Classification of the document
suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC**Classification by OCIJ
or Chamber:** សាធារណៈ/Public**Classification Status:****Review of Interim Classification:****Records Officer Name:****Signature:**

**IENG SARY'S SUBMISSION ON APPLICABLE LAW IN CASE 002/01
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Filed by:
The Co-Lawyers:
 ANG Udom
 Michael G. KARNAVAS
Distribution to:
The Trial Chamber Judges:
 Judge NIL Nonn
 Judge YOU Ottara
 Judge YA Sokhan
 Judge Silvia CARTWRIGHT
 Judge Jean-Marc LAVERGNE
 Reserve Judge THOU Mony
 Reserve Judge Claudia FENZ

Co-Prosecutors:
 CHEA Leang
 Andrew CAYLEY
All Defence Teams**All Civil Parties**

Cambodian Law – ECCC Legal Compendium

1. Cambodian Constitution, Arts. 31, 38.
2. 1956 Penal Code, Arts. 6, 82.

ECCC Law – ECCC Legal Compendium

3. Internal Rules, Preamble, Rules 21(1)(d), 87(1), 92.
4. Agreement, Arts. 9, 12(1), 13(1).
5. Establishment Law, Arts. 5, 29, 33 new, 35 new.

ECCC Jurisprudence and Filings – Already Disclosed on the Case File

6. IENG Sary’s Motion Against the Application at the ECCC of the Form of Liability Known as *Joint Criminal Enterprise*, 28 July 2008, D97, paras. 17-29.
7. IENG Sary’s Expedited Request to Make Submissions on the Application of Joint Criminal Enterprise Liability in the Co-Prosecutors’ Appeal of the Closing Order Against Kaing Guek Eav “Duch”, 15 September 2008, D99/3/6.
8. *Case of Kaing Guek Eav “Duch”*, 001/18-07-2007-ECCC-OCIJ (PTC02), Kai Ambos, *Amicus Curiae* concerning Criminal Case File No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 02), 27 October 2008, D99/3/27, p. 23.
9. *Case of Kaing Guek Eav alias “Duch”*, 001/18-07-2007-ECCC-OCIJ (PTC02), *Amicus Curiae* Brief Submitted by the Centre for Human Rights and Legal Pluralism, McGill University, 27 October 2008, D99/3/25, para. 22.
10. IENG Sary’s Supplemental Observations on the Application of the Theory of Joint Criminal Enterprise at the ECCC, 24 November 2008, D97/7, paras. 30-54.
11. IENG Sary’s Response to Co-Lawyers of Civil Parties’ Investigative Request Concerning the Crime of Enforced Disappearance & Request for Extension of Page Limitation, 6 August 2009, D180/4, paras. 21-28.
12. IENG Sary’s Appeal Against the OCIJ’s Order on the Application at the ECCC of the Form of Liability Known as Joint Criminal Enterprise, 22 January 2010, D97/14/5, paras. 36-58.
13. IENG Sary’s Motion Against the Application of Command Responsibility at the ECCC, 15 February 2010, D345/2, paras. 5-20.
14. IENG Sary’s Alternative Motion on the Limits of the Applicability of Command Responsibility at the ECCC, 15 February 2010, D345/3, paras. 5-21, Annex.

