

អច្ចជំនុំ៩ម្រៈទិសាមញ្ញតូខតុលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះរាស់ឈាចក្រុងម្ដី ស សង្គ សាសលា ព្រះមហាត្យត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អត្ថដ៏ឆ្នុំ៩ទ្រុះសាលាដីមុខ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

31 October 2013 Trial Day 224

Before the Judges:

NIL Nonn, President Silvia CARTWRIGHT

YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YOU Ottara

THOU Mony (Reserve) Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

SE Kolvuthy

Andrew MCINTYRE Simon MEISENBERG

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

CHEA Leang Nicolas KOUMJIAN William SMITH VENG Huot

Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL

Keith RAYNOR Tarik ABDULHAK Dale LYSAK

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun SOUR Sotheavy The Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

SON Arun Victor KOPPE KONG Sam Onn Anta GUISSÉ Arthur VERCKEN

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH Ang

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

SAM Sokong
LOR Chunthy
Pascal AUBOIN
HONG Kimsuon
Lyma NGUYEN
Christine MARTINEAU

VEN Pov CHET Vanly Beini YE TY Srinna

SIN Soworn

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN	Khmer
MR. KOPPE	English
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MR. NUON CHEA	Khmer
MR. SON ARUN	Khmer
MR. VERCKEN	French

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0900H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 As scheduled today, the Chamber will hand the floor to the
- 6 co-Accused and their defence teams in order to make their final
- 7 statement in Case 002/01.
- 8 And before I hand the floor over to the Defence, starting from
- 9 the accused Nuon Chea, the Greffier, Ms. Se Kolvuthy, could you
- 10 report the attendance of the parties and individuals to today's
- 11 proceeding?
- 12 THE GREFFIER:
- 13 Mr. President, for today's proceeding, all parties are present.
- 14 Pich Ang, the National Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties, will be
- 15 a little bit late this morning.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 Security guards, can you bring the accused Nuon Chea to the dock
- 20 so that he can make his final statement?
- 21 (Mr. Nuon Chea is taken to the dock)
- 22 [09.03.56]
- 23 MR. NUON CHEA:
- 24 My respect to the venerable monks who are present here today and
- 25 those at the pagodas. My respect to my beloved compatriots.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

2

1 Honourable Court, up until now the Chamber has already spent more

- 2 than two years in order to determine my destiny for actions that
- 3 took place in Democratic Kampuchea from 17 April 1975 to 6
- 4 January 1979.
- 5 This is the period which I spent most of my entire life carrying
- 6 out my duties to serve my country and my beloved people. Despite
- 7 some of my indirect participation in this trial due to my poor
- 8 health, I have paid close attention to the presentation of
- 9 evidence by both the National and International Co-Prosecutors
- 10 and the examination of all witnesses before this Chamber from the
- 11 holding cell under this main courtroom.
- 12 [09.05.37]
- 13 Through this trial, it is clearly indicated that I was not
- 14 engaged in any commission of the crimes as alleged by the
- 15 Co-Prosecutors. In short, I am innocent in relation to those
- 16 allegations. Honourable Court, when I make such a claim, probably
- 17 some people who do not clearly understand the law or those who
- 18 are partial are mocking at me. However, that is okay for me. In a
- 19 very short moment, when I make my submission to the Chamber, they
- 20 will know that everything I say is true. In the spirit of the
- 21 law, this Court is created with the aim and responsibility to
- 22 find the truth and justice for me and for all victims by relying
- 23 on concrete, legal and credible evidence. In contrast, up until
- 24 now, the Co-Prosecutors have failed to present sufficient
- 25 evidence in order to satisfy, satisfy the elements of crimes that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

3

- 1 I stand accused of.
- 2 Some of my rights are not properly quaranteed in this Court;
- 3 namely my right to a speedy trial, a right to legal defence, a
- 4 right to a fair trial, and other rights quaranteed under national
- 5 and international laws. Moreover, many doubts regarding the
- 6 evidence have not been clearly clarified before the Chamber,
- 7 including, inter alia, the original documents and testimonies of
- 8 important witnesses who can support my defence.
- 9 [09.08.13]
- 10 As Your Honours have known, regarding this point, my lawyers have
- 11 already confirmed it to the Chamber clearly with proper legal
- 12 foundation. However, I would like to add some other important
- 13 points so that Your Honours can understand more clearly about my
- 14 innocence and integrity concerning the above allegations.
- 15 I make this claim based on three main points: one, absence of
- 16 power to control and to prevent.
- 17 Honourable Court and my beloved compatriots, on 9 July 2013, I
- 18 told the Chamber once already that I did not have any authority
- 19 or connection with the commission of the crimes during the
- 20 Democratic Kampuchea period. And once again, I would like to
- 21 reiterate that during the Democratic Kampuchea period I had only
- 22 three main roles; namely, as a Deputy Secretary of the Communist
- 23 Party of Kampuchea. In this position, I was in charge of
- 24 dissemination and educational propaganda about policy to CPK
- 25 members.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

4

- 1 [09.10.33]
- 2 Regarding the content of dissemination, I never educated CPK
- 3 members to exercise arbitrary authority or to behave badly
- 4 towards the people. Instead, I educated them to love, respect,
- 5 and serve the people and the country. I never educated or
- 6 instructed them to mistreat or kill people, to deprive them of
- 7 food, or to commit any genocide.
- 8 I always taught and educated CPK members and soldiers the main
- 9 principles of the CPK in order to make them do their work and
- 10 serve the people properly. Specifically, I educated them the
- 11 principles stipulated in Article 2 of the CPK's Statute so that
- 12 they could understand clearly their responsibility as the CPK
- 13 members for their participation in social activities.
- 14 [09.12.18]
- 15 Article 2 of the CPK Statute states as follows:
- 16 "Every Party member has the following duties:
- 17 "1) Duties amongst the popular masses:
- 18 "A. Propagandize and educate the popular masses on Party
- 19 politics, ideology, and organization, and mingle closely with the
- 20 popular masses, the workers and peasants in the unions,
- 21 cooperatives, and the Revolutionary Army. Must be highly
- 22 responsible to the popular masses, serve the popular masses with
- 23 all their heart and unconditionally, be polite to the popular
- 24 masses, and learn from the popular masses.
- 25 "B. Agitate and constantly educate the popular masses movement,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

5

- 1 especially the worker-peasant popular masses in the unions,
- 2 cooperatives, and the Revolutionary Army in connection with the
- 3 task of national defence and building Democratic Kampuchea in the
- 4 direction of socialist revolution and building socialism.
- 5 "2) Internal duties:
- 6 "D. Always and absolutely preserve, strengthen and expand
- 7 internal solidarity within the Party."
- 8 [09.13.57]
- 9 Your Honours, based on these principles, it clearly states that
- 10 the Party had the purpose to equip its members with high
- 11 responsibility to stay closely with the people, be kind to the
- 12 people, take care of the people, and learn from the people.
- 13 Especially, the Party encouraged the Revolutionary Army of
- 14 Kampuchea and people to be patriotic and protect the motherland.
- 15 Moreover, I always educated Party members to refrain from
- 16 exercising arbitrary authority, from womanizing, drinking,
- 17 corruption, and gambling, etc. Especially, the Party educated its
- 18 members to have solidarity within the Party and amongst the
- 19 popular masses.
- 20 What I have raised about is an example of my work that I did in
- 21 my capacity as Deputy Secretary of the CPK during the DK period.
- 22 In short, what I educated and taught all CPK members and the army
- 23 is the building of knowledge for them to be patriotic, protect
- 24 the nation, love the people, and have good internal solidarity
- 25 for the purpose of protecting and building a country for it to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

6

- 1 develop and prosper, to have real independence, and to absolutely
- 2 prevent any country, big or small, near or far, from invading and
- 3 colonizing Cambodia.
- 4 [09.16.16]
- 5 Smashing of invading enemy is the responsibility of the
- 6 Revolutionary Army of Kampuchea for the protection of its
- 7 motherland. For the same token, security-strengthening and
- 8 internal political stability must be implemented properly so that
- 9 the Revolution can develop and prosper. Based on general
- 10 viewpoint, this rule is not different from any rule implemented
- 11 by country leaders around the world who have to bear such
- 12 responsibility in order to maintain security and protect their
- 13 countries. Countries all around the world always legislate law to
- 14 serve politics and control the countries. If anyone violates the
- 15 law, that person will be punished in accordance with the law of
- 16 that country.
- 17 [09.17.29]
- 18 My second role as the Vice President of the Communication
- 19 Committee with Vietnamese Workers Party: Relationship with
- 20 Vietnam and its people had long been established. The
- 21 relationship was further expanded upon the inception and movement
- 22 of the CPK through the organization of Communication Committee
- 23 with Vietnam. The committee was established at all levels from
- 24 the Central to Zone.
- 25 At the Central level, Pol Pot was the President and I was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

7

- 1 President Vice President of that committee. However, because
- 2 Pol Pot had many tasks to fulfil, I was appointed by the CPK
- 3 Standing Committee as Vice President to be in charge of this
- 4 affair on his behalf. That was the occasion that I had an
- 5 opportunity to hold meetings with the Communist Party of Vietnam
- 6 very often. From the time I was in charge of that affair, I
- 7 learned of the Vietnamese trickery and many secrets toward
- 8 Cambodia.
- 9 I recalled that when I joined the Communist Party of Kampuchea
- 10 Movement in 1950, Vietnamese army that is, Viet Cong had had
- 11 its presence already throughout Cambodia. They had their elements
- 12 infiltrated in the CPK Movement, both in the Revolutionary Army
- 13 of Kampuchea, amongst civilian leaders, and within the population
- 14 of Cambodia.
- 15 [09.19.41]
- 16 I also recall that when the CPK was initially created it neither
- 17 had its office nor headquarters; it was under the complete
- 18 control and leadership of the Vietnamese Communist Party.
- 19 However, in early 1964, Pol Pot liberated the CPK from the
- 20 Vietnamese control. Pol Pot and a number of the CPK's Central
- 21 Committee members created its headquarters called Office 100.
- 22 Later on, that office was relocated to Ratanakiri province and at
- 23 that time the office was not completely independent yet.
- 24 Vietnamese military base, located in Zone 5 in Vietnam, employed
- 25 all kinds of tactics and strategies to control the CPK

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

8

- 1 politically, economically, and militarily. In the year of 1960,
- 2 North Vietnam used Cambodia as their military base to fight the
- 3 South Vietnam that is, the Thieu-Ky.
- 4 At the same time, the CPK Movement expanded dramatically after
- 5 the coup d'état against Prince Norodom Sihanouk on 18 March 1970,
- 6 which was led by the United States and traitorous Lon Nol, Sirik
- 7 Matak, and Son Ngoc Thanh. At that time, the People's
- 8 Revolutionary Army of Kampuchea was created and expanded quickly.
- 9 [09.21.59]
- 10 Also, by that time, Vietnam recruited some 3,000 Cambodian people
- 11 to receive training and education on technical skills, politics,
- 12 and psychology in North Vietnam. When they returned in mid 1973,
- 13 they were appointed to work in the Party line and within the
- 14 People's Revolutionary Army.
- 15 In short, Vietnam tried to employ all kinds of trickery to
- 16 control the CPK militarily, politically, economically, and
- 17 financially. Nevertheless, CPK leaders envisaged that what
- 18 Vietnam had done toward Cambodia was not consistent with the CPK
- 19 policy.
- 20 In early 1973, with the efforts to liberate the CPK led by Pol
- 21 Pot, who was then the CPK Secretary, and as the war in South
- 22 Vietnam intensified, Vietnamese Army decided to loosen its grip
- 23 on armed force and authority in Cambodia and refocus its effort
- 24 to fight with the South Vietnam. That was an opportunity for the
- 25 CPK and the army to gain independence and strengthen its force.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

9

- 1 The CPK, under Pol Pot's leadership, implemented its principles
- 2 of independence, self-mastery, self-reliance, and deciding its
- 3 own nation and own destiny.
- 4 [09.24.33]
- 5 On 17 April 1975, People's Revolutionary Army of Kampuchea gained
- 6 victory over Lon Nol regime, and two weeks later South Vietnam
- 7 was also liberated. The People's Revolutionary Army of Kampuchea
- 8 liberated Phnom Penh by itself and they achieved this great
- 9 victory, and the Party gained full independence in managing the
- 10 country.
- 11 However, even though the Communist Party of Vietnam announced in
- 12 1973 that Vietnamese army had withdrawn and had no direct control
- 13 over the CPK Movement, in reality, the Vietnamese armed force and
- 14 many Vietnamese secret agents had long infiltrated in the CPK and
- 15 People's Revolutionary Army of Kampuchea in all places around the
- 16 country. Those people did not return to Vietnam. They carried out
- 17 their tasks covertly and overtly with Vietnamese ethnic
- 18 minorities and some Cambodian people.
- 19 [09.26.07]
- 20 At that time, we failed to realize the depth of Vietnamese
- 21 trickery; however, later on, we clearly understood their trickery
- 22 through the following four evidentiary points:
- 23 1) Reports that Cambodian people were deprived of food and forced
- 24 to work hard at local bases:
- 25 2) Cambodian people were killed;

