

BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT CHAMBER**EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA****FILING DETAILS****Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC-TC/SC ()**Party Filing:** The Defence for IENG Sary**Filed to:** The Supreme Court Chamber**Original language:** ENGLISH**Date of document:** 25 January 2013**CLASSIFICATION****Classification of the document
suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC**Classification by OCIJ
or Chamber:** សាធារណៈ/Public**Classification Status:****Review of Interim Classification:****Records Officer Name:****Signature:**

**IENTG SARY'S NOTICE OF APPEAL AGAINST DECISION ON THE IENG SARY
DEFENCE REQUEST TO AUDIO AND/OR VIDEO RECORD IENG SARY IN THE
HOLDING CELL & REQUEST FOR CONSOLIDATION OF CONSIDERATION OF
APPEAL WITH THE APPEAL AGAINST THE TRIAL CHAMBER'S ORAL
DECISION TO DENY HIS RIGHT TO BE PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM AND
TO PROHIBIT HIM FROM BEING VIDEO RECORDED IN THE HOLDING CELL
(E238/9/1/1)**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Filed by:****The Co-Lawyers:**
ANG Udom
Michael G. KARNAVAS**Distribution to:****The Supreme Court Chamber Judges:**
Judge KONG Srim
Judge SOM Sereyvuth
Judge Agnieszka KLONOWIECKA-MILART
Judge MONG Monichariya
Judge Chandra Nihal JAYASINGHE
Judge YA Narin
Judge Florence Ndepele Mwachande MUMBA**Co-Prosecutors:**
CHEA Leang
Andrew CAYLEY**All Defence Teams****All Civil Parties**

ECCC Law – ECCC Legal Compendium

1. Internal Rules 104(4)(d), 106, 107(2).

ECCC Filings and Jurisprudence – Already Disclosed on the Case File

2. Order for Submissions, 12 December 2012, E254.
3. IENG Sary’s Appeal Against the Trial Chamber’s Oral Decision to Deny his Right to be Present in the Courtroom and to Prohibit him from being Video Recorded in the Holding Cell, 18 December 2012, E238/9/1/1.
4. Co-Prosecutors’ Response to IENG Sary’s Appeal Against the Trial Chamber’s Oral Decision to Deny his Right to be Present in the Courtroom and to Prohibit him from being Video Recorded in the Holding Cell, 3 January 2013, E238/9/1/2.
5. IENG Sary’s Reply to the Co-Prosecutors’ Response to his Appeal Against the Trial Chamber’s Oral Decision to Deny his Right to be Present in the Courtroom and to Prohibit him from being Video Recorded in the Holding Cell, 9 January 2013, E238/9/1/3.
6. Decision on the IENG Sary Defence Request to Audio and/or Video Record IENG Sary in the Holding Cell, 16 January 2013, E254/3, para. 6.

ECCC Correspondence

7. Letter from IENG Sary Defence to Supreme Court Chamber Greffier titled “Request for clarification concerning the Trial Chamber’s Decision on the IENG Sary Defence to Audio and/or Video Record IENG Sary in the Holding Cell (E254/3),” 23 January 2013 – ***Attachment A.***
8. Email from Supreme Court Chamber Greffier to IENG Sary Defence titled “Re: Letter from Ieng Sary Defence requesting clarification”, 23 January 2013 – ***Attachment B.***