

**BEFORE THE TRIAL CHAMBER****EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA****FILING DETAILS****Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC**Party Filing:** The Defence for IENG Sary**Filed to:** The Trial Chamber**Original language:** ENGLISH**Date of document:** 16 December 2011**CLASSIFICATION****Classification of the document  
suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC**Classification by OCIJ  
or Chamber:** សាធារណៈ/Public**Classification Status:****Review of Interim Classification:****Records Officer Name:****Signature:**


---

**IENG SARY'S OBJECTIONS TO THE ADMISSION OF CERTAIN OCP  
DOCUMENTS FOR THE FIRST TRIAL SEGMENT**

---

**Filed by:****The Co-Lawyers:**

ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS

**Distribution to:****The Trial Chamber Judges:**

Judge NIL Nomm

Judge YOU Ottara

Judge YA Sokhan

Judge Silvia CARTWRIGHT

Judge Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

Reserve Judge THOU Mony

Reserve Judge Claudia FENZ

**Co-Prosecutors:**

CHEA Leang

Andrew CAYLEY

**All Defence Teams****All Civil Parties**

Mr. IENG Sary, through his Co-Lawyers (“the Defence”), pursuant to Rules 84(1) and 87(3), hereby sets out his objections – in the attached Annex – to the admission of certain OCP documents for the first trial segment (5 December – 16 December 2011). These objections are submitted despite the fact that the Defence has already complied with the Trial Chamber’s directions concerning document objections for the first trial segment, which stated that the parties could object to documents by category.<sup>1</sup> These objections are submitted in order to assist the Trial Chamber to determine the admissibility of specific documents as evidence before it in Case 002/01 and to add further clarity and certainty regarding the Defence’s position in relation to individual documents. These objections are submitted subject to the proviso that the Defence is not in a position to assess authenticity. The Defence therefore objects to the admission of any document which is not demonstratively authentic or shown to be authentic by the party seeking to introduce it.

**WHEREFORE**, for all the reasons stated in the attached Annex, the Defence respectfully requests the Trial Chamber to **REJECT** the documents listed in the attached Annex.

Respectfully submitted,

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ANG Udom



  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Michael G. KARNAVAS

Co-Lawyers for Mr. IENG Sary

Signed in Phnom Penh, Kingdom of Cambodia on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of **December, 2011**

<sup>1</sup> See Trial Chamber Memorandum, Witness Lists for Early Trial Segments, Deadline for Filing of Admissibility Challenges to Documents and Exhibits, and Response to Motion E109/5, 25 October 2011, E131/1, p. 2. See also IENG Sary’s Objections to the Admissibility of Certain Categories of Documents, 21 September 2011, E114; IENG Sary’s Motion Against the use of Torture Tainted Evidence at Trial, 4 February 2011, E33; IENG Sary’s Motion Against the Use of all Material Collected by the Documentation Center of Cambodia, 24 February 2011, E59; IENG Sary’s Response to the Co-Prosecutors’ Rule 92 Submission Regarding the Admission of Written Witness Statements Before the Trial Chamber & Request for Public Hearing, 22 July 2011, E96/3.