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

10

- 1 3) Lower level cadres did not report the said situation to upper
- 2 echelon;
- 3 4) Some soldiers had friction and defected to Vietnam, especially
- 4 at East Zone.
- 5 The aforementioned points demonstrated that Vietnam had their
- 6 agents infiltrated in the Party rank and the army in order to
- 7 destroy Revolution, kill Cambodian people, and annexed Cambodian
- 8 territory, which had been a long-term ambition of Vietnam.
- 9 Actually, on 7 January 1979, Vietnam invaded Cambodia when they
- 10 had destroyed the revolutionary foundation at almost all the
- 11 local bases by starving and arbitrarily killing the people and
- 12 creating mistrust within the CPK. Vietnam had exercised its
- 13 control over Cambodia from 1979 to 1991 and ceased its control
- only when Cambodia had Paris Peace Accord on 23 October 1991.
- 15 [09.28.22]
- 16 Acts of depriving food from the people and the killing of
- 17 Cambodian people were contradictory to the reason and policy of
- 18 the CPK, and based on this ground, I can conclude that those acts
- 19 were really the acts committed by Vietnam.
- 20 The CPK and I were very painful when we learned that we, the CPK,
- 21 were deceived by Vietnam that led to the deaths of our own people
- 22 and the destruction of our country. However, it seemed a little
- 23 too late to recover from the situation.
- 24 When Vietnam invaded Cambodia, diplomatic relation between both
- 25 countries was severed. National defence and military commands to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

11

- 1 engage in battle were the exclusive responsibility of Son Sen,
- 2 who was the Minister of Ministry of National Defence of the
- 3 Democratic Kampuchea regime. That was the time my position in the
- 4 Communication Committee with Vietnamese Workers Party completely
- 5 ceased.
- 6 [09.29.52]
- 7 Three, my role as President of the People's Representative
- 8 Assembly.
- 9 Honourable Court, after the liberation on 17 April 1975, I was
- 10 appointed as President of People's Representative Assembly.
- 11 Legally speaking, my position was to be in charge of legislation.
- 12 At that time, the war in Cambodia had just ended and the war with
- 13 Vietnam continued. We did not have sufficient time to legislate
- 14 many laws in this short time. In addition, considering the
- 15 situation Cambodia was in at the time, legislation was not a main
- 16 priority.
- 17 In line with the Communism doctrine, leadership of Democratic
- 18 Kampuchea as well as that of other Communist countries was one
- 19 that the Party leads and the state governs. In this sense, the
- 20 Party provides principles in its leading, while the state refers
- 21 to the government or the executive branch which has the authority
- 22 and power to govern the entire country. Indeed, only the
- 23 government has complete authority in issuing orders or
- 24 instructions or in implementing actions in governing the country.
- 25 [09.31.48]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

12

- 1 In that regime, despite the clear distinction in the separation
- 2 of power into three branches; namely, the executive, legislative,
- 3 and judiciary, as stipulated in the DK's Constitution, it was
- 4 merely symbolic in reality. The legislative and judicial branches
- 5 did not fully function, and in fact, only the executive branch
- 6 was fully functional with Pol Pot appointed as Prime Minister.
- 7 Hence, Pol Pot had overall executive power in leading and
- 8 controlling the Party line and the government as he was both the
- 9 Party Secretary and Prime Minister. In this position, no one
- 10 could replace him. Based on this, it shows clearly that I had no
- 11 effective power in governing and implementing the tasks of the
- 12 executive branch.
- 13 [09.33.16]
- 14 Concerning other allegations for my other positions, I would like
- 15 to clarify that beside the above positions I had never had any
- 16 other position. I was dumbfounded when the Co Prosecutors alleged
- 17 that I used to be an acting prime minister, member of Central
- 18 Committee on Military Affairs, and linked to S 21 management.
- 19 That statement is, intentionally or otherwise, is completely
- 20 untrue and not backed up by any key evidence.
- 21 Pol Pot had his deputy prime ministers; namely, Ieng Sary, Son
- 22 Sen and Vorn Vet. Therefore, there was no reason for Pol Pot to
- 23 appoint me as acting prime minister in addition to his existing
- 24 deputies; and indeed, he could not appoint me as an acting prime
- 25 minister when he was absent from the country, simply because I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

13

- 1 did not have any position in the executive branch. If he did so,
- 2 it would be against the Party's policy.
- 3 [09.35.03]
- 4 Concerning the allegation that I was member of the Central
- 5 Committee for Military Affairs and linked to the S 21 management,
- 6 it is also not true. Indeed, I never dealt with those affairs.
- 7 Son Sen personally supervised those affairs.
- 8 I never met, never supervised, or ordered Duch to mistreat or
- 9 kill anyone. Everyone should be aware that soldiers or security
- 10 personnel would never listen to anyone besides their own
- 11 commanders. Therefore, there is no reason that Duch should listen
- 12 to me.
- 13 Frankly speaking, I heard the name of Duch only after 1979.
- 14 Duch's statement that I supervised S 21 was intentional as he
- 15 wanted to evade his responsibility for what happened at S 21 and
- 16 he wanted me to serve a life sentence like him, because Son Sen
- 17 died and he was convicted for life.
- 18 A person who is under such circumstance never speaks the truth,
- 19 as he is full of anger and feels hopeless in life, and that is
- 20 the real reason for him to implicate me. In short, my Defence
- 21 have asserted to Your Honours that I did not engage in any of
- 22 those tasks and there is no evidence to prove that I did it.
- 23 [09.37.16]
- 24 And based on that, it proves that I did not have any effective
- 25 power or position to have a direct control over the forces or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

14

- 1 local authority. Therefore, is there any reason for me to order,
- 2 instigate, or prevent perpetrators from committing the crimes in
- 3 that period? And I submit that Your Honours kindly consider this.
- 4 I would like to categorically declare that the purpose of my
- 5 participation in the DK regime was to liberate the country from
- 6 colonization and to defend Cambodian territory from invasion by
- 7 neighbouring countries whose ambition had for long been to
- 8 swallow Cambodia. I love my people. I did not have any reason or
- 9 intention to mistreat or to kill my people or to commit genocide
- 10 against my own nation.
- 11 Lack of evidence in the allegations. And I'd like to touch up on
- 12 the killing of Lon Nol soldiers at Tuol Po Chrey.
- 13 [09.38.47]
- 14 I would like to sincerely clarify that I am not aware of any
- 15 killing of former Lon Nol soldiers at Tuol Po Chrey in Pursat
- 16 province. After the liberation on 17 April 1975, as far as I
- 17 know, the CPK never established any policy to authorize its own
- 18 force to kill former Lon Nol soldiers, or any person for that
- 19 matter. The CPK's policy for prisoners of war was to forgive and
- 20 pardon. Those soldiers were forcibly drafted into the army to
- 21 fight and die on their behalf and they were indeed the children
- 22 of the people who were living in the CPK liberated zones.
- 23 In principle, to make a revolution means to gather forces. If
- 24 those people were killed, as alleged by the Co Prosecutors, it
- 25 was against the CPK policy, and as a result, it would compel the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

15

- 1 parents and relatives to take side with the enemy, thus
- 2 strengthening it, or they would turn against the CPK. If it was
- 3 true that those Lon Nol soldiers were killed it would have been
- 4 the decision of the lower cadres who committed the wrongdoings at
- 5 their own discretion or out of one's revenge that occurred during
- 6 the war.
- 7 [09.40.42]
- 8 Related to this point, some witnesses testified before the
- 9 Chamber that Khmer Rouge soldiers gathered those Lon Nol soldiers
- 10 for a meeting which took place at the Pursat Provincial Town Hall
- 11 one week after the liberation on 17 April 1975. Those witnesses
- 12 gave inconsistent statements during trial and, in some instances,
- 13 contradicting own statements that was made before the
- 14 Investigating Judges.
- 15 For instance, Lim Sat made a statement before the Investigating
- 16 Judges that about 3,000 former Lon Nol soldiers attended the
- 17 meeting at Pursat Provincial Town Hall, and later they were taken
- 18 and executed. Those soldiers were transported by 20 to 30 trucks.
- 19 Each was loaded with approximately 30 soldiers. At trial, Lim Sat
- 20 testifies that there were approximately 2,000 Lon Nol soldiers
- 21 who attended the meeting at Pursat and they were transported by
- 22 10 to 15 trucks.
- 23 Another witness, Ung Chhat, testifies that there were 200
- 24 soldiers, and later changed his mind and said that there were
- 25 only between 100 to 150 soldiers.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

16

- 1 [09.42.30]
- One witness of Thet Sambath's video entitled, "One Day at Tuol Po
- 3 Chrey", said there were around 10,000 Lon Nol soldiers who were
- 4 taken and killed at Tuol Po Chrey. Furthermore, some witnesses
- 5 said that they secretly entered the area and saw dead bodies
- 6 scattered all over the place and they were without any military
- 7 uniform or backpack. Others claimed that there were many
- 8 backpacks.
- 9 I ask Your Honours to be with me for a moment and consider this
- 10 question together: Is there any reason for those people to risk
- 11 their lives and enter the area amidst such a dangerous situation
- 12 just to see those corpses? If there was such killing, and I
- 13 submit, it would be a dangerous place that no ordinary person
- 14 dared to go near.
- 15 When Your Honours heard those testimonies you must ask yourself
- 16 this question: Are they credible and reliable? And the simple
- 17 answer is, their testimonies cannot be relied upon or used
- 18 because they are full of doubts and filled with lies.
- 19 [09.44.09]
- 20 And I'd like to submit the following question: As I was one of
- 21 the leaders, do you think we have time to deal with such a
- 22 matter? We had overall and pressing task to resolve in Phnom
- 23 Penh, especially during the first few months. The important task
- 24 was to resolve peoples' livelihood and defend the country from
- 25 any attempts to control Cambodia by Communist Party of Vietnam

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

17

- 1 and opposing parties.
- 2 Furthermore, I'd like to respond to the allegation made by the Co
- 3 Prosecutors that the CPK exercised its revolutionary violence
- 4 even before 1975. This claim shows that they simply looked at
- 5 events unfolded in Cambodia from just one corner. They pretend
- 6 not to know, see, or hear anything from another angle. In legal
- 7 jargon, this would mean victor's justice.
- 8 Let me remind you that before I made my decision to join the
- 9 armed struggle for the cause of liberating the country, many CPK
- 10 members and civilians were secretly executed, arrested, tortured,
- 11 and disappeared every day. Every Cambodian still can remember
- 12 these events.
- 13 [09.45.57]
- 14 This kind of violence existed in every Cambodian regime and
- 15 victims in those events were all farmers and innocent people.
- 16 And I'd like to begin that with the French colonialism:
- 17 France colonized Cambodia for almost a century. The regime
- 18 authority used violence to make arrests and killed unarmed
- 19 peasants at Kraeng Leav village in Kampong Chhnang province.
- 20 Those peasants could not afford to pay tax and had to force
- 21 themselves to protest for and demand justice. In the end, the
- 22 peasants were the losers, and as a result, their village name was
- 23 changed to "Village of Bestiality" or Phum Direchan in Khmer. Is
- 24 this not violence?
- 25 In the Sangkum Reastr Niyum, the powerful bulldozed houses and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

18

- 1 paddy fields belonging to peasants at Andaeuk Haeb in Samlaut,
- 2 Battambang province, they grabbed the land of the people who had
- 3 lived there for many generations. When they protested to protect
- 4 their property, soldiers indiscriminately opened fire at those
- 5 unarmed people, regardless whether they were men, women, young or
- 6 old. Worse than that, the authorities tried to arrest and kill
- 7 more people.
- 8 [09.47.53]
- 9 They were so scared and as a result they fled into the forest. In
- 10 turn, the authorities accused those people, who were the original
- 11 landowners, of being Khmer Rouge. Is this not the creation of
- 12 violence and cause of people's suffering?
- 13 In the Lon Nol regime, which was supported by the United States,
- 14 Lon Nol soldiers sexually raped women, robbed people of their
- 15 properties, and killed people everywhere. They beheaded people
- 16 and had their heads displayed on fence posts. They committed this
- 17 act merely upon their suspicion that those people opposed their
- 18 regime and they were implicated as members of the Khmer Rouge
- 19 Revolution. In addition, Lon Nol soldiers' systematically raped
- 20 women, plundered their properties, and forcibly evacuated them
- 21 from their homes.
- 22 Vietnamese minorities were massacred everywhere in Cambodia.
- 23 Furthermore, the United States dropped several tons of its bombs
- 24 on the people's villages, homes, rice fields, and pagodas. As a
- 25 result, many tens of thousands of civilian people, including

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

19

- 1 children, the elderly, pregnant women, and the disabled were
- 2 killed. Is this not a crime against humanity or genocide?
- 3 [09.49.31]
- 4 In 1979, Vietnam invaded and occupied Cambodia and in the
- 5 following years they deployed their artillery to shell refugee
- 6 camps situated along Cambodia-Thai border, causing homes to be
- 7 burned, properties destroyed, and losses of many lives, including
- 8 the lives of children, women, the elderly, and the disabled.
- 9 In addition, they initiated a plan known as K 5 to force civilian
- 10 people to enter the forest for the purpose of transporting
- 11 ammunitions and digging trenches for soldiers to defend the power
- 12 that they earned from their invasion of Cambodia. Many hundreds
- 13 of thousands of people died as a result. Is this not a plan to
- 14 kill people?
- 15 In 1997, two political factions fought each other in the middle
- 16 of Phnom Penh to challenge for power. The fighting destroyed and
- 17 burned many houses and properties and killed many innocent
- 18 people. A number of FUNCINPEC prisoners of war were killed after
- 19 they had surrendered. As an example, Ho Sok, a senior FUNCINPEC
- 20 leader, was killed within the compound of the Ministry of
- 21 Interior where he was arrested as hostage. Is this not a violence
- 22 or crime?
- 23 [09.51.20]
- 24 For all the events that I raised above, are they not violence or
- 25 crime against humanity? All of this can be used as evidence to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