15. IENG Sary's Reply to the Co-Prosecutors' Response to Ieng Sary, Ieng Thirith and Khieu Samphan's Appeals on Joint Criminal Enterprise, 18 March 2010, D97/14/14.
16. IENG Sary's Appeal Against the OCIJ's Order on Motion Against the Application of Command Responsibility, 13 April 2010, D345/5/1, paras. 29-56.
17. IENG Sary's Motion Against the Applicability of Crimes Against Humanity at the ECCC, 13 April 2010, D378, paras. 15-21.
18. Decision on the Appeals Against Co-Investigative Judges Order on Joint Criminal Enterprise (JCE), 20 May 2010, D47/14/15, paras. 65, 87-88.
19. IENG Sary's Written Reply in Support of the Oral Submissions to the Hearing Before the Pre-Trial Chamber Concerning the Co-Prosecutors' Response to Ieng Sary's Appeal on Command Responsibility, 21 May 2010, D345/5/9, paras. 21-32.
20. IENG Sary's Alternative Motion on the Limits of the Applicability of Crimes Against Humanity at the ECCC, 23 June 2010, D378/2, paras. 8-10, 13-15, 22-23.
21. *Case of Kaing Guek Eav alias Duch*, 001/18-07-2007/ECCC/SC, Trial Judgement, 26 July 2010, paras. 28-34, 297-302, 304-05, 308-09, 313, 318-19, 331-38, 367-71, 374-80, 479-81, 508-09, 511, 518-19, 522-24, 527-28, 532-35, 538-47.
22. IENG Sary's Response to the Co-Prosecutors' Rule 66 Final Submission and Additional Observations, 1 September 2010, D390/1/2/1.3, paras. 127-43.
23. IENG Sary's Appeal Against the Closing Order, 25 October 2010, D427/1/6, paras. 111-14, 121-25, 188-90, 220, 226-31, 249-63, 283-322.
24. IENG Sary's Reply to the Co-Prosecutors' Joint Response to NUON Chea, IENG Sary and IENG Thirith's Appeals Against the Closing Order, 6 December 2010, D427/1/23, paras. 86-93, 114-33.
25. IENG Sary's Reply to the Combined Response by *Advocats Sans Frontières* France Co-lawyers for the Civil Parties to the Appeals by Ieng Sary, Ieng Thirith and Nuon Chea Against the Co-Investigating Judges' Closing Order, 13 December 2010, D427/1/24, paras. 18-24.
26. IENG Sary's Reply to the Joint Observations on Mr. NUON Chea, Mr. IENG Sary and Mrs. IENG Thirith's Appeals Against the Closing Order, 4 January 2011, D427/1/125, paras. 10-12.
27. Summary of IENG Sary's Rule 89 Preliminary Objections & Notice of Intent of Noncompliance with Future Informal Memoranda Issued in Lieu of Reasoned Judicial Decisions Subject to Appellate Review, 25 February 2011, E51/4, paras. 24, 26.

28. Decision on IENG Sary's Appeal Against the Closing Order, 11 April 2011, D427/1/30, paras. 229, 235-36, 307-11, 458-60.
29. Decision on Immediate Appeal by KHIEU Samphan on Application for Immediate Release, 6 June 2011, E50/3/1/4, para. 31.
30. IENG Sary's Response to the Co-Prosecutors' Request for the Trial Chamber to Exclude the Armed Conflict Nexus Requirement from the Definition of Crimes Against Humanity, 22 June 2011, E95/4, paras. 20-34.
31. IENG Sary's Response to the Co-Prosecutors' Request for the Trial Chamber to Consider JCE III as an Alternative Mode of Liability and Request for an Oral Hearing, 22 July 2011, E100/2.
32. IENG SARY's Observations on OCP's Consolidated Reply to Defence Responses to OCP's Request to Re-characterize Charges in the Indictment and to Exclude the Nexus Requirement for Armed Conflict to Prove Crimes Against Humanity, 18 August 2011, E95/7.
33. Decision on the Applicability of Joint Criminal Enterprise, 12 September 2011, E100/6, para. 38.
34. Severance Order Pursuant to Internal Rule 89ter, 22 September 2011, E124, para. 5.
35. Decision on Co-Prosecutors' Request to Exclude Armed Conflict Nexus Requirement from the Definition of Crimes Against Humanity, 26 October 2011, E95/8.
36. IENG Sary's Appeal Against the Trial Chamber's Decision on Co-Prosecutors' Request to Exclude Armed Conflict Nexus Requirement from the Definition of Crimes Against Humanity, 25 November 2011, E95/8/1/1, paras. 26-61.
37. *Case of Kaing Guek Eav alias Duch*, 001/18-07-2007/ECCC/SC, Appeal Judgement, 3 February 2012, paras. 106, 275-77.
38. Decision on IENG Sary's Appeal Against the Trial Chamber's Decision on Co-Prosecutors' Request to Exclude Armed Conflict Nexus Requirement from the Definition of Crimes Against Humanity, 19 March 2012, E95/8/4/1.
39. Trial Chamber Memorandum titled "Notification of Decision on Co-Prosecutors' Request to Include Additional Crime Sites within the Scope of Trial in Case 002/01 (E163) and deadline for submission of applicable law portion of Closing Briefs," 8 October 2012, E163/5, para. 4.
40. Trial Chamber Memorandum titled "Clarification regarding applicable law briefs," 7 December 2012, E163/5/6.

