00645408

	<mark>៦ភូសាវានឆ្លួល</mark> DOCUMENT RECEIVED/DOCUMENT RECU		E
	ig is in (Date of receipt/Date de reception):	•	
. •	เข้าย่ (Time/Heure):		
		ម្មិនះរាជានានានផ្ទៃអង់ដំ ជា	
หอีรูสุ่ณสิงอยู่ณามาเนล้ง		ខាតី សាសនា ត្រះទមារក្សត្រ Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King	
୳ୢୄଵୄୢୄୣୣ୵ୡୄୖ୶ୢ୴ଽଌୄୄୠୄୄଽଡ଼	ផុលាអាតេត្តបា	Nation Religion King	

TO:	All	parties,	Case	002

Date: 18 February 2011

CC: President and Judges of the Trial Chamber

for Legal Officer, Trial Chamber Susan Lamb FROM:

SUBJECT: Preliminary objections

1. The Trial Chamber notes that for reasons associated with the burden on the translation services, it has been necessary to limit page numbers for all filings by the parties. The limit of 15 pages applies also to preliminary objections, which must be consolidated into one document with that maximum page limit.

- a. The Trial Chamber thanks the Defence team for leng Thirith for complying with its direction.
- b. The Chamber notes that the Defence team for Nuon Chea has filed two documents (E36 and E42) with a total of page 26 pages in English and 50 pages in Khmer. It appreciates the undertaking given by his Defence team to file a replacement consolidated document totaling 15 pages (English) incorporating these two motions and a third already foreshadowed for filing.
- c. The Khieu Samphan defence team has filed two motions under the references E46 and E47 containing 33 pages in total in Khmer and 25 pages in French. Rather than further burden ITP with a requirement that a new, consolidated filing of no more than 15 pages be prepared in French or English and 30 in Khmer, the Trial Chamber will as an exception to its rulings accept these documents as filed.
- d. The leng Sary defence team has filed advance courtesy copies of motions with a total of 101 pages in English only. In view of the total of number pages filed by the leng Sary defence team and despite its previous orders, the Trial Chamber now requires it to file a consolidated preliminary objection with a limit of no more than 25 pages in English or French and 50 pages in Khmer.

2. The Trial Chamber recalls that the time limit for the filing of the consolidated preliminary objection has been extended to Friday 25 January 2011. The Trial Chamber also indicates that "advance courtesy copies" of documents filed, while appreciated as an indication of the subject of future filings, cannot be treated as formal filings, particularly as they were accompanied by a note that they were still being proof-read and could not therefore be treated as in final form. A consolidated document that meets the filing guidelines indicated by the Trial Chamber is the only filing that will be considered as formally filed.

3. Noting the Co-Prosecutors' Request for Clarification on Procedure for Response to Preliminary Objections (E35/1), the Trial Chamber reminds the parties that all filings in response must be completed by 7 March 2011. Before that date, the Trial Chamber will advise the parties which issues it considers require early determination and which will be determined at a later date.

4. Finally, the Trial Chamber notes the letter from the Defence team for Ieng Sary addressed to the Acting Director and Deputy Director of the ECCC dated 16 February 2011, and forwarded to the Trial Chamber. One misinterpretation of the Trial Chamber's earlier directions needs correction. In paragraph 2 of the letter, the assumption is made that the reference to duplicate or overlapping preliminary objections is a reference to all preliminary objections filed by all Defence teams. This is clearly not the case. The reference is to overlap and duplication in the Ieng Sary preliminary objections only.

2