20

- 1 compare with the situation under the CPK.
- 2 If the CPK is alleged of resorting to the use of violence before
- 3 1975, why do the Co Prosecutors fail to provide just a small
- 4 glimpse into the reality in regards to the events occurred, as
- 5 the other side committed toward the Cambodian people? I can see
- 6 that the Co Prosecutors made an effort to highlight the act of
- 7 killing each other during the war, and tried to link it to the
- 8 killing that was immediately taking place after the end of the
- 9 war. They attempt to show to Your Honours that the CPK made such
- 10 a systematic plan.
- 11 This linkage is unfair. If the killing during a war is treated as
- 12 a systematic plan, why the Co-Prosecutors failed to prosecute the
- 13 other party to the war? In fact, the CPK made plan to engage in a
- 14 war to liberate the country from destruction. Combat strategy was
- 15 used to defeat the enemy, and I submit that this is not an
- 16 illegal act. People in many countries around the world fight
- 17 their respective government for what they believe is a proper
- 18 cause and demand for changes, especially for right to decide own
- 19 destiny and own nation.
- 20 [09.54.03]
- 21 Allow me to give Your Honours some examples: Those countries
- 22 include Sri Lanka, Syria, Libya, Vietnam, and Iraq. All of these
- 23 countries used to have civil war. During such war, factional
- 24 groups designed plans to destroy their enemy. If Your Honours
- 25 consider that combative planning for a war designed by a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

21

- 1 victorious party is a criminal intent, as alleged by the Co
- 2 Prosecutors, leaders of those countries, whether they are
- 3 government leaders or opposition group leaders, must be
- 4 prosecuted, especially the United States, Vietnam and other
- 5 Cambodian leaders. They should not bring to trial only the body
- 6 of the crocodile and allow its head or tail to evade the net of
- 7 the law. This is so unfair for me.
- 8 [09.55.20]
- 9 I would like Your Honours to clearly distinguish a plan to
- 10 liberate the country and a plan to kill people after the war.
- 11 These two points are totally different from each other. Strategic
- 12 combat planning of destroying the enemy ended when the war itself
- 13 ended. However, the intention to kill people is a new phase by
- 14 the authority when they're in charge of governing the country.
- 15 Moreover, I recall that this Chamber limits the scope of this
- 16 trial to hear only the facts that occurred between 17 April 1975
- 17 and 6 January 1979.
- 18 Though I do not know much about the law, I understand that what
- 19 the Co Prosecutors allege, concerning my activities before 17
- 20 April 1975, is wrong and bears no legal value. In short, what the
- 21 Co Prosecutors raised regarding revolutionary violence before
- 22 1975 and relied upon it as a legal basis to satisfy the elements
- 23 of crime with their intention to prosecute me is simply
- 24 incorrect. I submit that Your Honours reject this allegation. On
- 25 the matter of evacuation -

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

22

- 1 [09.57.28]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 The accused Nuon Chea, please pause.
- 4 Yes, Counsel, you may proceed.
- 5 MR. SON ARUN:
- 6 Mr. President, I am counsel for Nuon Chea, and I would ask Your
- 7 Honours to allow my client to rest for 10 to 15 minutes. He spent
- 8 one hour already, and he seems exhausted. Please allow him to
- 9 rest briefly.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 And the time is also appropriate for a short break. We will take
- 13 a short break now and return at a quarter past 10.00.
- 14 Security guards, please bring the Accused back to his table with
- 15 his counsel.
- 16 (Court recesses from 0958H to 1018H)
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 19 And, again, the floor will be given to Nuon Chea to make his
- 20 final statement. You may now continue.
- 21 MR. NOUN CHEA:
- 22 The issue of evacuation.
- 23 As I have testified before the Chamber during the last couple of
- 24 years, after the liberation on 17 April 1975, all city dwellers
- 25 were indeed evacuated out of the cities. However, it was not a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

23

- 1 forced evacuation.
- 2 [10.19.57]
- 3 There were two main reasons that leaders decided to rely upon in
- 4 so doing.
- 5 First, it was the fear of American bombardment on the cities
- 6 after the Lon Nol government was defeated. And this was one
- 7 reason that the leadership and Cambodian people believed they
- 8 believed that the United States would renew its bombardment in
- 9 many cities, and especially in Phnom Penh. They believed that
- 10 because the United States had previously dropped several tons of
- 11 bombs in Cambodia.
- 12 The second reason was that war had been waged in Cambodia for
- 13 over five years. Through this experience of war, Cambodia faced
- 14 many challenges, including food shortage. And food shortage was a
- 15 main problem that needed to be resolved urgently, as it was
- 16 related to the life of people. At that time, Cambodia did not
- 17 receive any foreign aid or assistance. Facing such pressing
- 18 circumstance, the CPK leadership devised a plan to evacuate
- 19 people to regions and provinces where they were rich in economic
- 20 resources husk and unhusked rice that could feed the
- 21 evacuated people. In turn, they would be required to join in the
- 22 production activity for self-sufficiency and country
- 23 reconstruction.
- 24 [10.22.35]
- 25 In relation to evacuating people from Phnom Penh City, the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

24

- 1 Standing Committee instructed the Central Committee to convene a
- 2 meeting to prepare for evacuation. All <zone secretaries who
- 3 were > members of the Central Committee attended that meeting, and
- 4 I recall that the Northwest Zone <alone> agreed to receive 1.5
- 5 million evacuees. The East, the Southwest, and the Central Zones
- 6 agreed to take the rest of the evacuees. For the planned
- 7 implementation, each zone has the autonomy to coordinate amongst
- 8 themselves to facilitate the evacuation. They had to provide
- 9 instructions to cooperatives to assist the evacuees from Phnom
- 10 Penh without any discrimination against them.
- 11 With the two reasons, the evacuation proceeded on a voluntary
- 12 basis without coercion, violence, or any killing. It was
- 13 implemented via clear information being explained to the people
- 14 to understand the risk of being bombarded by the United States on
- 15 cities and the need to resolve the living condition of the
- 16 people, and self-construction of the country.
- 17 [10.24.51]
- 18 At that time, people understood the dangerous situation and the
- 19 pressing need for the country; especially people supported and
- 20 loved the Revolution. Gradually, people left the cities in
- 21 accordance with the explanation and appeal by the CPK. Regarding
- 22 this point, I would like to respond to the Co-Prosecutors'
- 23 argument. They allege that the CPK surrounded Phnom Penh City,
- 24 and that led to food shortage. They also allege that shelling Lon
- 25 Nol's military bases in the city was an inhumane act. However,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

25

- 1 the Co-Prosecutors failed to mention that Lon Nol soldiers,
- 2 equipped with artillery provided by the United States, emptied
- 3 many millions of shells, and together with more than half a
- 4 million tons of bombs dropped by the United States, they
- 5 devastated the country, as houses, properties, animals, and farms
- 6 were destroyed. Especially, tens of thousands of people were
- 7 killed, including the elderly, children, and women. Isn't this an
- 8 inhumane act or a crime? The bombs that the United States dropped
- 9 on Cambodia were three times more than those dropped on Japan
- 10 during the Second World War.
- 11 [10.27.12]
- 12 The CPK also considered Phnom Penh city dwellers my apologies,
- 13 the CPK did not regard the city dwellers as enemies, contrary to
- 14 the allegation made by the Prosecution. On the other hand, those
- 15 Phnom Penh city dwellers were mostly workers, peasants,
- 16 petite-bourgeoisie and intellectuals whom the CPK needed and
- 17 needed to gather their forces and strength in order to build the
- 18 Revolution.
- 19 I also would like to respond to the Co-Prosecutors' allegation
- 20 that the CPK was a slave state. It is simply not true. I would
- 21 like to inform my compatriots that CPK did not struggle to
- 22 liberate the country for the purpose of transforming its people
- 23 into slavery, as alleged. On the contrary, the CPK liberated the
- 24 people from slavery.
- 25 [10.28.50]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

26

1 We all should have known that, before the liberation on 17th of

- 2 April 1975, the majority of the peasants were poor, could not
- 3 support themselves on a daily basis, and faced a grave difficulty
- 4 in their living condition. The Lon Nol authority at the time
- 5 failed to provide a proper public service and social welfare to
- 6 the poor people. Corruption was ripe, and injustice rooted deeply
- 7 in Cambodian society. This resulted in people becoming poorer and
- 8 poorer. Poor people needed to borrow money from the rich in order
- 9 to support their living, for medical treatment, and to pay tax.
- 10 That was the time the rich exploited the situation. They
- 11 persecuted the poor. They demanded interest as they pleased, and
- 12 monthly interest could skyrocket as much as 50 per cent of the
- 13 capital. As a result of this excessive interest rate, people
- 14 could no longer afford to pay their debt, and creditors
- 15 confiscated farms, rice paddy, and houses. And when they no
- 16 longer had any farm, rice paddy, or house, they were forced to
- 17 work as slaves in order to pay debt that was never ended. In many
- 18 instances, they were forced to sell their children to work for
- 19 others and became their slaves merely in exchange for food.
- 20 [10.31.10]
- 21 This exploitation and the poorness of these people was one of the
- 22 many causes that the CPK determined to resolve by liberating the
- 23 nation and people from slavery, from human exploitation and
- 24 invasion by other countries, by building a country where people
- 25 could live equally and own the country with independence,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

27

- 1 self-mastery, self-reliance, and decide own destiny and nation.
- 2 The CPK did not design any policy or plan to have its people
- 3 placed in slavery by food depravation, forced labour, or killing.
- 4 On the contrary, in mid-1976, the Standing Committee prepared and
- 5 adopted the four-year planning to build Socialism in all fields.
- 6 The CPK line and policy was to promote the livelihood of the
- 7 people. This plan set forth a food regime for people that is,
- 8 each person would receive certain tons or 300 kilograms of rice
- 9 per year. So, people would have enough food, and they could have
- 10 three to four meals per day, with two courses of soup and a fried
- 11 dish. In addition, additional food and dessert would be provided
- 12 every three days in 1977, and every two days in 1978, and every
- 13 day from 1979 onwards.
- 14 [10.33.46]
- 15 As for work hours, people would be allowed to work eight hours
- 16 per day and would be entitled to three days off per month.
- 17 Pregnant women would be allowed a two-month maternity leave after
- 18 delivery. Sick people could rest depending on actual conditions.
- 19 In addition, we prepared to increase machinery to reduce physical
- 20 workload of the people. This shows that the CPK stance was not to
- 21 force people to work hard.
- 22 I recall that, one day, I travelled to Siem Reap province via
- 23 Kampong Thom at night. I saw people walk to the rice fields, and
- 24 I asked local cadres about this. And I was told that people had
- 25 high commitment to work extra hours. Only after 1979 did I learn

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

28

- 1 that local cadres lied to me.
- 2 Concerning health care, the CPK prepared a four-year planning for
- 3 medicine with a total amount of 35,270,000 dollars. The four-year
- 4 expenditure for clothing was 66,270,000 dollars. For housing,
- 5 hygiene, and culture, the four-year expenditure was 80,230,000
- 6 dollars.
- 7 [10.35.47]
- 8 The CPK clearly and specifically set out these plans for zones
- 9 and autonomous sectors to implement it. The CPK did not design
- 10 any plan or policy to kill people. On the contrary, it had
- 11 planned to increase population, and not to reduce it. However, it
- 12 is so regretful that zones and autonomous sectors did not
- implement the Standing Committee's decision.
- 14 Up to now, I can conclude and respond to questions put forth by
- 15 the Court, and especially by the public, that the strategic
- 16 events that happened during the DPK period were caused by the
- 17 following reasons:
- 18 1) The CPK made incorrect decisions to recruit some cadres, as
- 19 they betrayed they did not grasp well the Party line and some
- 20 leaders occupied themselves with works in Phnom Penh and failed
- 21 to visit cooperatives regularly.
- 22 [10.37.17]
- 23 2) Some zone and autonomous sector leaders and cadres were
- 24 Vietnamese and American infiltrated agents who betrayed the
- 25 Revolution. They carried out activities to destroy the CPK