International Jurisprudence

Nuremberg Charter and Jurisprudence – Publicly Available Online

41. Charter of the Military Tribunal at Nuremberg, 82 U.N.T.S. 279, 8 August 1945, Art. 6, preamble, 6(c).
42. Nuremberg Trial Proceedings Volume I: Protocol Rectifying Discrepancy in the Charter (Oct. 6th 1945), *available at* <http://avalon.law.yale.edu/imt/imtprot.asp>.
43. Judgment of the IMT, for the Trial of German Major War Criminals, Nuremberg, 30 September and 1 October 1946, London H.S.S.O. Miscellaneous No. 12 (1946), para. 254.
44. *Trial of Franz Schonfeld et al.*, Law Reports of Trials of War Criminals, United Nations War Crimes Commission, Vol. XI (1948), p. 71.
45. *United States v. von Weizsaecker* (Ministries Case), Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10, Vol. XIV, p. 611(1949).
46. *United States v Wilhelm List et al.*, Trials of War Criminals before the Nürnberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10, Vol. XI (1950), p. 76, 1261.

ICJ Statute and Jurisprudence – Publicly Available Online

47. Statute of the International Court of Justice, Art. 38(1)(b).
48. S.S. “*Lotus*” (Fr. v. Turk.), 1927 P.C.I.J. (ser. A) No. 10, at 18 (7 September).
49. *Asylum* (Colom. v. Peru), 1950 I.C.J. 266, 276-77 (20 November).
50. *Legality of the Threat or use of Nuclear Weapons*, Advisory Opinion, 1966 I.C.J. 226, 253-56 (8 July).
51. *North Sea Continental Shelf* (F.R.G. v. Den./F.R.G. v. Neth.) 1969 I.C.J. 3, 43-44, para. 74 (20 February).
52. *Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970)*, Advisory Opinion, 1971 I.C.J. 16, 53-54 (21 June).
53. *Continental Shelf* (Libya v. Malta), 1985 I.C.J. 13, 29-30 (3 June).
54. *Military and Paramilitary Activities* (Nic. v. U.S.), 1986 I.C.J. 14, 98, para. 207 (27 June).

