

**BEFORE THE TRIAL CHAMBER
EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA**

FILING DETAIL

Case no: 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC
Filing party: Nuon Chea Defence Team
Filed to: Trial Chamber
Original language: English
Date of document: 28 July 2011



CLASSIFICATION

Classification suggested by the filing party: PUBLIC
Classification of the Trial Chamber: សាធារណៈ/Public
Classification status:
Review of interim classification:
Records officer name:
Signature:

NOTICE OF JOINDER IN IENG THIRITH'S DEFENCE RESPONSE TO THE CIVIL PARTIES CO-LEAD LAWYERS' 'URGENT REQUEST FOR THE TRIAL CHAMBER TO AMEND MEMORANDUM E62/3/10 (FORMERLY E106)'

Filed by

Nuon Chea Defence Team:
SON Arun
Michiel PESTMAN
Victor KOPPE
Andrew IANUZZI
Jasper PAUW
PRUM Phalla

Distribution

Co-Prosecutors:
CHEA Leang
Andrew CAYLEY

All Defence Teams


Civil Party Co-Lead Lawyers
PICH Ang
Elisabeth SIMONNEAU FORT

NOTICE OF JOINDER

1. On 29 June 2011, counsel for the Accused Ieng Thirith (the ‘Ieng Thirith Defence’) filed an objection to the Chamber’s announcement that it would place the expert report prepared by Professor A. John Campbell (the ‘Report’) on the ‘Confidential’ section of the case file.¹ On 6 July 2011 the Nuon Chea Defence team (the ‘Defence’) filed a Notice of Joinder to that objection.² On 6 July 2011 the Trial Chamber communicated a Memorandum on this issue.³ On 15 July 2011 the Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers filed their ‘Urgent Request for the Trial Chamber to Amend Memorandum E62/3/10’, which was notified (in English) on 21 July 2011 (the ‘Civil Parties Urgent Request’).⁴ On 22 July 2011 the Ieng Thirith Defence team filed its ‘Response to the Civil Parties Co-Lead Lawyers’ ‘Urgent Request for the Trial Chamber to Amend Memorandum E62/3/10 (formerly E106)’ (the ‘Ieng Thirith Response’).⁵

2. For a number of reasons and based on a number of arguments,⁶ the Ieng Thirith Defence requested the Chamber to: ‘(i) [...] find the Civil Parties Co-Lead Lawyers’ Request inadmissible; (ii) or, alternatively, to reject the Civil Parties Co-Lead Lawyers’ Request in its entirety.’⁷ Counsel for the Accused Nuon Chea hereby adopts all observations and arguments in the Ieng Thirith Response to the Civil Parties Urgent Request, *mutatis mutandis*, and joins the Ieng Thirith Defence in seeking the specific relief requested therein.

CO-LAWYERS FOR NUON CHEA



SON Arun



Michiel PESTMAN & Victor KOPPE

¹ Document No. **E-62/3/7**, Ieng Thirith’s ‘Defence Objection to Trial Chamber’s Announcement to Put the Medical Report by Dr Campbell on the “Confidential” Part of the Case File’, 29 June 2011, ERN 00710705–00710710.

² Document No. **E62/3/8**, Nuon Chea’s Notice of Joinder in Ieng Thirith’s Objection to the Placement of the Fitness Report on the ‘Confidential’ Section of the Case File, 6 July 2011, ERN 00711960-00711961

³ Document No. **E-62/3/10**, Trial Chamber Memorandum to Counsel for the Parties, 6 July 2011, ERN 00711926-00711927

⁴ Document No. **E62/3/10/1**, Civil Parties Co-Lead Lawyers’ ‘Urgent Request for the Trial Chamber to Amend Memorandum E62/3/10 (formerly E106)’, 15 July 2011, ERN 007165573-00716579’

⁵ Document No. **E62/3/10/2**, Ieng Thirith Response to the Civil Parties Co-Lead Lawyers’ ‘Urgent Request for the Trial Chamber to Amend Memorandum E62/3/10 (formerly E106)’, 22 July 2011, ERN 00718383-00718388

⁶ *Ibid*, paras 5-21.

⁷ *Ibid*, para 22.