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

29

- 1 movement, the people, and the country of Cambodia. They did not
- 2 follow the CPK policy and instructions. Instead, they killed and
- 3 mistreated people by starving them and arbitrarily engaging them
- 4 in forced labour. They concealed these facts and fabricated
- 5 reports to the Party Central Committee. They resorted to all
- 6 kinds of methods to make people upset with, and turn against the
- 7 Revolution. This weakened the Revolution to make it vulnerable to
- 8 enemies' invasion under the pretext to liberate the people, thus
- 9 legitimize its invasion. As a matter of fact, the East Zone
- 10 leaders deprived people of food and secretly exported rice to
- 11 Vietnam. They were the ones who seemed to burn the outer skin
- 12 crisp, while leaving the inside raw. They excessively implemented
- 13 the CPK policy. The phrase "they left the inside raw" infers that
- 14 they did not engage in anything at all but let their lower cadres
- 15 do whatever they pleased.
- 16 [10.39.16]
- 17 3) A large number of cadres at zone, autonomous sector, district,
- 18 and cooperative level failed to sufficiently grasp the CPK line,
- 19 planning, and policy. They failed to report the situation
- 20 concerning hardship and shortage faced by the people. Instead
- 21 they fortified their reports to the Party Centre by boasting
- 22 about their achievement and success in leading their respective
- 23 base, and to achieve what they fabricated in the reports they
- 24 resorted to forcing people to overwork, reducing their food
- 25 ration, and killing them arbitrarily.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

30

- 1 In summary, the CPK has clear reasons for the evacuation of
- 2 people. The evacuation was to ensure their safety and to liberate
- 3 them from slavery and injustice. It was never meant to place them
- 4 in slavery.
- 5 [10.40.30]
- 6 On the issue of fair trial, Your Honours, it is my observation
- 7 throughout these proceedings that some of my fundamental rights
- 8 have been violated. Actually, I would not say the trial is -
- 9 because I am asking the Court to find justice for me, and if the
- 10 Chamber is upset because of my criticism, then the injustice
- 11 indeed falls upon me. However, if I don't raise the issue of my
- 12 rights being violated, the chance to find justice for me is even
- 13 slimmer as those rights are fundamental to seeking my justice.
- 14 Concerning this point, I have carefully followed and observed the
- 15 Court's proceedings and I submit that my many rights have been
- 16 violated, namely:
- 17 1) Inequality of arms in collecting evidence. Throughout the
- 18 proceedings my counsels were not allowed to conduct any
- 19 investigation for the purpose of collecting evidence for my
- 20 defence. However, the Co-Prosecutors had ample opportunity to
- 21 conduct their own investigation since the beginning. My counsels
- 22 were not allowed to seek for other witnesses except those whose
- 23 names are on the Co-Investigating Judges' list. This apparently
- 24 tied my counsel's arms and restricted them from gathering
- 25 evidence for my defence while the other side was afforded full

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

31

- 1 opportunity to attack me freely. This has severely affected my
- 2 defence team and my legitimate interest.
- 3 [10.42.53]
- 4 2) Failure to summons important witnesses. My defence counsels
- 5 repeatedly requested the Chamber to summons some important
- 6 witnesses to testify before this Chamber; namely, character
- 7 witnesses and Tuol Po Chrey witnesses. However, the Chamber
- 8 denied such requests. Such decisions have seriously impacted the
- 9 process of ascertaining the truth in this case.
- 10 3) Bias in examination of witnesses before the Chamber. The
- 11 examination of witnesses is an important process in ascertaining
- 12 the truth and clarifying some uncertainties regarding some
- 13 witnesses. Your Honours are to rely upon these testimonies when
- 14 you make your just decision. In this Chamber, I can see that Your
- 15 Honours have failed to consider this issue properly.
- 16 [10.44.21]
- 17 During the Prosecution's examination of witnesses, Your Honours
- 18 always afforded them the opportunity with minimal interruption,
- 19 despite objections raised by the Defence. The defence lawyers,
- 20 however, were not that lucky, as they were not allowed such
- 21 opportunity. They were interrupted most of the time by the
- 22 objections from the Prosecution and Your Honours always agreed
- 23 with the prosecutor's objections. And sometimes when the defence
- 24 lawyers raised their objections, instead of being sustained, they
- 25 were overruled and they received warnings from the President of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

32

- 1 the Chamber. As we could see the unequal treatment, Khieu Samphan
- 2 and I decided to no longer testify before the Chamber anymore
- 3 because we thought that, to Your Honours, our testimonies mean
- 4 nothing, as you are clearly biased and the proceedings that have
- 5 been conducted in this Chamber are just for the sake of
- 6 completing the procedure or making it look good in the eye of the
- 7 public.
- 8 [10.45.42]
- 9 In conclusion, based on the three grounds that I have stated
- 10 above, it clearly shows that I did not carry out any plan to
- 11 commit the crimes. I did not provide any support or encourage
- 12 anyone to commit the crimes. Despite the fact that I had a role
- 13 as Deputy Secretary of the CPK and President of the People's
- 14 Representative Assembly, I did not have any knowledge of the
- 15 crimes committed at base level. Only toward the end of the DK
- 16 period had I learned the traitorous acts committed by leaders at
- 17 some zones, sectors, and bases. They had the intention to destroy
- 18 the CPK movement, and at that time, I did not have any effective
- 19 authority to prevent those traitorous acts, nor had I any role in
- 20 controlling the armed forces or local authorities. If I had any
- 21 authority to lead or commit the alleged crimes during the DK
- 22 period, surely the Court that was established in 1979 by the
- 23 People's Republic of Cambodia would have prosecuted and convicted
- 24 me, like Pol Pot and Ieng Sary.
- 25 [10.47.26]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

33

- 1 Evidence of those crimes at the time was still fresh and
- 2 apparently, there is no need to wait for 38 years to try me.
- 3 However, they knew that I had no authority and did not commit any
- 4 crime. Nonetheless, I would like to express my deepest remorse
- 5 and moral responsibility to all victims and Cambodian people who
- 6 suffered during the Democratic Kampuchea Regime. As a matter of
- 7 historical fact, the CPK's policy, line, and plan were solely
- 8 designed for one purpose and one purpose only that is, to
- 9 liberate the country and people from colonization, imperialism,
- 10 exploitation, extreme poverty, interfering and invasion by
- 11 neighbouring countries, especially by Vietnam. The CPK's policy
- 12 was clear and specific. It wanted to create an equal society
- 13 where people are the masters of their country for the purpose of
- 14 independence, self-mastery, self-reliance, and deciding its own
- 15 destiny and nation. The CPK movement was not designed for killing
- 16 people or destroying the country.
- 17 [10.49.29]
- 18 My concerns, my hope and wishes were destroyed by those who
- 19 betrayed the movement. My people suffered and killed. My nation
- 20 fell apart. Although the tragedy in the DK period was the result
- 21 of the acts committed by those traitors in the name of Deputy
- 22 Secretary of the Party that had the responsibility to disseminate
- 23 and propagandize education about the CPK policy, I would like to
- 24 sincerely apologize to the public, to the victims, their
- 25 families, and all Cambodian people; and I still stand by my

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

34

- 1 previously stated position that I am morally responsible for the
- 2 loose and untidy control by the CPK. I wish to show my respect
- 3 and pray for the lost souls that occurred by any means during the
- 4 Democratic Kampuchea period.
- 5 In short, through this trial, I can see that justice is
- 6 circumstantial. However, reality remains unchanged forever. A
- 7 black cloud can't ever cover the entire sunlight. Likewise, bad
- 8 and immoral people cannot tell lies and hide the reality from the
- 9 eye of the people and the popular masses forever. They cannot
- 10 hide the reality and courageous struggle by the Cambodian people
- 11 and the support afforded to them by the people in the world who
- 12 loved peace and justice.
- 13 [10.51.42]
- 14 Therefore, Your Honours, based on the evidence and reasons I have
- 15 stated above, and especially the closing statements made by my
- 16 defence team, I respectfully submit to Your Honours to acquit me
- 17 from all the charges and, accordingly, release me.
- 18 I'm grateful, Your Honours.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you.
- 21 Security guards, please bring the Accused back into where he sat
- 22 earlier.
- 23 (Mr. Nuon Chea leaves the dock)
- 24 [10.52.47]
- 25 The Chamber would like now to give the floor to Nuon Chea's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

35

- 1 counsel to make your final rebuttal statement. You may proceed.
- 2 MR. KOPPE:
- 3 Mr. President, Your Honours, good morning, Counsel, civil
- 4 parties, everybody in the public gallery. Mr. President,
- 5 yesterday I indicated that I would speak for 30 minutes, but
- 6 having heard afterwards that the Khieu Samphan team will use only
- 7 one hour of its time, I would hope that the Chamber would allow
- 8 me to speak 15 or 20 minutes extra in my rebuttal to the
- 9 submissions yesterday of the Prosecution. Nevertheless, Mr.
- 10 President I will be I will try to be a brief as possible and
- 11 try to respond directly to the arguments of the Prosecution and
- 12 the civil parties. And, in general, I would like to address the
- 13 arguments advanced by each counsel and although I may, by
- 14 necessity, move around because of the overlap between the various
- 15 counsels arguments.
- 16 [10.54.31]
- 17 Mr. President, Your Honours, let me begin by addressing the
- 18 comments advanced yesterday by the civil parties. The first
- 19 observation I would make concerns the civil parties parroting of
- 20 the OCP's phrase "slave state". We have heard this catch phrase
- 21 now many times. Like an advertising campaign, the civil parties
- 22 and the Prosecution have flashed it in our faces like a neon
- 23 sign, all in an attempt to argue that it epitomized the common
- 24 purpose of the CPK. As we have expressed in both our brief and in
- 25 oral argument, the use of this slogan of "slave state" is not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

36

- 1 correct, and is in fact, misleading. Not just because of its
- 2 inaccuracy in describing the workings of the CPK, but also
- 3 because it is based on evidence that is not at issue before this
- 4 Chamber.
- 5 [10.55.45]
- 6 What do I mean when I say that this is not at issue before this
- 7 Chamber? I mean that it is grounded in witness statements and
- 8 evidence that are outside the scope of Case 002/01.
- 9 Mr. President, this trial as we are all well aware, concerns two
- 10 population movements and the alleged Tuol Po Chrey crime site.
- 11 Cooperatives and their corresponding conditions are not a part of
- 12 this trial. Despite this, the Prosecution and civil parties have
- 13 tried to backdoor witness statements recounting cooperative
- 14 conditions to show that certain individuals were treated like
- 15 slaves. Having failed to use this phrase in their closing briefs,
- 16 the civil parties following the Prosecution, almost blindly, now
- 17 do the same. Mr. President, Your Honours, it cannot be stated
- 18 more explicitly all of the witness statements alleging
- 19 individuals in cooperatives were subjected to slave-like
- 20 conditions are outside the scope of Case 002/01.
- 21 [10.57.12]
- 22 We have not been able to examine them or test their relevance or
- 23 reliability. Civil parties and the Prosecution have made no
- 24 effort to show whether these statements, based entirely on
- 25 witness observations of conditions on the ground are in any way,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

37

- 1 remotely representative of the country as a whole. By using the
- 2 "slave state" slogan, the Prosecution and civil parties have
- 3 tried to have their proverbial cake and eat it too. They have
- 4 conjured the sensational and evocative tagline to advance their
- 5 allegations, all the while, keeping the evidence underlying it
- 6 safe from scrutiny. As it is based on evidence that is not part
- 7 of this trial, its relevance-
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Mr. Nuon Chea, you may-
- 10 Please, hold on. Mr. Nuon Chea has something to say.
- 11 Mr. Nuon Chea, you may proceed.
- 12 Mr. Nuon Chea, you want to be returned to the holding cell
- downstairs? You may leave the courtroom.
- 14 Security guards are now instructed to bring Mr. Nuon Chea to the
- 15 holding cell downstairs.
- 16 (Mr. Nuon Chea exits the courtroom)
- 17 [10.58.45]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Mr. Koppe, you may now resume your statement.
- 20 MR. KOPPE:
- 21 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 22 As I said earlier, by using the "slave state" slogan, the
- 23 Prosecution and civil parties have tried to have their cake and
- 24 eat it too. They have conjured a sensational and evocative
- 25 tagline to advance their allegation, all the while keeping the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

38

- 1 evidence underlying it safe from scrutiny.
- 2 As it is based on evidence that is not part of this trial, its
- 3 relevance and substance remain untested by the Defence. Indeed,
- 4 the Defence itself requested this evidence be included in this
- 5 trial; a request that the Prosecution objected to, underscoring
- 6 their fear that they did not want to risk exposing that these
- 7 allegations to the light of day.
- 8 [10.59.50]
- 9 Mr. President, the civil parties have applied this tactic in
- 10 other ways. We can see it, for instance, in their claim that 2
- 11 million deaths occurred during the Democratic Kampuchea period.
- 12 This allegation of 2 million deaths is, again, based on untested
- 13 evidence. Why? Because the report it is based on is not part of
- 14 this trial. It has not been examined by the parties. The
- 15 demographer who created it has not been called here in this
- 16 courtroom as an expert witness.
- 17 Why? Again, Mr. President, the answer is simple. Because the
- 18 total number of deaths alleged during Democratic Kampuchea is not
- 19 a part of this trial. Could it be that both the civil parties and
- 20 the Prosecution are suffering from acute amnesia when faced with
- 21 the charges at issue in Case 002/01? Have they somewhat
- 22 conveniently forgotten that this case only concerns two
- 23 population movements and Tuol Po Chrey? While I could sympathize
- 24 with the symptoms of a failing memory, even this explanation
- 25 seems too generous.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

