

BEFORE THE TRIAL CHAMBER**EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA****FILING DETAILS****Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC**Party Filing:** The Defence for IENG Sary**Filed to:** The Trial Chamber**Original language:** ENGLISH**Date of document:** 16 December 2011**CLASSIFICATION****Classification of the document
suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC**Classification by OCIJ
or Chamber:** សាធារណៈ/Public**Classification Status:****Review of Interim Classification:****Records Officer Name:****Signature:**

**IENG SARY'S PARTIAL SUPPORT TO THE CO-PROSECUTORS' TRIAL
MANAGEMENT REQUEST**

Filed by:**The Co-Lawyers:**

ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS

Distribution to:**The Trial Chamber Judges:**

Judge NIL Nonn

Judge YOU Ottara

Judge YA Sokhan

Judge Silvia CARTWRIGHT

Judge Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

Reserve Judge THOU Mony

Reserve Judge Claudia FENZ

Co-Prosecutors:

CHEA Leang

Andrew CAYLEY

All Defence Teams**All Civil Parties**

Mr. IENG Sary, through his Co-Lawyers (“the Defence”), hereby partially supports, in part, the Co-Prosecutors’ Trial Management Request.¹ The OCP requests the Trial Chamber to: 1. “set down, before 22 December 2011, a tentative order of call for all remaining witnesses and civil parties in relation to the first segment of the first trial; set down the scope and timing of the second segment and identify potential witnesses and civil parties for that second segment, at least for the period covered in the second trial session 10 January to 16 February 2012”; 2. to withdraw one expert and four witnesses primarily related to IENG Thirith; and 3. to allocate the week of 6-9 February 2012 to oral argument on the admission of documents.² The Defence supports the first request in its entirety. It is of vital importance for the parties to be provided with this information as soon as possible in order to adequately prepare for trial. The Defence takes no position at this time with respect to the second request. Concerning the third request, the Defence agrees that it is important to allocate time for oral argument on the admission of documents soon after the trial proceedings resume, but considers it doubtful that one week is a sufficient amount of time to consider the admissibility of 4,768 OCP documents and more than 7,000 Civil Party documents.

Respectfully submitted,



 ANG Udom





 Michael G. KARNAVAS

Co-Lawyers for Mr. IENG Sary

Signed in Phnom Penh, Kingdom of Cambodia on this 16th day of **December, 2011**

¹ Co-Prosecutors’ Trial Management Request, 14 December 2011, E153.

² *Id.*, para. 1.