ICTY Jurisprudence – Publicly Available Online

55. *Prosecutor v. Tadić*, IT-94-1-T, Judgement, 7 May 1997, para. 625.
56. *Prosecutor v. Delalić et al.*, IT- 96-21-T, Trial Judgement, 16 November 1998, paras. 334, 395, 398.
57. *Prosecutor v. Tadić*, IT-94-1-A, Appeals Judgement, 15 July 1999, paras. 202, 223.
58. *Prosecutor v. Kupreškić et al.*, IT-95-16-T, Judgement, 14 January 2000, para. 566.
59. *Prosecutor v. Blaškić*, IT-95-14-T, Trial Judgement, 3 March 2000, paras. 307-08.
60. *Prosecutor v. Delalić et al.*, IT- 96-21-A, Appeals Judgement, 20 February 2001, n. 251, paras. 192-93, 238-39, 241, 266.
61. *Prosecutor v. Krstić*, IT-98-33-T, Trial Judgement, 2 August 2001, para. 524.
62. *Prosecutor v. Kunarac et al.*, IT-96-23 & IT-96-23/1-A, Appeals Judgement, 12 June 2002, paras. 92, 95, 98.
63. *Prosecutor v. Stakić*, IT-97-24-T, Decision Rule 98bis Motion for Judgment of Acquittal, 31 October 2002, para. 131.
64. *Prosecutor v. Vasiljević*, IT-98-32-T, Trial Judgement, 29 November 2002, para. 227.
65. *Prosecutor v. Naletilić & Martinović*, IT-98-34-T, Trial Judgement, 31 March 2003, para. 521.
66. *Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović et al.*, IT-01-47-AR72, Decision on Interlocutory Appeal Challenging Jurisdiction in Relation to Command Responsibility, 16 July 2003, paras. 17, 29, 31.
67. *Prosecutor v. Stakić*, IT-97-24-T, Trial Judgement, 31 July 2003, para. 721.
68. *Prosecutor v. Krnojelac*, IT-97-25-A, Appeals Judgement, 17 September 2003, paras. 97, 111, 222; Separate Opinion of Judge Schomburg, para. 16.
69. *Prosecutor v. Vasiljević*, IT-98-32-A, Appeals Judgement, 25 February 2004, paras. 101-02, 165.
70. *Prosecutor v. Blaškić*, IT-95-14-A, Appeals Judgement, 29 July 2004, paras. 47, 62-63, 69, 72, 112-13, 120, 147.
71. *Prosecutor v. Brđanin*, IT-99-36-T, Trial Judgement, 1 September 2004, paras. 280, 542.
72. *Prosecutor v. Kordić & Čerkez*, IT-95-14/2-A, Appeals Judgement, 17 December 2004, paras. 98, 117, 832.
73. *Prosecutor v. Blagojević & Jokić*, IT-02-60-T, Trial Judgement, 17 January 2005, paras. 597-601, 623-30.

74. *Prosecutor v. Kvočka*, IT-98-30/1-A, Appeals Judgement, 28 February 2005, paras. 82, 110, 118, 240.
75. *Prosecutor v. Deronjić*, IT-02-61-A, Appeals Judgement, 20 July 2005, para. 97.
76. *Prosecutor v. Halilović*, IT-01-48-T, Trial Judgement, 16 November 2005, paras. 48, 78.
77. *Prosecutor v. Stakić*, IT-97-24-A, Appeals Judgement, 22 March 2006, paras. 259-60, 278, 287, 289, 315.
78. *Prosecutor v. Orić*, IT-03-68-T, Judgement, 30 June 2006, para. 338.
79. *Prosecutor v. Krajišnik*, IT-00-39-T, Trial Judgement, 27 September 2006, paras. 723, 725.
80. *Prosecutor v. Brđanin*, IT-99-36-A, Appeals Judgement, 3 April 2007, paras. 274, 365, 413, 429.
81. *Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović*, IT-01-47-A, Appeals Judgement, 22 April 2008, paras. 260-61.
82. *Prosecutor v. Orić*, IT-03-68-A, Appeals Judgement, 3 July 2008, para. 177.
83. *Prosecutor v. Krajišnik*, IT-00-39-A, Appeals Judgement, 17 March 2009, para. 226.
84. *Prosecutor v. Mrkšić*, IT-95-13/1-A, Appeals Judgement, 5 May 2009, paras. 81-82.
85. *Prosecutor v. Popović*, IT-05-88-T, Trial Judgement, 10 June 2010, paras. 901, 903, 1022.
86. *Prosecutor v. Gotovina*, IT-06-90-T, Trial Judgement, 15 April 2011, paras. 1831-32, 1837-38.
87. *Prosecutor v. Perišić*, IT-04-81-T, Trial Judgement, 6 September 2011, para. 113.