39

- 1 [11.01.12]
- 2 Whatever their excuse may be, Mr. President, the Trial Chamber
- 3 cannot condone this mode of proceeding. Allowing the Prosecution
- 4 and the civil parties to base claims on untested evidence outside
- 5 the scope of this trial violates basic principles of the right to
- 6 a fair trial and the Chamber must accordingly give such
- 7 assertions no weight whatsoever.
- 8 Their what I would like to call "sensationalizing" of witness
- 9 statements has not been limited to outside the scope of the
- 10 trial. Evidence within the scope of Case 002/01 has been treated
- 11 by the civil parties and the Prosecution in the same manner.
- 12 Examples of this are seen in the civil party's use of allegations
- 13 that Khmer Rouge cadre killed babies and people with glasses.
- 14 These witness allegations cannot be used as the poster children
- 15 for Democratic Kampuchea. The reality is that these claims are
- 16 unrepresentative of the experiences of individuals during
- 17 Democratic Kampuchea. Their misuse in describing a policy of the
- 18 Khmer Rouge is therefore, disingenuous and it must be
- 19 disregarded.
- 20 [11.02.43]
- 21 Now, Your Honours, I would like to pause for a moment and reflect
- 22 on the allegation made by the civil parties, yesterday morning,
- 23 that in defending our client we have somehow made a mockery of
- 24 the civil parties. This is unwarranted. The Defence has never
- 25 denied the suffering of the civil parties. We have never called

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

40

- 1 them liars. In fact, we offer the utmost sympathy to their
- 2 suffering. We have, as we are tasked with doing as defence
- 3 attorneys, made very precise claims challenging the evidence
- 4 against our client. If the civil parties cannot appreciate this
- 5 distinction, it reveals, on their part, we would say, a serious
- 6 misunderstanding about the role of a defence attorney.
- 7 In their rebuttal yesterday, the civil parties attempted to paint
- 8 a picture that the Defence has put forward and entirely
- 9 unrepresentative image of the CPK, an image, they say, that it is
- 10 not supported by the evidence. They have cited to various
- 11 references in our closing brief in an attempt to show that our
- 12 assertions are outside the scope of the realities of Democratic
- 13 Kampuchea. They point to our use of language to further this
- 14 argument, labelling such words as "evacuation" and "liberation"
- 15 as prime examples of what they call Orwellian newspeak.
- 16 [11.04.25]
- 17 To this, Your Honours, we simply remind the parties that these
- 18 terms are used continually by all the parties throughout the
- 19 courses of this trial. These terms, Mr. President, are taken
- 20 straight from the Closing Order itself. We can only speculate
- 21 that if the lawyer for the civil parties had perhaps read the
- 22 Closing Order with more care, such terms as "evacuation" or
- 23 "liberation" may not have come as such a surprise.
- 24 In contrast, while the civil parties coined phrases like "after
- 25 liberation", "straight to the killing fields", we have remained

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

41

- 1 measured in our use of language.
- 2 Finally, Mr. President, it is with irony that the Defence
- 3 addresses the final claim of the civil parties, that the Defence
- 4 is guilty of misrepresenting the evidence to suit our own
- 5 narrative. Specifically, the civil parties attack our use of
- 6 witness Lay Bony's testimony that the physical conditions of
- 7 evacuees during the second population movement was both good and
- 8 normal. The civil parties proclaim that "if the Defence had read
- 9 but four lines more" of Lay Bony's testimony, it would have been
- 10 apparent that Lay Bony also testified that the evacuees had
- 11 swollen bodies and that they received less food than pigs.
- 12 [11.06.02]
- 13 Mr. President, Your Honours, the Defence did in fact read those
- 14 additional lines of testimony and noted that those lines did not
- 15 address the second population movement, but instead, referenced
- 16 conditions after resettlement in cooperatives in Pursat.
- 17 To the conditions in the new cooperatives, Lay Bony observed -
- 18 and I quote that:
- 19 "However, when time passed by, we did not have enough food to
- 20 eat. We ate the food that was very little. We ate food that made
- 21 us become you know, our body parts become swollen, and we
- 22 believed at the time we noted that the pigs were even given
- 23 more food than they gave to human beings." End of quote.
- 24 Your Honours, I now turn to the Co-Prosecutor's submissions
- 25 concerning our arguments about the fairness of this trial. The

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

42

- 1 Co-Prosecutors, in an attempt to gloss over these violations,
- 2 advanced the argument that in allowing the Defence their two
- 3 allocated days of oral argument, the Chamber somehow showed its
- 4 commitment to the fair trial rights of our client.
- 5 The Co-Prosecutor seemed to suggest that, in granting us this
- 6 time, all the fair trial violations have been absolved. To this
- 7 statement, we can only ask: Is the standard that low? It seems,
- 8 Your Honours, that the answer to that question is yes.
- 9 [11.07.46]
- 10 As the Chamber knows, the most important of these fair trial
- 11 violations concerns Witness Heng Samrin, the witness at the heart
- 12 of our fair trial argument, whose presence at this trial we
- 13 called earlier a non-negotiable bare minimum for securing a fair
- 14 proceeding.
- 15 Heng Samrin, who is a witness of paramount important to the
- 16 charges at issue at this trial, the evacuation of Phnom Penh, the
- 17 events at Tuol Po Chrey and the alleged policy to kill former Lon
- 18 Nol soldiers and officials.
- 19 Heng Samrin, a witness in possession of important exculpatory
- 20 evidence that directly exonerates our client from the allegation
- 21 that he had intended the killing of former Lon Nol soldiers and
- 22 officials. Heng Samrin, the one and only character witness
- 23 requested by Nuon Chea.
- 24 Your Honours, everyone in and around this courtroom must ask
- 25 themselves this question: What have you heard from the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

43

- 1 Co-Prosecutors and the civil parties in reference to the failure
- 2 of the Chamber to summons Heng Samrin? Nothing. Absolute silence.
- 3 [11.09.21]
- 4 The Co-Prosecutors and the civil parties have not responded to
- 5 the substance of our complaint at all, and there are numerous
- 6 arguments the parties could have made regarding our request to
- 7 call Heng Samrin during this trial, but nothing has been said.
- 8 For instance, they could have argued that the evidence Heng
- 9 Samrin had to offer was not important or that it was evidence
- 10 that could have been established by different witnesses.
- 11 They could have argued that evidence of Nuon Chea's character was
- 12 not relevant, or argued that there were other, higher-ranking
- 13 military witnesses that could have been heard. They could have
- 14 attempted to argue there was already sufficient evidence of Nuon
- 15 Chea's intent, and that Heng Samrin's testimony was, therefore,
- 16 not needed.
- 17 But did they make any of these claims? No, they did not.
- 18 Mr. President, Your Honours, Heng Samrin is the elephant in the
- 19 room that the Co-Prosecutors and the civil parties dare not speak
- of. Why is it that they are rendered mute by this man? Why not
- 21 talk about it like Craig Etcheson did this morning in the "Phnom
- 22 Penh Post"?
- 23 [11.10.47]
- 24 We can think of two reasons only. They either agree that his
- 25 presence is of paramount importance and a fair trial cannot be

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

44

- 1 had without his testimony, or they are simply not allowed to even
- 2 mention his name. Either one, Your Honours, would be a remarkable
- 3 position about these proceedings.
- 4 If the answer is that the parties cannot even discuss the nature
- 5 and degree of this fair trial violation, then the extent to which
- 6 the Co-Prosecutors remain in the government's clutches is even
- 7 worse than we thought. If the answer is that a fair trial is
- 8 impossible without his presence, then we have confirmation from
- 9 all parties that our client's fair trial rights have been
- 10 irreparably harmed.
- 11 The International Co-Prosecutor, Mr. Koumjian, also addressed our
- 12 argument that this trial is fundamentally political. We heard him
- 13 say yesterday that he denies that claim. He says that this trial
- 14 is not about politics, but about law.
- 15 [11.12.07]
- 16 Mr. President, we disagree. We have submitted that a proceeding
- 17 such as this could never separate law from politics, that a
- 18 tribunal such as this infuses law with politics.
- 19 And let it be clear, this view is not constructed from thin air.
- 20 It is not woven in the minds of a paranoid defence lawyer. It is
- 21 a viewpoint with a long pedigree in history of international
- 22 criminal proceedings.
- 23 The Indian Judge, Justice Pal, gave serious consideration in his
- 24 dissenting opinion at the Tokyo Tribunal to the question of
- 25 whether victors of a war can fairly judge its losers, and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

45

- 1 respected academics did the same. Nuon Chea's view that he cannot
- 2 be fairly judged by representatives of the two principal victors
- 3 is a serious argument that deserves serious reflection.
- 4 [11.13.15]
- 5 If this trial is about law and facts, and not politics, do the
- 6 prosecutors why do the prosecutors so consistently ignore facts
- 7 that are legally relevant to the charges?
- 8 Mr. Lysak informed this Chamber yesterday that the
- 9 Co-Prosecutors' final brief included 40 pages of historical
- 10 analysis, but the question is, which history? Why does it
- 11 describe the CPK's alleged violence prior to 1975 with almost no
- 12 mention of the American bombing or the atrocities committed by
- 13 Lon Nol's forces against CPK cadres? Was the CPK fighting a war
- 14 against a phantom, or was it fighting a war against an enemy?
- 15 Does anyone doubt that the intent of the CPK's alleged policies
- 16 against enemies' changes radically in light of the ruthlessness
- of the enemy it was actually fighting?
- 18 More and more powerful actors equally responsible for conduct
- 19 identical to that for which our client stands charged accused of
- 20 identical crimes. Do the Co-Prosecutors dare accuse the people
- 21 who run this country, the people responsible for implementing our
- 22 client's policies?
- 23 [11.14.49]
- 24 Mr. President, Your Honours, the answer to this question is, of
- 25 course, no. The answer is that the prosecutors who claim this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

46

- 1 trial has nothing to do with politics cannot even bring
- 2 themselves to say Heng Samrin's name. They cannot even bring
- 3 themselves to contest the Defence claim that the trial and the
- 4 investigation were unfair.
- 5 Let me now, Mr. President, turn to the crimes which were
- 6 discussed jointly by Mr. Raynor and Mr. Lysak, and I will begin
- 7 with the evacuation of Phnom Penh.
- 8 With regard to the evacuation of Phnom Penh, I would simply like
- 9 to clarify a serious misunderstanding of our oral argument which
- 10 became apparent yesterday, and which may have caused some
- 11 confusion during our client's speech here this morning.
- 12 [11.15.49]
- 13 As our brief explains and our client repeated again here this
- 14 morning, the evacuation of Phnom Penh was driven by a variety of
- 15 considerations. These included the food supply within Phnom Penh
- 16 and Cambodia more generally, the effects of the U.S. bombing, and
- 17 the state of Cambodia's economic infrastructure, including its
- 18 rice paddies as of 17 April 1975.
- 19 Our submissions before the Investigating Judges and this Chamber
- 20 consistently emphasize all of these factors as integral to the
- 21 decision to evacuate and the manner in which the manner in
- 22 which it was carried out.
- 23 Yesterday, the Co-Prosecutors seized on a single sentence in my
- 24 Cambodian colleague's remarks from last week to the effect that
- 25 the evacuation would still have been carried out had the food

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

47

- 1 crisis in Phnom Penh not existed. Prosecutors argued that this
- 2 sentence amounts to a concession that neither the American
- 3 bombing nor the food supplies were relevant to the forced
- 4 transfer charges.
- 5 Now, Mr. President, that, of course, was a misstatement of our
- 6 position, and of reality. Those facts are critically relevant
- 7 now, as they have always been, to Nuon Chea's defence.
- 8 [11.17.26]
- 9 First, as Son Arun explained, the bombing devastated Cambodia's
- 10 economic infrastructure and its ability to produce food. That
- 11 reality was a fundamental aspect of the CPK's conclusion that the
- 12 economy could not support unproductive cities in a society in
- 13 which economic production was driven entirely by the rice
- 14 paddies.
- 15 Second, as Son Arun also explained, the evacuation would have
- 16 looked very different had an impending catastrophe of starvation
- 17 not existed.
- 18 During yesterday's hearing, as they have throughout this trial,
- 19 the Co-Prosecutors repeatedly attacked the evacuation not for the
- 20 fact that it happened, but for the way it happened, including its
- 21 immediacy and the fact that it affected all of the residents of
- 22 Phnom Penh. But those are precisely the features of the
- 23 evacuation which were driven by the threat of imminent
- 24 starvation, including the fact that six days' worth of food
- 25 remained in the city on 17 April 1975.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

48

- 1 [11.18.44]
- 2 So, Mr. President, the arguments that you heard yesterday which
- 3 implied we had somehow abandoned the food supply and U.S. bombing
- 4 arguments were misguided.
- 5 My final remarks about the evacuation of Phnom Penh is that both
- 6 the civil parties and the Co-Prosecutors again make the claim
- 7 that Nuon Chea did not subject himself to cross-examination. Nuon
- 8 Chea subjected himself to 12 days of cross-examination, some of
- 9 which was described by Mr. Lysak yesterday. And he refused to
- 10 continue only because of the violations of his right to challenge
- 11 the evidence against him during the appearance of Mr. Steve
- 12 Heder.
- 13 So Mr. President, Your Honours, hopefully we can put to rest this
- 14 myth that our client refused to testify about the evacuation.
- 15 I would like to turn now to certain comments made by the
- 16 Co-Prosecutors concerning the second population movement.
- 17 [11.20.03]
- 18 While addressing the second population movement, the
- 19 Co-Prosecutors misconstrued our argument, claiming that we had
- 20 said that the second transfer was implemented by rogue zonal
- 21 leaders, that the second transfer was, in fact, a rogue
- 22 operation. We have never said it was rogue. This portrayal of the
- 23 second movement is their formulation, and it is nonsense.
- 24 Mr. President, our point was, and still is, that the second
- 25 movement was the prerogative of the zones, that both Ros Nhim and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

49

- 1 So Phim, leaders of the two zones allegedly instrumental in the
- 2 second population movement, were not mere zone leaders, but
- 3 powerful members of the Standing Committee as a matter of fact,
- 4 founding members of the CPK, at least equally as powerful as Nuon
- 5 Chea and Pol Pot; that the evidence before this Chamber supports
- 6 a conclusion that it was the zones that had primary control and
- 7 authority over the second population movement.
- 8 [11.21.23]
- 9 Next, Mr. President, I will turn to Tuol Po Chrey. We have many
- 10 things to say about Tuol Po Chrey and its underlying policy, but
- 11 because of the time, I will limit myself to six key points.
- 12 First, the Co-Prosecutors yesterday simply say nothing about any
- 13 of the direct evidence that no policy of executing Lon Nol
- 14 soldiers and officials existed. They say nothing about Phy Phuon.
- 15 They say nothing about Heng Samrin. They say nothing about Ouk
- 16 Bunchhoeun.
- 17 They do not challenge it, they do not contest it. They do not
- 18 tell the Chamber that it is unreliable. They also do not tell the
- 19 Chamber how to resolve the direct contradiction between their
- 20 position and this clear evidence from well-placed CPK insiders.
- 21 As I have already observed, we claim that there was a violation
- 22 of our client's right to a fair trial so serious that it required
- 23 dismissal of all charges concerning Tuol Po Chrey. And again, the
- 24 Co-Prosecutors did not even mention it, and that is, we submit,
- 25 remarkable, to say the least.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

50

- 1 [11.22.50]
- 2 Second, Mr. President, the Co-Prosecutors offered this Chamber
- 3 two pieces of evidence that a policy to execute Lon Nol soldiers
- 4 and officials existed. The first piece of evidence was a photo of
- 5 a group of people at the Ministry of Information, supposedly on
- 6 17 April 1975.
- 7 Now, Mr. President, Your Honours, I would like to show that photo
- 8 on the screen, and with your permission, Mr. President, I would
- 9 like to do that now.
- 10 And maybe to the AV Unit, we could show it a few times in a row
- 11 because it's part of a little video.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 You may proceed.
- 14 (Presentation of document)
- 15 [11.24.07]
- 16 MR. KOPPE:
- 17 Excuse me. Excuse me, Mr. President.
- 18 The Co-Prosecutors called this photo of some people calmly
- 19 standing around with their arms folded "very strong evidence that
- 20 every soldier and every official of the Khmer Republic who was
- 21 killed in all of Cambodia on or around 17 April 1975 was, in
- 22 fact, killed pursuant to a CPK policy."
- 23 This photo, Mr. President, was one of the two pieces of evidence
- 24 that the Co-Prosecutors claimed conclusively prove the existence
- 25 of that policy. Now, maybe the Co-Prosecutors see a secret code

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

51

- 1 in this photo that we do not.
- 2 And contrary to the Co-Prosecutors claims, we responded to this
- 3 evidence directly in our oral argument last week. We conceded
- 4 that the people depicted in this photo were present at the
- 5 Ministry of Information, which is all all that this photo
- 6 shows. We explained why that fact is irrelevant to any supposed
- 7 execution policy.
- 8 [11.25.22]
- 9 Co-Prosecutors chose not to respond to those arguments. Instead,
- 10 they just reiterate the fact that these people were present at
- 11 the Ministry of Information. And this supposedly very strong
- 12 evidence, Mr. President, is irrelevant.
- 13 The second piece of supposedly conclusive evidence was a series
- of quotations from Duch. As we have argued before, Duch has
- 15 admitted to having had no basis to make any conclusions with
- 16 regard to CPK policy. His testimony is irrelevant. However, I
- 17 will add that even the irrelevant excerpts cited by the
- 18 Co-Prosecutors yesterday establish that no execution policy
- 19 existed. Yesterday, the Prosecution quoted Duch saying and I
- 20 quote: "During that initial stage, people were evacuated and then
- 21 some of the senior soldiers were arrested and secretly killed."
- 22 End of quote.
- 23 We do not know how Duch came to this conclusion, but even this
- 24 evidence suggests that only senior soldiers were apparently
- 25 executed, and even then, that only some of those senior soldiers

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

52

- 1 were executed.
- 2 [11.26.56]
- 3 And the Co-Prosecutors' other evidence from Duch was just as
- 4 inconsistent with this supposed policy. As the Prosecution noted,
- 5 Judge Lavergne asked Duch in Case 001 whether people linked to
- 6 the Lon Nol regime were executed. Now, Duch answers Duch's
- 7 answer was as follows and I quote: "People in Lon Nol's regime
- 8 were classified into three categories. First category referred to
- 9 the people who were smashed secretly." End of quote.
- 10 Now, this is the point where the Co-Prosecutors stop reading, but
- 11 as your Chamber is well aware, the excerpt continues and I
- 12 quote: "The second category referred to the people who were
- 13 detained in the re-education camp, and the third category
- 14 referred to the people who were regarded as the New People." End
- 15 of quote.
- 16 So this is the Co-Prosecutors' final concluding evidence of a
- 17 policy of systematically hunting down and executing all Lon Nol
- 18 soldiers and officials. It proves that no such policy existed.
- 19 Mr. President, my third of the six points about Tuol Po Chrey
- 20 concerns pattern evidence. Yesterday, the Co-Prosecutors did not
- 21 even attempt to contest our systematic demonstration that no such
- 22 pattern existed. Mr. Raynor spoke very theatrically about the
- 23 systematic nature of the pattern.
- 24 [11.28.43]
- 25 You might remember he asked the Chamber five or six times whether

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

53

- 1 it was a coincidence a coincidence that killings occurred in
- 2 exactly the same way across the country. And our question is
- 3 this, Mr. President: Is it a coincidence that the Co-Prosecutors
- 4 failed to identify one single witness proving the existence of
- 5 this pattern, which they say happened everywhere? Is it a
- 6 coincidence that they failed to respond to a single one of the
- 7 numerous concrete arguments we presented to the Chamber in our
- 8 closing submissions?
- 9 Mr. Lysak also commented on the supposed pattern evidence. He
- 10 told the Chamber that the Defence has a "thesis" about the
- 11 supposed execution of Lon Nol soldiers in April 1975. He said our
- 12 thesis was that executions happened in the Southwest and
- 13 Northwest Zone, but not elsewhere.
- 14 [11.29.51]
- 15 In reality, Mr. President, we have no "thesis" about the
- 16 execution of Lon Nol soldiers. Our only thesis is that the
- 17 Co-Prosecutors have failed, manifestly and completely, to
- 18 establish the existence of a centrally directed policy.
- 19 The reasons for their conclusions are: firstly, that it is
- 20 inconsistent with the direct evidence; secondly, that the
- 21 so-called pattern evidence is systematically unreliable; and,
- 22 third, that the systematically unreliable evidence is
- 23 geographically concentrated in the Southwest and Northwest Zone
- 24 and is, therefore, irrelevant to state-wide policies.
- 25 The Prosecution contests none of these facts. In order to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

54

- 1 establish the existence of a policy based on unreliable evidence
- 2 not tested in open Court, which is concentrated in only a small
- 3 part of the country, the Prosecution should be ready before this
- 4 Chamber with quite an extraordinary explanation.
- 5 [11.31.00]
- 6 Now, Mr. Lysak proposed a possible explanation. He suggested that
- 7 maybe there were more Lon Nol soldiers in the Northwest and
- 8 Southwest Zone than elsewhere in the country. Yet, at the same
- 9 time, he does not offer the Chamber even a shred of evidence in
- 10 support of this proposition.
- 11 The evidence we showed the Chamber last week demonstrated
- 12 overwhelmingly that Lon Nol soldiers were not executed in
- 13 liberated zones prior to 1975. There's no reason to believe that
- 14 Lon Nol soldiers did not continue living in those zones until and
- 15 after April 1975. Nor does the evidence merely show that fewer
- 16 Lon Nol soldiers and officials were killed in the Special,
- 17 Central, North, the Eastern and the North-eastern Zones in April
- 18 1975. It shows they were not killed in those zones at all.
- 19 We have adduced substantial evidence affirmatively demonstrating
- 20 that Lon Nol officials who were present in the East Zone or
- 21 within the control of East Zone troops were not harmed.
- 22 [11.32.25]
- 23 Like Mr. Raynor, Mr. Lysak failed to say anything about the
- 24 evidence. He failed to give a single reason why the analysis we
- 25 presented to the Chamber last week was erroneous. He failed to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

55

- 1 refer to a single statement of a single witness.
- 2 Mr. President, Mr. Lysak then suggested that a concentration of
- 3 evidence in the Southwest Zone would be consistent with Party
- 4 Centre policy because Pol Pot had a close relationship to the
- 5 Southwest Zone. It seems he would like this Chamber to make two
- 6 conclusions.
- 7 He would like this Chamber to conclude, first, that Ta Mok was
- 8 close to Pol Pot and, second, that because Ta Mok was close to
- 9 Pol Pot, everything that happened in the Southwest Zone reflected
- 10 the intent of the Party Centre. But there is no evidence to
- 11 support either claim.
- 12 Neither claim has been the subject of so much as five minutes of
- 13 witness testimony. Neither claim has been the subject of a single
- 14 filing.
- 15 [11.33.43]
- 16 Before yesterday, neither claim had been the subject of five
- 17 minutes of debate before the Chamber. And just last month, the
- 18 Co-Prosecutors' position was that executions happened everywhere.
- 19 Mr. President, just last week the Co-Prosecutors' position was
- 20 that the executions happened everywhere in Cambodia. And five
- 21 minutes before Mr. Lysak took the floor, the Co-Prosecutors'
- 22 position was that executions took place everywhere.
- 23 Never did they try to link events in any particular part of the
- 24 country with the Party Centre. And they did not have to because
- 25 the position was that everything happened the same way

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

56

- 1 everywhere.
- 2 It is critical to realize that Mr. Lysak's theory would be
- 3 irrelevant to Nuon Chea's criminal liability only if Nuon Chea
- 4 conspired with Ta Mok and Ros Nhim, but not with So Phim or Ney
- 5 Saran, to execute Lon Nol soldiers.
- 6 Now, could that theory be true? It could be true. Lots of things
- 7 could be true. But the Chamber, and that is the point, has never
- 8 considered it.
- 9 [11.35.06]
- 10 The Co-Prosecutors never, before yesterday, argued it argued
- 11 it. There's no evidence at all to support it. And the Chamber has
- 12 no basis on which to make that conclusion.
- 13 Mr. President, my fourth of the six points about Tuol Po Chrey
- 14 concerns the Co-Prosecutors' assertion that we failed even to
- 15 address the core claim about Tuol Po Chrey. They describe their
- 16 core claim as being that Nuon Chea participated in a joint
- 17 criminal enterprise to execute class enemies and all those
- 18 opposed to the CPK.
- 19 In fact, we showed the Chamber that, at worst, the CPK
- 20 categorized soldiers and officials along with other groups such
- 21 as monks and intellectuals, who were never people who were
- 22 never subject to a policy of execution.
- 23 The Co-Prosecutors' position that the CPK viewed soldiers and
- 24 officials with suspicion is insufficient, as a matter of law, to
- 25 establish our client's criminal liability.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

57

- 1 [11.36.20]
- 2 The Closing Order alleges that, at Tuol Po Chrey, soldiers and
- 3 officials were indiscriminately murdered en masse. It follows
- 4 that only a policy that required executions of soldiers and
- 5 officials en masse is of any relevance to the Chamber's
- 6 deliberations. Abstract class theory without a clear link to a
- 7 policy of systematic execution is plainly insufficient.
- 8 Mr. President, Your Honours, it's critical to recognize here that
- 9 this difference between the CPK's general suspicion of Republican
- 10 officials and it's supposed decision to execute those officials
- 11 summarily, that this difference is exactly exactly the subject
- of Heng Samrin's statement to Ben Kiernan.
- 13 Heng Samrin does not say that Nuon Chea never thought about
- 14 former regime officials. He does not say that those officials
- 15 were not a subject of discussion. What he says is that when the
- 16 Party Centre decided how to deal with Republican officials, they
- 17 I quote him "did not say kill". Instead they said and I
- 18 quote him again: "Don't allow them to remain in the framework."
- 19 Unquote.
- 20 [11.37.51]
- 21 Mr. President, this distinction got straight to the ambiguity at
- 22 the heart of the Co-Prosecutors' allegation about the CPK's
- 23 treatment of so-called opponents, which is that there is simply
- 24 no evidence that such people were systematically executed.
- 25 That brings me, Mr. President, to my fifth point about Tuol Po