ICTR Jurisprudence – Publicly Available Online

88. *Prosecutor v. Kayishema & Ruzindana*, ICTR-95-1-A, Appeals Judgement, 1 June 2001, para. 302.
89. *Prosecutor v. Bagilishema*, ICTR-95-1A-A, Appeals Judgement, 3 July 2002, para. 42.
90. *Prosecutor v. Kajelijeli*, ICTR-98-44-A-T, Judgement, 1 December 2003, para. 872.
91. *Prosecutor v. Ntakirutimana & Ntakirutimana*, ICTR-96-10-A & ICTR-96-17-A, Appeals Judgement, 13 December 2004, para. 516.
92. *Prosecutor v. Simba*, ICTR-01-76-T, Trial Judgement, 13 December 2005, para. 387.
93. *Prosecutor v. Gacumbitsi*, ICTR-2001-64-A, Appeals Judgement, 7 July 2006, paras. 86, 143.

94. *Prosecutor v. Nahimana et al.*, ICTR-99-52-A, Appeals Judgement, 28 November 2007, paras. 484, 787.
95. *Prosecutor v. Bagosora*, ICTR-98-41-T, Trial Judgement, 18 December 2008, para. 2218.
96. *Prosecutor v. Bagosora et al.*, ICTR-98-41-A, Appeals Judgement, 14 December 2011, paras. 672, 683.
97. *Prosecutor v. Karemera et al.*, ICTR-98-44-T, Trial Judgement, 2 February 2012, para. 1440.

ICC Statute and Jurisprudence – Publicly Available Online

98. ICC Statute, Arts. 7(1)(h), 7(2)(a), 28(b)(ii).
99. ICC Elements of Crimes, Art. 7(1)(c), paras. 1-2.
100. *Prosecutor v. Lubanga Dyilo*, ICC-01/04-01/06, Decision on the Confirmation of Charges, 29 January 2007, paras. 327-30, 333.
101. *Prosecutor v. Katanga & Ngudjolo*, ICC-01/04-01/07, Decision on Confirmation of Charges, 30 September 2008, paras. 484-85.
102. *Prosecutor v. Bemba Gombo*, ICC-01/05-01/08-424, Decision Pursuant to Article 61(7)(a) and (b) of the Rome Statute on the Charges of the Prosecutor against Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo, 15 June 2009, para. 78.
103. *Situation in the Republic of Kenya*, ICC-01/09, Decision Pursuant to Article 15 of the Rome Statute on the Authorization of an Investigation into the Situation in the Republic of Kenya, 31 March 2010, paras. 83-93.
104. *Prosecutor v. Lubanga Dyilo*, ICC-01/04-01/06, Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, 14 March 2012.

SCSL Jurisprudence – Publicly Available Online

105. *Prosecutor v. Fofana et al.*, SCSL-2004-14-AR72(E), Decision on Preliminary Motion Based on Lack of Jurisdiction, Dissenting Opinion of Justice Robertson, 31 May 2004, para. 12.
106. *Prosecutor v. Brima et al.*, SCSL-04-16-T, Trial Judgement, 20 June 2007, paras. 792-93, 798.
107. *Prosecutor v. Brima et al.*, SCSL-2004-16-A, Appeals Judgement, 22 February 2008, para. 257.

108. *Prosecutor v. Fofana et al.*, SCSL-04-14-A, Appeals Judgement, 28 May 2008, para. 260.
109. *Prosecutor v. Sesay et al.*, SCSL-04-15-T, Judgement, 2 March 2009, paras. 78-79, 82, 86.
110. *Prosecutor v. Sesay et al.*, SCSL-04-15-A, Appeals Judgement, 26 October 2009, paras. 400, 653.

National Jurisprudence

Australia – Publicly Available Online

111. *Polyukhovich v. Commonwealth of Australia and Another*, (1991) 172 CLR 501 (14 August 1994), Opinion of Justice Toohey, paras. 58, 69-71.