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

58

- 1 Chrey, which is that the Co-Prosecutors' submissions yesterday
- 2 prove that they agree with us, because while they claim their
- 3 principal submission to be that soldiers were enemy of the Party,
- 4 they end up saying something much narrower and much simpler. They
- 5 end up saying that there was a policy to kill "officers of a
- 6 certain rank and above".
- 7 Now, of course, we dispute this. But the point is that the
- 8 Co-Prosecutors know that the vague class theory Duch claims to
- 9 have read in a "Revolutionary Flag" was never intended to, and
- 10 never did, translate to execution. Even they know that they
- 11 cannot credibly claim that our client intended to execute
- 12 soldiers and officials regardless regardless of rank.
- 13 [11.39.18]
- 14 And as we observed last week, the Co-Prosecutors fail even to
- 15 assert that the alleged victims at Tuol Po Chrey were anything
- 16 more than ordinary soldiers and civilians.
- 17 Yesterday, they conceded that our client never intended to
- 18 execute ordinary soldiers or civilians. Now, put together, these
- 19 concessions establish that Nuon Chea never intended the execution
- 20 of the alleged victims at Tuol Po Chrey, and this alone, Mr.
- 21 President, requires the Chamber to acquit Nuon Chea of all crimes
- 22 charged in connection with Tuol Po Chrey.
- 23 My fifth my sixth and final point is that the Co-Prosecutors -
- 24 the Co-Prosecutors say nothing at all, nothing at all, about the
- 25 possibility that, if any killings did take place at Tuol Po

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

59

- 1 Chrey, they were constituted locally directed revenge killings.
- 2 [11.40.20]
- 3 Let's not forget, Mr. President, the liberation of Pursat marked
- 4 the end of a year's long bloody civil war. The alleged victims
- 5 were supposedly the CPK's former opponents. Revenge killings
- 6 under these circumstances are typical.
- 7 Your Honours, Mr. President, my very last comments today in this
- 8 trial will concern the Co-Prosecutors' analysis yesterday of Ros
- 9 Nhim's role in the CPK and the role of zone leaders more
- 10 generally.
- 11 Now, the critical point, and I cannot stress this enough, is that
- 12 Ros Nhim was not a mere "zone leader". He, himself, was a member
- 13 of the Standing Committee. He, himself, was, according to the
- 14 Co-Prosecutors reasoning, an equal participant in the Standing
- 15 Committee's practice of democratic centralism.
- 16 Now, yesterday, the Co-Prosecutors said that Nuon Chea met with
- 17 Ros Nhim every three months in the Northwest Zone. And our
- 18 question is: So what? What could the fact that Ros Nhim met Nuon
- 19 Chea possibly say about the content or nature of their
- 20 relationship?
- 21 [11.41.40]
- 22 The Co-Prosecutors tell us that at one of these meetings, Nhim
- 23 told Nuon Chea about the execution of Nuon Chea's uncle, Sieu
- 24 Heng.
- 25 Now, Mr. President, we have trouble seeing their point. Nhim did

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

60

- 1 not ask our client for permission to kill Sieu Heng. He was not,
- 2 as the Co-Prosecutors observe, afraid to tell him he had executed
- 3 Sieu Heng.
- 4 They asked why would Nhim tell Nuon Chea about Sieu Heng and not
- 5 about Tuol Po Chrey. And to us, Mr. President, the answer is
- 6 obvious. Tuol Po Chrey was none of Nuon Chea's concern. The death
- 7 of his uncle, quite obviously, was.
- 8 Now, the hard evidence of the relationship amongst the various
- 9 members of the Standing Committee, including those who were also
- 10 zone leaders, is almost completely non-existent. As we saw last
- 11 week, just about the only person able to speak with any authority
- 12 is Ieng Sary. And he says that within Angkar, "each zone was
- 13 independent: 'Kill as you please, do as you please'"."
- 14 [11.43.00]
- 15 Ros Nhim's flippant attitude towards Sieu Heng's execution
- 16 corroborates exactly that description. The best the
- 17 Co-Prosecutors can find in response is a small handful of
- 18 telegrams purporting to show Ros Nhim seeking advice or guidance
- 19 from the Party Centre. They do not mention the consistent
- 20 testimony that communication from the Party Centre to the zones
- 21 was limited and mainly concerned goods requested by the zones,
- 22 that Nuon Chea almost never sent telegrams to anybody.
- 23 And even this very small selection of telegrams are all in 1977
- 24 and 1978, years after Tuol Po Chrey.
- 25 A period, Mr. President, of years in which Ben Kiernan tells us

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

61

- 1 the Centre's control over Northwest Zone forces was "gradually
- 2 increasing".
- 3 The only document they show you during a time period remotely
- 4 relevant to these charges showed only that information was
- 5 conveyed to the Party Centre without any request for advice or
- 6 instructions delivered to the zone.
- 7 The question is: Why is every other document the Co-Prosecutors
- 8 presented from a period so far from April 1975? To paraphrase the
- 9 Co-Prosecutors, we must ask: Was that a coincidence?
- 10 [11.44.45]
- 11 Mr. President, Your Honours, there's only one hard reality about
- 12 Ros Nhim. That reality is that he was ultimately purged. The
- 13 Co-Prosecutors tell the Chamber that he was purged but, for them,
- 14 the story ends there. And for us, it just the beginning.
- 15 The Co-Prosecutors do not take the next step and tell you why Ros
- 16 Nhim was purged. The answer is, Mr. President, he was considered
- 17 a traitor. He was considered a traitor because he was
- 18 deliberately acting contrary to Party policy. He deliberately
- 19 imposed harsh conditions in the Northwest Zone for the purpose of
- 20 destabilizing the Party Centre.
- 21 Mr. President, Your Honours, the Co-Prosecutors have never
- 22 adequately answered a simple question, and these are my last
- 23 words: If Nuon Chea could so easily control Ros Nhim's behaviour,
- 24 why did they deem it necessary to use military force against him?
- 25 Thank you.

00962572

E1/237.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

62

- 1 [11.46.10]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Thank you, Counsel.
- 4 The time is now appropriate for lunch adjournment. The Chamber
- 5 shall adjourn now and resume at 1.30 this afternoon.
- 6 Security guards are now instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan to
- 7 the holding cell downstairs and have him returned to this
- 8 courtroom this afternoon, before 1.30.
- 9 The Court is now adjourned.
- 10 (Court recesses from 1146H to 1329H)
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 13 I now hand over the floor to the defence team for Mr. Khieu
- 14 Samphan to make their final rebuttal statement.
- 15 You may proceed.
- 16 MR. VERCKEN:
- 17 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 18 As I said yesterday, we will not take up much of your time,
- 19 basically because yesterday we did not hear anything yesterday
- 20 that would warrant any fundamentally different responses on our
- 21 side, or because the arguments that we heard were not relevant,
- 22 or because in our final submission we have responded already or
- 23 have done so through our pleadings.
- 24 [13.30.48]
- 25 I'll just quote one example of an irrelevant argument: There was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

63

- 1 reference to a telegram referring to Mr. In Tam who was a former
- 2 military officer from the Khmer Republic, who after April 1975
- 3 continued to fight against Democratic Kampuchea from the Thai
- 4 Border. And you were told that the content of the telegram,
- 5 referring to what Mr. In Tam was doing and the possibility of
- 6 eliminating him, was some kind of form of proof with reference to
- 7 the former people from the Khmer Republic, but that is completely
- 8 false since the man was continuing his war effort against
- 9 Democratic Kampuchea. I believe that this has nothing to do with
- 10 the trial and it's the kind of trial that doesn't really merit
- 11 any response because it's not our intention to waste your time
- 12 with it.
- 13 With respect to the arguments we've already answered in our
- 14 submission, let me mention the proposal that was made by the
- 15 Prosecution yesterday to use paragraphs 1360 to 1372 of the
- 16 Closing Order to expand the scope of the trial on the grounds
- 17 that the five Democratic Kampuchea policies are set out in those
- 18 paragraphs. And in paragraph 105 of our final submission, and in
- 19 our oral remarks, we have answered to that by referring to our
- 20 response to your Decision of April 2012.
- 21 [13.33.21]
- 22 These are the kinds of things that we do not wish to dwell on at
- 23 this stage, because it doesn't seem to have any point. There are
- 24 some minor points that I will quote to you here and it won't
- 25 take long.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

64

- 1 Yesterday, the Prosecution and the civil parties said that the
- 2 reasons why we had discussed the health, military, and economic
- 3 situations as they prevailed in Phnom Penh and in Cambodia in
- 4 general in April 1975, was to serve as a justification for the
- 5 evacuation.
- 6 Now, that isn't exactly right. And so let us say once again that
- 7 if we took the time to describe that situation, it was for two
- 8 reasons. The first was to illustrate the fact that the
- 9 Investigating Judges have been selective in studying the
- 10 historical context and had failed to pay due attention to
- 11 important matters such as the humanitarian, health, military, and
- 12 economic situation in Cambodia before the regime was established.
- 13 And if we described that situation, it was also because we wanted
- 14 to talk about Khieu Samphan and intent.
- 15 [13.35.09]
- 16 The problem is not to do with knowing why Khieu Samphan decided
- 17 to evacuate Phnom Penh, because he didn't decide that; he was not
- 18 party to that decision regarding the evacuation of the capital.
- 19 So, the question you have to ask is if the explanations that were
- 20 given subsequently appear to be plausible. And that is the case.
- 21 Even if his view was asked before, Khieu Samphan has told us he
- 22 would not have taken that decision to evacuate Phnom Penh. The
- 23 explanations he was given subsequently were based on genuine
- 24 practical facts on a health and a military and an economic
- 25 situation about which this Chamber has examined evidence. The

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

65

- 1 explanations were not idiotic and they convinced other people as
- 2 well as him.
- 3 Last Friday, we saw a clip of an interview with Samdech Sihanouk
- 4 that was done in October 1975 in New York, and Sihanouk was
- 5 saying that he agreed with the work done by the Khmer Rouge so
- 6 far. He was back in Phnom Penh and he knew that the city had been
- 7 emptied, but that is what he said and it's on the case file.
- 8 [13.37.14]
- 9 With respect to the second population movement phase, we have
- 10 undeniable proof and it's not contested on the other side of
- 11 this room that Khieu Samphan was outside the country when the
- 12 decision was taken for the second population movement. And here
- 13 we have heard no evidence adduced that Khieu Samphan took part in
- 14 the implementation of those or, that movement from August '75
- 15 to 1976.
- 16 Another comment we wish to make to Your Honours before you
- 17 withdraw to deliberate, concerns the request that the prosecutors
- 18 made to you yesterday to consider that the testimony gathered on
- 19 elements that are external to the file should reinforce the
- 20 relevant proof. When the principle is defined in such general
- 21 terms, it certainly appears to be acceptable, but it is the
- 22 practical application of it, as requested by the Prosecution,
- 23 that entails a serious judicial error, because what the
- 24 Prosecution is asking you to do is to consider that Khieu Samphan
- 25 is guilty of facts that have not been tried here, that are

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

66

- 1 supposed to be being tried at a later stage, and that despite
- 2 that, according to the Prosecution, these facts should be used by
- 3 this Chamber to qualify the facts and the ultimate penal
- 4 responsibility of Khieu Samphan. That is not acceptable and it's
- 5 a breach of essential legal principles.
- 6 [13.39.33]
- 7 By way of closing, I would say a few words about that type of
- 8 responsibility that is, joint criminal enterprise, and I would
- 9 say two things about it: The Prosecution is asking you to apply
- 10 the criteria of the systematic form of criminal enterprise, JCE.
- 11 And apart from the reasons just mentioned about why this is
- 12 impossible, apart from reasons connected with the scope of the
- 13 trial, I would like to point out something that must be clear to
- 14 all, and that is that if the case law of international criminal
- 15 tribunals has conceived of a variation on the basic form of joint
- 16 criminal enterprise, a variation in which the necessary threshold
- 17 of proof of intent is lower, then it was because there were
- 18 reasons for that, there was a specific context, it wasn't to
- 19 award some kind of gift to all prosecution teams throughout the
- 20 world, and more particularly, the one sitting opposite me today.
- 21 [13.41.12]
- 22 And the reason for that happening was, of course, that the person
- 23 guilty of systematic joint criminal enterprise had contributed to
- 24 running an extermination camp in a confined limited space, and
- 25 when that individual could not claim, because of the very

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

67

- 1 confined nature of the camp, when that person could not deny what
- 2 was occurring therein, then it was felt that the threshold for
- 3 proving intent could be lower.
- 4 Now, today what you're being asked to do is to say that all of
- 5 Cambodia was a concentration camp and that therefore that kind of
- 6 JCE applies. This is quite beyond the bounds of reason and that
- 7 isn't the kind of context for which that case law, creating a
- 8 variation on JCE, was originally established and it does not
- 9 correspond to the spirit of the law.
- 10 In addition, of course, to all of the other arguments concerning
- 11 the scope of the trial and the fact that today you cannot
- 12 consider that Cambodia nationwide was a single concentration
- 13 camp, the facts that might possibly allow you to say such a thing
- 14 in any case are going to be judged at a later stage, and not now.
- 15 [13.43.32]
- 16 The second point I'd like to make is that the Prosecution is
- 17 going even further. In many of their arguments, they are asking
- 18 you to apply the expanded form of joint criminal enterprise, the
- 19 form that you, before this trial even opened, excluded from what
- 20 you could apply. And I include in this all of the developments by
- 21 the Prosecution, which consist in saying that the criminal
- 22 responsibility of the Accused can be secured through the fact
- 23 that it was foreseeable that crimes could have been committed.
- 24 Now that cannot be applied before this Chamber, and you, Your
- 25 Honours, decided that before the trial began, and the Prosecution