Kosovo – Attached

112. *In re Trajković*, District Court of Giljan, P Nr 68/2000, 6 March 2001 – *Attachment I*.

The Netherlands – Already Disclosed On The Case File

113. *Public Prosecutor v. Menten*, The Netherlands, District Court of Amsterdam, Extraordinary Penal Chamber, reprinted in 75 INT’L L. REP. 362-63 (1981).

United States – Publicly Available Online

114. RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW (THIRD) FOREIGN RELATIONS LAW OF THE UNITED STATES § 102(2) (1987).

United Nations Documents – Publicly Available Online

115. Affirmation of the Principles of International Law Recognized by the Nürnberg Tribunal *adopted* 11 December 1946, G.A. Res. 95(1), U.N. G.A.O.R. 1st Sess., 55th plenary meeting, U.N. Doc. A/64/Add.1 (1946) at 188.
116. Principles of International Law Recognized in the Charter of the Nürnberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal, U.N. Doc. A/1316 (1950), Principle VI(c).
117. U.N.G.A Res. 47/133 Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearances, U.N. Doc. A/47/49, 18 December 1992.

118. Report of the Secretary-General Pursuant to Paragraph 2 of Security Council Resolution 808 (1993), S/25703, 3 May 1993, paras. 47-48.
119. Final Report of the Commission of Experts, Established Pursuant to Security Council Resolution 780 (1992), United Nations Security Council, S/1994/674, 27 May 1994, p. 16.
120. UN Security Council, 3453rd Meeting, S/PV.3453, 8 November 1994, p. 9.
121. Report of the Secretary-General Pursuant to Paragraph 5 of Security Council Resolution 955 (1994), S/1995/134, 13 February 1995, para. 12.
122. Summary Record of the 3rd Meeting, UN Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, A/CONF.183/C.1/SR.3, 20 November 1998.
123. United Nations, *Multilateral Treaties Deposited with the Secretary-General Treaty Series Database*, "Status of Treaties", Chap. IV, 7.

International Law Commission Documents – Publicly Available Online

124. Draft Code of Offences Against the Peace and Security of Mankind, Report by J. Spiropoulos, Special Rapporteur, 26 April 1950, *available in* 2 Y.B. INT'L COMM'N 253, 255, para. 2; 257, para. 20; 263, para. 65 (1950).
125. Summary of the 48th Meeting of the International Law Commission, 16 June 1950, *available at* http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/documentation/english/a_cn4_34.pdf.
126. Yearbook of the International Law Commission, 1954, Vol. 11, p. 150, Art. 2(11).
127. Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its forty-third session, 29 April – 19 July 1991, Official Records of the General Assembly, 46th session, Supplement No. 10, U.N. Doc. A/46/10, p. 103-04.
128. Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its forty-sixth session, 2 May – 22 July 1994, Official Records of the General Assembly, 49th Session, Supplement No. 10, U.N. Doc. A/49/10, p. 75, para. 94.
129. Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its forty-seventh session, 2 May – 21 July 1995, Official Records of the General Assembly, 50th session, Supplement No. 10, U.N. Doc. A/50/10, p. 25, para. 89.
130. Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its forty-eighth session, 6 May – 26 July 1996, Official Records of the General Assembly, 51st session, Supplement No. 10, U.N. Doc. A/51/10, p. 47, para. 5.

International Instruments – ECCC Legal Compendium or Publicly Available Online

131. 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Art. 11(1).
132. Convention (III) Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, 12 August 1949, Art. 4A.
133. Convention (IV) Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War, Geneva, 12 August 1949, Art. 49.
134. 1966 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Art. 15(1).
135. International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid, U.N.G.A. Res. 3068(XXVII), 30 November 1973, Art. II.
136. Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts, 8 June 1977, Arts. 50, 86(2), 87(1), 87(3), 91; Commentary, p. 25; para. 3545.
137. Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (Protocol II), 8 June 1977, Art. 17; Commentary, p. 1473.
138. Inter-American Convention on Forced Disappearances, 33 ILM 1429, 1994, available at <http://www.oas.org/juridico/english/sigs/a-60.html>.
139. International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearances, U.N. Doc. A/61/488, 20 December 2006.