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

68

- 1 is entirely aware of this.
- 2 That, Mr. President, Your Honours, brings me to a close on the
- 3 comments I would like to make at the closure of this debate.
- 4 Thank you very much.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 Mr. Khieu Samphan, do you wish to make any statement? And if you
- 8 wish so, security guards are instructed to bring Mr. Khieu
- 9 Samphan to the dock.
- 10 Security guards, please bring Mr. Khieu Samphan to the dock, and
- 11 he will make his final statement from there.
- 12 (Mr. Khieu Samphan is taken to the dock)
- 13 [13.46.52]
- 14 Mr. Khieu Samphan, you may proceed now.
- 15 You may be seated, Mr. Khieu Samphan, due to your health concern.
- 16 MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN:
- 17 My utmost respect to venerable Buddhist monks in various
- 18 monasteries and pagodas across Cambodia. My respect to my fellow
- 19 national compatriots. My respect to the civil parties. My respect
- 20 to Mr. President and Your Honours. My respect to counsels and
- 21 everyone in the courtroom. My respect to the Co Prosecutors.
- 22 Over the last couple of days, I have listened attentively and
- 23 heard it clear and loud the interventions by all parties,
- 24 particularly the interventions of those who have criticized me
- 25 for not speaking enough in my current case. Ironically, at the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

69

- 1 same time, those same individuals have manoeuvred and manipulated
- 2 my little speeches as the basis for their allegation against me.
- 3 Therefore, although I try to explain in good faith, refuting the
- 4 various charges brought against me, you will continue to
- 5 criticize me, and if I choose to remain silent, you still accuse
- 6 me.
- 7 [13.50.30]
- 8 I would like to make it clear that I never wanted or decided to
- 9 evacuate the people, and neither did I <want> or decide <to>
- 10 massacre <the> people. My political conviction and <what I had
- 11 attempted to do through my actions > was to protect the weak, <>
- 12 to uphold the respect for their fundamental rights, and to build
- 13 a Cambodia that was strong <and fully> independent <>.
- 14 Indeed, widespread social injustice made me disheartened and
- 15 became discontented with the regime, but I was not so
- 16 discontented that I sought tit for tat or revenge. My underlying
- 17 intention and wisdom was to bring about independence, peace, and
- 18 prosperity to Cambodia. I only wanted people to live with
- 19 dignity.
- 20 However, when I heard the charges brought against me, and how
- 21 these charges were constructed here, and in addition, when I
- 22 witnessed that those who will sit to decide on my case have
- 23 refused to take into consideration the real situation on the
- 24 ground at that time, but instead form a preconception that I was
- 25 a monster, I have lost desire to say anything further.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

70

- 1 [13.54.18]
- 2 Indeed, during the Lon Nol era following the coup d'état, I
- 3 wanted the Khmer Rouge and Samdech Sihanouk alliance to win the
- 4 war against Lon Nol, and I did rally them at the time. And
- 5 indeed, following the resignation of Samdech Sihanouk from the
- 6 head of state in 1976, I had the full confidence that we would
- 7 help each other to rebuild the country to be a prosperous one.
- 8 Everyone who is here today, you seem to have believed that I was
- 9 guilty, because all of you believe that I should have foreseen
- 10 what would happen following the 17 of April 1975, and that I
- 11 should have recused or deserted the Khmer Rouge. The fact that I
- 12 remained with them amounts to the allegation that I was a culprit
- 13 and deserved conviction, even though I have explained the truth
- 14 to the best of my knowledge.
- 15 To them, I should have remained indifferent and let Lon Nol
- 16 regime pursue their course of action. To them, I should not have
- 17 taken any action to respond to Lon Nol; and to them, following
- 18 the victory over war against Lon Nol and America, I must have
- 19 known that my political conviction and wisdom would not be
- 20 implemented, but instead it would be reversed completely under
- 21 the absolute control of the power of the Khmer Rouge who enforced
- 22 their various measures strictly.
- 23 [13.57.55]
- 24 I would like to reiterate that I did not witness the things that
- 25 could have happened days following the victory, and neither did I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

71

- 1 have any power to intervene, or sanction, or rectify anything.
- 2 Some even said that I was a coward. The reality was that I did
- 3 not have any power and I did not care about it either.
- 4 This could have been probably my mistake because subsequently, I
- 5 remained close with those powerful individuals, but I was
- 6 powerless, but all of you believe that I had effective power at
- 7 that time. That is why today I was brought and put on trial
- 8 today.
- 9 Today, it is easy to say that I should have known everything, I
- 10 should have understood everything, and thus, I could have
- 11 intervened or rectified the situation at the time. Do you think
- 12 that I did not try my best to understand the situation? Do you
- 13 really think that that was what I wanted to happen to my people?
- 14 [14.00.52]
- 15 When I was a youth, I tried my best in order to change a regime
- 16 full of injustices, and later I had to escape for my life from
- 17 persecution. I would have been killed if I had not fled into the
- 18 jungle. Subsequently, upon learning that there was a group of
- 19 people who were better equipped to fulfil this noble task, in the
- 20 interest of Cambodian people and nation, I wanted this group of
- 21 people to succeed in their endeavours. I then provided them with
- 22 my little support I could.
- 23 At the time, I had the faith and confidence in their
- 24 revolutionary plan. I was convinced that it would bring about a
- 25 betterment for Cambodia and Cambodia would last. Although our

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

72

- 1 poor unfortunate nation had suffered from destructive war, our
- 2 country was destroyed so badly that widespread famine was
- 3 looming.
- 4 Completely contrary to what had been raised before this Chamber,
- 5 never had I participated in the plan that later led to the
- 6 suffering of the people. I was never, at any one time, a part of
- 7 this plan never. As I have tried to explain to the civil
- 8 parties who testified and stated their suffering before this
- 9 Chamber thus far, that I never thought that such a thing would
- 10 happen to my people. This is the only one thing that I would like
- 11 to reiterate today because it is the truth, but nobody wants to
- 12 listen to me.
- 13 [14.04.51]
- 14 Given this indifference, regardless of my attempt to explain the
- 15 truth, and given the state of conditions of the current
- 16 proceedings before this Chamber, I have a strong feeling that no
- 17 matter how hard I try to explain, they will only turn their deaf
- 18 ears at me. They will still not pay attention to what I have to
- 19 say. Instead, I feel that the more I speak, the more vengeance
- 20 they have against me. Therefore, I think that I don't have to be
- 21 silly trying to explain those who never want to listen to me.
- 22 I, myself, have a deep regret regret, rather, that I had all
- 23 the faith and confidence in this tribunal from its early day, the
- 24 confidence that this Court would secure me an opportunity to
- 25 explain. But unfortunately, to date, it is clear that everyone

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

73

- 1 wants only one thing from me that is, my admission of guilt
- 2 according to the charges brought against me, the charges
- 3 concerning the acts that I have never, ever committed at all.
- 4 Because I did not know what happened subsequently following the
- 5 victory, I had no reason to admit the guilt despite mounting
- 6 pressure on me. I am of the view that if I remain silent I can
- 7 maintain my honour and dignity and I will leave it entirely to
- 8 you wise Judges to adjudicate on my case.
- 9 [14.07.59]
- 10 My defence counsels and their team, their dedicated team, have
- 11 defended me vigorously and with conviction for which I am most
- 12 grateful, regardless of the result. I firmly hope that whatever
- 13 it is, you wise Judges will find justice.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. Khieu Samphan.
- 17 Security guards, please bring Mr. Khieu Samphan to his seat
- 18 behind his counsels.
- 19 (Mr. Khieu Samphan leaves the dock)
- 20 [14.09.47]
- 21 Now the proceedings to hear the closing statement by all parties
- 22 in Case 002/01, as part of the Case 002, has come to an end
- 23 following in the light of the completion of the evidentiary
- 24 hearings and the parties' oral closing statement in Case 002/01.
- 25 I, the President of the Trial Chamber, wish to inform the parties

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

74

- 1 and public as follows.
- 2 The trial Chamber of the ECCC has now finished the substantive
- 3 hearing on Case 002 on the evidence in Case 002/01, which forms
- 4 the first phase of Case 002, in which two Accused, Nuon Chea and
- 5 Khieu Samphan, are charged with crimes against humanity.
- 6 Since the start of the evidentiary hearings on the 21st of
- 7 November 2013 2011, rather, which concluded on the 23rd of July
- 8 2013, the Trial Chamber has held 212 days of hearings, along with
- 9 the oral closing statements, which commenced on the 16th to 31st
- 10 of October 2013, and took another 10 days. The substantive
- 11 hearing takes 222 days in total.
- 12 [14.11.55]
- 13 During the course of the proceedings in Case 002/01, the Trial
- 14 Chamber has heard 92 individuals, among whom there are three
- 15 expert witnesses, 57 witnesses, and 32 civil parties. Two
- 16 treating doctors and two medical experts also testified on the
- 17 health conditions of the Accused.
- 18 The Chamber has been seized of more than 290 written applications
- 19 and rendered more than 250 written and oral decisions.
- 20 The parties to the proceeding have made a request for the
- 21 admission, as evidence, into Case 002/01 of numerous documents
- 22 and materials. This includes news articles, magazines, minutes of
- 23 the meetings, national and international telegrams, books
- 24 authored by experts, maps of the crime sites, photos taken during
- 25 the period of Democratic Kampuchea, written records of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

75

- 1 witnesses and civil parties' interviews, transcripts in Case 001,
- 2 the records of the Accused's statements, interviews, and other
- 3 statements by witnesses and individuals compiled by non judicial
- 4 institutions; and as a result, more than 4,000 documents,
- 5 consisting of 166,500 pages in three languages have been admitted
- 6 as evidence in Case 002/01 by the Chamber.
- 7 [14.14.29]
- 8 At this juncture, the substantive hearing in Case 002/01 is
- 9 considered complete.
- 10 Before announcing the official conclusion of the closing
- 11 statements, the Trial Chamber wishes to thank Your Excellences,
- 12 Lok Chum Teav, ladies and gentlemen, the parties to the
- 13 proceedings, witnesses, experts, and civil parties for having
- 14 given testimonies before the Chamber.
- 15 Likewise, the Chamber would also like to thank the Office of
- 16 Administration, the units and sections under its supervision,
- 17 especially the Interpretation and Translation Unit, and in
- 18 particular the booth interpreters for their assisting with the
- 19 trial proceedings.
- 20 Moreover, the Chamber also wishes to thank the staff of the Trial
- 21 Chamber, the Audio-Visual Unit, the Witness and Expert Support
- 22 Unit, the Defence Support Section, the ECCC's detention facility,
- 23 security guards, the Public Affairs Section, the Security and
- 24 Safety Section, the General Service Section, and other relevant
- 25 national and international sections under the Office of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

76

- 1 Administration for their help.
- 2 [14.16.12]
- 3 Similarly, the Chamber would like to thank the officials,
- 4 personnel from the Royal Government of Cambodia's institutions or
- 5 units, which include the General Security Section, the
- 6 Firefighter Brigade, the Medical Section, and other sections
- 7 relevant to the work of the Chamber for their assistance.
- 8 Last but not least, the Chamber wishes to thank personnel from
- 9 organizations or centres, including the Transcultural
- 10 Psychosocial Organization Cambodia, or TPO Cambodia; the
- 11 Documentation Centre of Cambodia, or DC Cam, for their
- 12 participation in and physical and emotional support to the trial
- 13 proceedings in Case 002/01 from the beginning to this fruitful
- 14 end.
- 15 The Trial Chamber now wishes to pronounce the conclusion of the
- 16 proceedings in Case 002/01. The Chamber would like to inform the
- 17 parties to the proceedings and the public, that after this, the
- 18 Trial Chamber will commence its deliberation and prepare its
- 19 judgement regarding Case 002/01.
- 20 In respect of the date for the pronouncement of the judgement,
- 21 the Trial Chamber has not been able to set a particular date as
- 22 yet due to the fact that the case is voluminous and complex, and
- 23 that the judgement has to be prepared into three working
- 24 languages of the ECCC.
- 25 The Trial Chamber will notify the parties and public of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 224 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 31/10/2013

77

1 notification of the date for the pronouncement of the judgement

- 2 in due course, prior to the actual date of such pronouncement.
- 3 [14.18.37]
- 4 With regard to the proceedings in Case 002/02, as indicated in
- 5 the decision on Severance of Case 002 of the 26th of April 2013,
- 6 the Trial Chamber would like to inform the parties to the
- 7 proceedings in Case 002 that the Chamber will hold the Trial
- 8 Management Meeting from the 11th to the 13th of December 2013 to
- 9 discuss on the preparation of future trials in Case 002/02. The
- 10 Chamber will notify the parties of the agenda of that meeting in
- 11 due course.
- 12 The Trial Chamber is now adjourned.
- 13 Security guards are now ordered to take the Accused to the ECCC's
- 14 detention facility. A warrant to bring the Accused to the hearing
- 15 date on the pronouncement of the Judgement will be rendered prior
- 16 to the set date of that hearing.
- 17 The Court is now adjourned.
- 18 (Court adjourns at 1420H)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25