Books and Articles – Already Disclosed on Case File, Available through ECCC Library

Resources or Attached

140. Egon Schwelb, *Crimes Against Humanity*, 23 BRIT. Y.B. INT'L L. 178, 218-19 (1946).
141. J.L. BRIERLY, *THE LAW OF NATIONS: AN INTRODUCTION TO THE INTERNATIONAL LAW OF PEACE* 61 (6th ed. OUP 1963).
142. Robert H. Miller, *The Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity*, 65 AM. J. INT'L L. 477, 500 (1971).
143. Curt A. Hessler, *Command Responsibility for War Crimes*, 82 YALE L. J. 1274, 1281 (1972-1973).
144. Yoram Dinstein, *International Criminal Law*, 20 ISR. L. REV. 206, 217 (1985).
145. Diane F. Orentlicher, *Settling Accounts: The Duty to Prosecute Human Rights Violations of a Prior Regime*, 100 YALE L.J. 2537, 2586, n. 216 (1991).

146. M. CHERIF BASSIOUNI & PETER MANIKAS, *THE LAW OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA* 548 (Transnational Publishers 1996) – **Attachment 2**.
147. Ilias Bantekas, *The Contemporary Law of Superior Responsibility*, 93 AM. J. INT’L L. 573, 574-75, 591 (1999).
148. M. CHERIF BASSIOUNI, *CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW* 199, 277 (Kluwer 1999).
149. John Washburn, *The Negotiation of the Rome Statute for the International Criminal Court and International Lawmaking in the 21st Century*, 11 PACE INT’L L. REV. 361 (1999).
150. Mirjam Damaska, *The Shadow Side of Command Responsibility*, 49 AM. J. COMP. L. 455, 461, 485 (2001).
151. Alexander Zahar, *Command Responsibility of Civilian Superiors for Genocide*, 14 LEIDEN J. INT’L L. 591, 603 (2001).
152. Kai Ambos, *Superior Responsibility*, in *THE ROME STATUTE OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT: A COMMENTARY, VOLUME I* 823, 831 (OUP 2002).
153. Kai Ambos & Steffen Wirth, *The Current Law of Crimes Against Humanity: An analysis of UNTAET Regulation 15/2000*, 13 CRIM. L. F. 1, 26 (2002).
154. MACHTELD BOOT, *NULLUM CRIMEN SINE LEGE AND THE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT: GENOCIDE, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AND WAR CRIMES* (Intersentia, 2002), paras. 274, 282.
155. Guénaél Mettraux, *Crimes Against Humanity in the Jurisprudence of the International Criminal Tribunals for the Former Yugoslavia and for Rwanda*, 43 HARV. INT’L L.J. 237, 302-06 (2002).
156. M. CHERIF BASSIOUNI, *INTRODUCTION TO INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW* 318, 320 (Transnational Publishers 2003).
157. IAN BROWNLIE, *PRINCIPLES OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW* 7, 9 (6th ed. OUP 2004).
158. Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC, *Notes and Comments: Command Responsibility and the Hadžihasanović Decision*, 2(2) J. INT’L CRIM. JUST. 598, 601 (2004).
159. DAVID HARRIS, *CASES AND MATERIALS ON INTERNATIONAL LAW* (6th ed. OUP 2004), Chap. 2.

160. J. Kammerhofer, *Uncertainty in the Formal Sources of International Law: Customary International Law and Some of its Problems* 15 EUR. J. INT'L L. 523 (2004).
161. Attila Bogdan, *Individual Criminal Responsibility in the Execution of a "Joint Criminal Enterprise" in the Jurisprudence of the ad hoc International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia*, 6 INT'L CRIM. L. REV. 63, 109-12 (2006).
162. WARD N. FERDINANDUSSE, *DIRECT APPLICATION OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW IN NATIONAL COURTS* 118-19 (T.M.C. Asser Press 2006).
163. David L. Nersessian, *Whoops, I Committed Genocide! The Anomaly of Constructive Liability for Serious International Crimes*, 30 FLETCHER F. WORLD AFF. 81, 92-93 (2006).
164. Stuart Ford, *Crimes Against Humanity at The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia: Is a Connection with Armed Conflict Required?*, 24 UCLA PAC. BASIN L.J. 125, n. 70, 152-53, 159-69, 183 (2006-2007).
165. WILLIAM SCHABAS, *THE UN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS: THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA, RWANDA AND SIERRA LEONE* 224 (CUP 2006).
166. HUGH THIRLWAY, *The Sources of International Law* in MALCOLM EVANS (ED.) *INTERNATIONAL LAW* (2nd ed. OUP 2006).
167. Kai Ambos, *Joint Criminal Enterprise and Command Responsibility*, 5(1) J. INT'L CRIM. JUST. 1, 9 (2007).
168. GIDEON BOAS ET AL., *INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW PRACTITIONER LIBRARY, VOLUME I: FORMS OF RESPONSIBILITY IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW* 10, 21 (CUP 2007).
169. Jenny S. Martinez, *Understanding Mens Rea in Command Responsibility: From Yamashita to Blaškić and Beyond*, 53 J. INT'L CRIM. JUST. 638, 647-48, 653 (2007).
170. ANTONIO CASSESE, *INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW* 113 (2nd ed. OUP 2008).
171. Ciara Damgaard, *The Joint Criminal Enterprise Doctrine: A "Monster Theory of Liability" or a Legitimate and Satisfactory Tool in the Prosecution of the Perpetrators of Core International Crimes?* in *INDIVIDUAL CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR CORE INTERNATIONAL CRIMES* 129, 194 (Springer 2008).
172. William A. Schabas, *State Policy as an Element of International Crimes*, 98 J. CRIM. L. & CRIMINOLOGY 953, 959-60, 962-64 (2008).

173. MALCOLM N. SHAW, INTERNATIONAL LAW 76-78, 82, 84, 88 (6th ed. CUP 2008).
174. Beth van Schaack, *Crimen Sine Lege: Judicial Lawmaking at the Intersection of Law and Morals*, 97 GEO. L. J. 119, 145 (2008).
175. GUÉNAËL METTRAUX, THE LAW OF COMMAND RESPONSIBILITY 84 (OUP 2009).
176. ROBERT CRYER ET AL., AN INTRODUCTION TO INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE 238 (2nd ed. CUP 2010).
177. SHANE DARCY & JOSEPH POWDERLY, JUDICIAL CREATIVITY AT THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS 91-93 (OUP 2010) – *Attachment 3*.
178. WILLIAM A. SCHABAS, THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT: A COMMENTARY ON THE ROME STATUTE 145 (OUP 2010).
179. M. CHERIF BASSIOUNI, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY: HISTORICAL EVOLUTION AND CONTEMPORARY APPLICATION 3, 25-26, 28 (CUP 2011).
180. Darryl Robinson, *How Command Responsibility Got So Complicated: A Culpability Contradiction, its Obfuscation, and a Simple Solution*, 13 MELB. J. INT’L L. 1, 25 (2012).
181. Participation in Crime: Criminal Liability of Leaders of Criminal Groups and Networks, Expert Opinion, Commissioned by the United Nations – ICTY, Office of the Prosecutor Project Coordination: Prof. Dr. Ulrich Sieber, Priv. Doz. Dr. Hans Georg Koch, Jan Michael Simon, Max Planck Institut für ausländisches und internationales Strafrecht, Freiburg, Germany, Part 1: Comparative Analysis of Legal Systems, p. 16.